

THE TORTURE REPORT

By Dr Les Dove

(c) United Kingdom 1995 – Updated 2009

Secrecy is the keystone of all tyranny. Not force, but secrecy ... censorship. When any government, or any church for that matter, undertakes to say to its subjects, "This you may not read, this you must not see, this you are forbidden to know," the end result is tyranny and oppression, no matter how holy the motives ... Mighty little force is needed to control a man whose mind has been hood-winked; contrariwise, no amount of force can control a freeman, a whose mind is free. No, not the rack, not fission bombs, not anything - you can't conquer a free man; the most you can do is kill him.

Robert A Heinlein

Section One

1-1 Psychological torture

Torture as defined by the World Medical Association (WMA) (1) is: "The deliberate, systematic or wanton infliction of physical or mental suffering by one or more persons acting alone or on the orders of any authority, to force another person to yield information, to make a confession or for any other reason."

In the view of Jacobsen and Vesti: (2) "Torture is the worst possible kind of suppression you can inflict on a human being. The society that finds it necessary to use torture has reduced itself to a regime of terror. It must be called inhuman."

Put simply, the term "psychological torture" means torture of the mind. However, as will be explained, this is a limited description, for instance, as defined by the World Medical Association. (3)

Some of the following exploration into psychological torture and social control is based on the author's own research and observations in various countries around the world. These countries are Rhodesia (now Zimbabwe),

South Africa, United Kingdom, United States, Salvador, Mexico, Brazil, Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Peru, Columbia, Panama, and Costa Rica amongst many others.

Some dissidents using computers to provide on-line community groups with information about human rights abuses are gradually breaking down censorship by governments, under which abuses such as psycho-control take place. Through this, much is being done to combat the suppression many dissidents face.

A major purpose of torture is to break a person's resistance and cause both physical and mental anguish. The Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims in Denmark describes psychological torture as the worst form of torture a person can suffer. They report:

"Systematic torture methods destroy a person's personality. It creates great suffering and the torturers know it. No means are spared in this respect. It can be described as the killing of the psyche. Within the past twenty-five years, torture methods have become more subtle and sophisticated. The deliberate development of subtle torture techniques clearly demonstrates that today torture has an entirely different aim. The methods are used very systematically and based on the results of modern scientific progress, e.g. within psychology and psychiatry. The goal today is the destruction of the identity and individuality of people; a goal that is achieved by direct attacks on the structure and behavior patterns of the personality. The attacks on the personality aim to destroy the core of a person who will eventually change his view of himself and alter his behavior patterns to such a degree that he will be unable to recognize himself physically or mentally." (4)

1-2 Aims and objectives of the report.

The main objectives of this report are to explore the basic elements of psychological torture in order to try and reach conclusions as to why psychological torture is now being used so widely and to examine why it is being used in many countries that purport to be free democracies.

This will build upon the writers own research in various countries, plus his own personal experience of psychological torture, which leaves no doubt that such methods are being used far more often than many people would suspect.

In turn, this leads to the following specific aims:

(a) To explore the question why, by whom, and with what authority psychological torture is being carried out.

(b) To identify relevant information and evidence concerning psychological torture.

(c) To examine reasons for the lack of public information on the subject.

1-3 Background to the report.

There are references in various books concerning the activities of internal security forces who employ torture in one form or another, (Even in some so-called 'democracies') yet psychological torture and its use in the West seems not to have been probed to any great depth at all. Indeed, people in the West have become used to hearing about 'torture in other countries", but what of their own standards? Are those people living in the West really so blameless as they would have others believe? Is it always "others" who torture and never themselves?

Experience in investigating this subject leads to the realization that a number of 'charitable organizations' in the United Kingdom, supposedly set up to investigate breaches of human rights (including torture), appear to be more concerned in keeping the 'national image' rather than exposing torture within their own country. In other words, it appears that some human rights organizations are avoiding their real responsibilities in some areas, simply because they feel that they may incur the wrath of their own state security service (5), namely MI-5, if they probe into areas the British establishment does not wish them to.

Indeed, according to the National Council for Civil Liberties (UK), now simply called Liberty, their organization was targeted by the British security services. They suffered much harassment as a result. This kind of harassment, plus the certain loss of government funding, is intended to keep British human rights organizations 'in line' and the British public ignorant of what is happening within their own country.

When the real implications of psychological torture are examined, it can be appreciated why some British human rights groups are reluctant to become involved in exposing repression and torture in their country. That is not to suggest that anyone working for the Liberty organization has been tortured by MI-5, although some people working in other British human rights organizations certainly have been. Early 'pressure' from MI-5 does indeed appear to act as a 'deterrent' should some organizations decide to probe into the area of psychological torture.

Whatever, there are some human rights organizations who do doubt the legitimacy of their government. But if a government does indeed have a true and proper democratic constitution then there should be no reason for it to

deny any investigation into its activities by anyone. Indeed, it could be argued that such inquiries should be made welcome by any legitimate government.

However, many governments quite apparently do have much to hide, in spite of their claims of being democracies. Writers daring to probe into the internal affairs of some governments are particularly at risk, as governments inevitably let their security forces loose in attempts to prevent the exposure of any information they feel may be embarrassing or damaging to them.

Rarely are writers accused of breaking any law. For in most cases they do not. They are simply conducting legitimate research. However, what does happen in many instances is that these researchers find themselves being targeted, persecuted and even tortured for simply daring to ask questions that officials of any true democracy would be proud to answer.

For instance, the information department of the UK newspaper 'The Independent' reports that on average approx. forty writers are killed somewhere in the world every year. Furthermore, according to the details presented in the British television program 'Africa Express', in 1983 twenty-eight writer/researchers were murdered in Africa alone. In 1994, one hundred and fifteen writers were killed throughout the world. From 1995, the figure's are; Iraq - 97 dead, Philippines - 60 dead, Russia - 13 dead, China - 31 in jail, Zimbabwe - 61 exiled, Turkey - 15 prosecuted. By now, these figures may well be very much higher. Due to the strict censorship in place, British and U.S. writers and researchers that have, or are being persecuted, exiled and / or killed there are not available but their numbers are expected to be considerable.

It should be made clear that such writers are not necessarily probing into any apparent so-called state secrets in these searches after truth. Their efforts may simply be to probe into the everyday workings of government. Yet such seems to be the paranoia of some governments, such as that of the United Kingdom, for instance, that it so very often treats such enquiry's as a form of direct attack against its authority. This inevitably leads to 'an action' against the writer by the British security services, Namely MI5 and the police Special Branch.

At least such actions are being taken against researchers in the name of government. Whether such actions are indeed instigated by government, or simply ordered by their security services without proper legal authority, should that be needed by them, is open to question?

That these actions do take place is in no doubt. According to the available literature, far too many political activists in countries around the world, including Britain, have suffered in one way or another for that to be reasonably disputed by anyone.

The real problem for some governments today is that such actions cannot be so easily hidden as they might have been before the 'electronic age.' With that realization, some governments now seek to hide the action itself rather than the victim. That is why psychological torture, rather than obvious physical torture, now seems to have become almost mandatory with numerous security forces all over the world.

The reasons for the latter statement are quite apparent. Foremost there is the 'deniability' factor that has become associated with psychological torture. (No obvious marks or wounds, as with physical torture.) In fact, the torture action itself can be carried out without any real physical contact with the victim at all. Many writers are presently describing this, as 'no touch torture'.

For that reason alone psychological torture has become an attractive accessory to the usual forms of government repression. Psychological torture makes it far easier for a government to rule by force rather than consent.

The growing use of torture, in particular psychological torture, as reported by Ackroyd (6) also raises the question of whether or not there is a 'third force' or influence involved in its use. Namely big business, or 'the multinationals.'

For example, it is no longer a matter of speculation that some multinational companies were involved, if only indirectly, in the overthrow of some South American governments that had been legally elected with large majorities. For instance in 1970 a board member of a multinational company (I.T.T.), suggested a joint Central Intelligence Agency ITT/US telecommunications operation to ensure the election of a candidate it wanted in Chile. These kinds of shady 'deals' involving multinationals have taken place not only in South America but also in many other countries. (7) These illegal actions were, more often than not, 'justified' by untruthful and exaggerated claims of 'communist infiltration'. Indeed, former CIA Director Richard Helms was fined and given a suspended prison sentence for lying to the United States Congress about the CIA's involvement in overthrowing at least one South American government. (8)

These 'lies and deceptions' resulted in CIA backed death squads being set up. Over the coming years, these death squads tortured and murdered tens of

thousands if not millions of people worldwide. The goodwill that had previously been directed towards the United States by some nations then quickly evaporated. A bitter lasting hatred of all things American, particularly their government, then replaced it. Unfortunately, a large section of the American public remains totally ignorant of these events, and of the atrocities committed in their name. Consequently, they cannot understand the hatred directed towards the United States and some American citizens when they travel abroad.

In the light of such information there is much room for speculation concerning the number of such arrangements, which may have taken place over the years, and for who's benefit? It also raises the question of why some governments appear to be so eager to engage in such undemocratic practices in the first place. This raises a further question, namely, for whom is such a government working in these instances. The entire American population it is supposedly representing - or just the multinationals?

It should be noted that not one notable American citizen has so far been charged with complicity in the genocide that took place under CIA backed death squads. The International Criminal court, set up to bring human rights abusers to justice - irrespective of their nationality, was bitterly opposed by the American Bush administration on the grounds that some American's may be indicted for crimes against humanity. So, whilst 'foreign' terrorists can be hunted down worldwide and punished for their crimes by the Bush administration 'American government terrorists' are claiming exemption from prosecution. Indeed, the Bush administration threatened to withdraw military aid, including education and training as well as help financing the purchase of equipment and weaponry, to almost every nation that has relations with the United States, if these nations do support the International Criminal court. A sad reflection on a government, which constantly claims to 'uphold democracy and human rights.'

Personally, I have always been amazed at how many American's believe that the CIA - which has dishonored its country more than any other government organization, and who has overturned democratic governments worldwide, and been deeply involved in mass murder while doing so - 'protects American democracy.' Surely, the exact opposite is true. For most of its existence, if not all of it, the CIA can clearly be seen as having protected fascists and fascism. Can the American public really believe that a security organization, which has overthrown democracy worldwide, actually protects democracy at home?

Note: A recent report states that the American CIA has overthrown functioning constitutional democracies in over 20 countries. Source: The Praetorian Guard - The U.S. Role in the New World Order. By John Stockwell.

The Danger of ‘Corporate Governments’

For whatever reason, some people believe that ‘corporate’ governments are good for a country. They appear to think that if ‘big business’ and government is the same thing then everyone in the country will prosper under such an arrangement. The reality of living under such governments is, however, very different to what these people imagine. Businesses of all kinds are used mainly to benefit their owners and investors, not everybody. Big business’s regards everyone outside of those businesses as being simply employees or consumers. They aren’t interested in anyone’s welfare other than their own. They are there to make a profit, the maximum profit for the owners or shareholders of their business. Which does of course mean that they will cut overheads to the bone and situate their business in whatever country allows them to do that to their best advantage? International corporations couldn’t care less about their country of origin. They aren’t ‘patriots’ in any sense of the word. They care only about money and profits, not people, no matter whom, or where they are.

When governments are mainly comprised of corporate insiders, they can do almost whatever they want to do without hindrance of any kind. If any corporation desires to close down their business in their own country and move it to another where wages are far lower then that is exactly what they will do? They aren’t paternalistic. If that means putting hundreds, thousands or even millions of people in their own country out of work they couldn’t care less. Corporations are out to pay the lowest wages possible. Whether or not the people in Britain or the United States could live on such a low wage means nothing to the multi-nationals, yet when their product is made in another country and then imported back into their own they still expect their previous employees and other people to buy it. Should they not do so, they can always give themselves a few perks, like tax breaks and ‘bail outs’ that recompense themselves for their lack of foresight. In fact, being the government, they can under various pretexts give themselves pretty much anything that they wish to. They don’t really have to account for anything, because being a ‘corporate government’ they can simply ‘classify’ and make secret anything that might in any way embarrass them. Even worse, they can – and indeed do, make the taxpayer responsible for their ‘business mistakes’ so that taxpayers end up paying for them, no matter what the cost.

When corporations own government's they indulge themselves in all kinds of scams, legal or not. Like huge no-bid multi-billion dollar contracts to huge companies that they have a personal interest in. They do whatever they like and make laws to suit themselves, then they have their government bullyboy's, such as the police, armed forces and their 'intelligence services' enforce whatever scam they have devised, which will make them even more money. Should any of these scams involve a huge loss of life, as it has in many instances, they are glossed over by pretences of it being 'in the national interest' or that old favorite 'national security' or indeed anything else that suits their purpose. To get away with these various scams and deceptions these 'government insiders' bond together in various clubs and associations where behind closed doors they can organize their future plans uninterrupted by anyone but their own kind.

Oil, armaments and banking to finance these government scams have all featured prominently over the years. The huge profits that are brought in to those involved in these 'business' undertakings are hard to resist. The prices of these commodities can be set at whatever level those concerned wish them to be. So, any 'profits' made can be shared by the insiders involved both in and out of government. As these businesses are in reality a part of 'government' itself, all and any costs of providing them can again simply be passed along to the taxpayer to pay for. That is why our governments just love starting wars. Any war, just so long as it needs plenty of armaments and oil to keep it running along smoothly for as long as possible. Forget about all the lives lost, on any side of a war, they don't count so far as corporate governments are concerned. The dead bodies resulting from wars are simply used for propaganda purposes in any way that 'justifies' the war having been started in the first place.

When populations ask their government for money to improve education facilities build or repair hospitals, bridges, or roads etc, politicians throw up their hands in despair saying that no money, or not enough is available, but when it comes to wars hundreds of billions of pounds and dollars suddenly appear out of thin air as though by magic as the money is spent seemingly without end on armaments, and costly new weapons, without any thought of where it is all coming from and who in the end is going to foot the bill - because the government knows that you are taxpayer, each and every time. They have you by the balls because they are the 'government' and you are going to do anything they tell you to without question or any choice in the matter, because the government is 'their business'.

That people in general actually believe what politicians tell them is of constant amazement to me. They actually do believe that their government is going to act in the best interests of everybody. Even when government 'leaders' tell lie after lie almost on a daily basis most of these people still continue to trust them for some strange reason. However, when some of them don't and start to enquire more deeply into what their governments are doing, they soon do come to doubt much of which government is supposed to be doing - but obviously isn't, so they then graduate into being 'troublesome' to their government. A recent example is an accountant in the United States that discovered a scam in the government's book keeping. He found that almost every taxpayer in the country was paying 45% more tax than they needed to. Other researchers have discovered many other huge frauds over the years. But on orders from the government, most of these scams have been quickly covered up by their 'intelligence agencies' through using whatever means they found necessary, which includes the smearing and persecution of whistleblowers, harassment, stalking, torture and murder, which is the whole point of this article. Politics is indeed a very dirty business, and government dominated by huge corporations, is the dirtiest business of all. If the government of George W. Bush hasn't proven that fact to you, nothing else will.

Where all the money goes: Two sets of books (video).

<http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/512.html>

A few trillion here, a trillion few there. The bailout, the frauds,
and the war on terror <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/531.html>

The Police State Road Map www.policestateplanning.com

Here is a comment by the writer Ed Lewis.

Live in Shame or Freedom - you choose the path By Ed Lewis

"I as an American patriot am concerned by what appears to be an extremely short attention span of the American people. The concern is derived mainly from even the informed seeming to have forgotten certain events of recent history. The uninformed on with their lives never knowing the extent of corruption in government even though it steals from them 50 percent of their earnings annually - but the informed should not fall into the same abyss.

The FBI made a statement that there was not one shred of evidence that Al Qaeda was involved in the September 11 attacks on WTC. But, instead of evidence mounting and being conveyed on to the American public, even

some Internet publications speak of Al Qaeda's involvement but not of the lack of evidence.

The attacks by the US Government in other countries are not backed by a declaration of war against the countries, or even a Letter of Reprisal, the only two lawful means of attacking another country. The world does not say this - the US Constitution does.

Without a declaration of war, there should be no American military in other countries killing innocent people and being killed.

And, yet, opposition to the US Government and its military and organizations, such as the CIA, seems to have gone by the wayside. The military, CIA, DEA, FBI, and who knows what other black ops of the US Government, are still operating in other countries as terrorists. Yes, terrorists since the same actions committed by Middle Eastern groups - or American citizens against the government - would be labeled terroristic, with the current warmongering administration demanding the innocent people in other countries be bombed back to the Stone Age. This, of course, accepts Israel.

THERE IS NO WAR AGAINST TERRORISM. In fact, the pseudo war against terrorism is being used to inflict terrorism not only on the people of other nations but also on the American people. For a while, it seemed that this was going to remain in the forefront.

Anyway, it is no wonder that in the past 5 decades, this country has rapidly devolved into a country paralleling Nazi Germany, Mao's China, Stalin's Russia, and Castro's Cuba. With people who cannot keep in mind the atrocious acts against liberty by the governments, it is no wonder that the American people have few freedoms left.

When the people believe the tripe put out by the Bushes, Ashcrofts, judges, attorneys, Rumsfelds, Zionist leaders, and members of the many legislators of governments, and then support these treasonous people and organizations, we should no longer wonder why court rulings such as the one by the 9th Circuit court are made.

They make them because they know they can. They know that the majority of American people are a bunch of couch potato's who will not go to any trouble whatsoever to re-instate the freedoms of man by going against government's intent on removing them.

Damn it all, People, why can you not see what is occurring? Why do you not take action instead of sitting back on your laurels believing that the swine in government are protecting freedom and you? Why do you leave it up to a few people who understand and who are willing to take action for the good of all to become the targets of governments completely out of control?"

Whatever, today many other governments also use untrue claims of 'communist infiltration'- 'Terrorism' or both to smear their political opponents and to scare the public into supporting internal repression.

I give details of the above writer simply because the mainstream American press usually won't. Like the British press, it appears to have been reduced to printing government handouts and propaganda rather than allowing the full voice of internal dissent to be heard.

References for section one:

- (1) World Medical Association (WMA).
- (2) Jacobsen. L Vesti. P. Torture Survivors. RTC. Copenhagen. Denmark. 1992. P.14.
- (3) World Medical Association.
- (4) RCT Annual Report. Copenhagen. Denmark. 1984. P.4
- (5) D. Malcolm. The Guardian, Society Section. 1-2-95. P.2
- (6) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. 1980. P.102.
- (7) Kidron. M. Segal. R. Business, Money & Power. Pan Books. London. 1987. P.90.
- (8) Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Fontana/Collins. (UK) 197

Section Two 2-1 The psychological torture of dissidents.

Simple political dissent appears to be the main reason for torture. And this method of repression is now being used in over eighty countries, which, of course means of that those countries are police states. In true democracies, people are allowed to openly disagree with what their government says or does without fear of persecution, arrest, or torture. In police states, they are not. Indeed anyone who freely speaks their mind in a police state may well be termed a dissident and 'a subversive', which in many cases will lead to them being 'targeted' by that countries security forces. This means that some form of 'action' may well be carried out against them. This action may result in the arrest, torture and indeed the death of the dissident.

Today many so-called democracies (1) practice torture. Although, the use of the word 'torture' is - at the insistence of some governments, now being replaced by the words 'cruel and inhuman treatment.' This play-on-words does not fool the tortured, nor, hopefully, will it fool anyone else.

The psychological torture of dissidents has been a fact for a great many years in some countries. Governments from both the East and West have used it and it continues to be used today. Indeed, its use has now become highly sophisticated, and modern technology allows security forces to torture and murder people at will, with - it seems, almost total impunity.

As already stated; psychological torture is favored by many governments for the simple reason that such methods show no obvious scars. It is, therefore, very easy for such governments to claim that they do not use torture against their dissidents. As torture is still often thought of by the general public as being purely physical in nature governments may well have their denials accepted.

Ignorance of psychological torture methods has been compounded by the real lack of public information about the subject. There is much to suggest that this kind of information has been censored or hidden wherever possible by almost all governments. It has also cast grave doubts about the so-called 'free press' that many Western governments claim to have in their countries.

Of course, it has to be accepted that this type of information in the wrong hands could easily be misused. However, this fact alone does not explain the paranoia shown by some governments when they are questioned on the subject. Such behavior may give the impression that some governments have far more to hide than just information.

Indeed, many governments have been so successful in using psychological torture on their dissidents they are now refining their methods further still and using psychological torture techniques as a form of 'social engineering.'

This particular form of social control may appear to be unbelievable to some people but to an expert on the subject it is a perfectly natural step for most governments to take - if they believe they can avoid public outcry. And unfortunately, many governments do.

The exact methods of psychological control, and torture, have over the years become so refined that they have almost been turned into an art form.

It is highly desirable for any government to have a good international image. No government is now as isolated as it used to be. Computers and information technology have encircled the globe in a vast communications network. The image a government gives to the world at large is all-important. It is not just a question of ethics or morality but also a question of profits, and the growth of big business.

There is probably a degree of corruption in all governments. Self-interest, and the maintenance of the 'status quo' often come first. Those who seek change may do so at great personal risk. When considering the millions, and sometimes billions of dollars and pounds in profits made by many governments and its supporters, the lives of some human beings are often not considered at all. However, killing dissenters is no longer fashionable with governments who wish the rest of the world to consider their country as one that is both educated and civilized. For one thing, the number of dissenters may have risen into millions and murder on that scale can no longer be hidden as it once was. Yet, the dissenter, so far as some governments are concerned, must still be silenced. Of course, if the 'democracy' in question were indeed a true one then dialogue, rather than repression and violence, would ensue. The problem is though, that false democracies are not interested in dialogue, only political dogma.

Educated people realize this and speak out with the hope that violence can be avoided. Unfortunately, these are the very people who soon become known as 'troublemakers'. This is brought about through government-sponsored smears and character assassination in attempts to silence the dissenter.

These 'troublemakers' are then quickly classified by that government as being 'subversives and communists', and therefore a danger to society. That is why so many outspoken men and women have suddenly found themselves being described as 'terrorists.' The transition from one label to another is just a matter of time. It is far easier for governments to deceive and manipulate the public by saying they are dealing with terrorists and communists than it is for them to admit that they have removed the right of free speech.

Freedom of thought, inquiry and expression is the very basis of any true democracy. Without these virtues, there can be no democracy, yet governments all over the world proclaim themselves democracies while at the same time they continue to deprive their citizens of almost any democratic rights. This sad state of affairs is made even worse when other democracies appear to tolerate the charade, but many do so. By doing so, they undermine not only their own credibility but also give the go-ahead to other authoritarian governments, who in turn use further repressive measures against their own dissidents. They do so under the guise of protecting 'state interests' or 'our democracy.' The outcome of this type of deception is that there are now more governments than ever calling their countries democracies when in fact they are 'police states.'

2-2 Police States

A police state posing as a democracy is a very dangerous state indeed. Not only to its own, people but also to the world at large.

Any government that is controlled by its security forces rather than by representatives freely elected by the people is not only a fraud, in the age of nuclear weapons it is a direct threat to world peace and stability.

Progress cannot be prevented, nor indeed should it be. When those who reject change deny the free will of the people, unrest and war will surely follow. Yet, all over the world a few highly privileged people are repressing millions whilst torturing and murdering many thousands more under the banner of false democracies. It would be very foolish to believe that such states can continue without a global catastrophe ensuing at some future date, quite possibly in the very near future. The terrible New York 9/11 disasters may well be only a brief taste of what may befall us all in the years ahead.

Today, atomic or other highly lethal devices (3) can be installed in a small briefcase and carried around the world with ease. Indeed, some 'terrorists' have both the knowledge and the capacity to obtain such weapons, and, if pushed far enough - also to use them against any government they choose. No matter how powerful that government may be.

Never the less, many people do have faith in their 'leaders', in spite of the terrible mess some of them have made of their countries. It is however pure folly to allow the use of torture, under the name of democracy, without expecting the very worst kind of retribution. Therefore, before this happens people and governments must stop fooling themselves and face reality. And the reality is that some governments are now using torture on a vast scale in order to force their populations into accepting lies as truth and truth as lies.

This kind of repression and manipulation has to be recognized for what it is - brainwashing and psycho-control.

2-3 Brainwashing

Brainwashing, or psycho control, is now the preferred method used by many governments in attempts to prevent true democracy being gained by their populations. Such methods must be brought out into the open and exposed for what they are. In addition other governments who have either directly or indirectly supported such methods should be revealed for what they are - the worst kind of hypocrites. Only then, will the world progress fully into real peace and prosperity most people desire.

No one, under any pretence whatsoever, should be denied freedom of thought, inquiry or expression. Should the people be denied those rights then they have the human right to obtain them by all means possible.

So-called 'forms' of democracy are nothing more than shams and charades. They should be rejected outright. Countries that use a 'form' of democracy are nothing more than police states.

References for section two

- (1) Pinter. H. A War of Words. Red Pepper Magazine. No 12. May 1995. Article. P12.
- (2) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control.
- (3) Woollacot. M. The Whiff of Terror. The Guardian. Article. 21-3-95. P22.

Section Three

3-1 Why governments prefer psychological torture.

Scenes of brutality quickly outrage any truly democratic population and most people regard torture as being the most repulsive of all punishments. Torture has however become so addictive to some governments, or rulers; they are loath to rid themselves of its use. They wish at all costs to silence the dissent of their people yet they do not want their government portrayed as abusive or which employs torture, especially one that tortures their writers and artists. It appears far better in the United Nations if the government of a country seems to be benevolent towards those who disagree with its policies.

Whatever, the private face of governments is all too often very different from the one shown to the United Nations. Governments can, and may well commit any act they consider necessary to silence any form of dissent, legal or not.

Psychological torture is, for some governments, an ideal solution to dissent. Today the actual techniques of psychological torture have become so refined and the results so effective that its use has been sanctioned by corrupt governments worldwide.

3-2 Psychological Torture Techniques.

Once learned the techniques of psychological torture are very easy for government security services to apply. They have the power to quickly gain the control they need in order to put the techniques into practice and silence or 'neutralize' the dissident.

Usually a very special government 'Psy-Ops' department is created and kept very secret, known only to those who organize and staff it. Even elected high government officials often remain ignorant of this department's existence (or

pretend to be). Whatever, only those who hold the true reigns of power usually know of this specialized department. (1) Such departments are also sometimes known as 'Political-Action Operations'. (2)

These political action departments are often hidden under the guise of protecting 'National Security'. (3) What that really means is that it is protecting people who grossly abuse state power. And all government torturers do exactly that.

Reports suggest that only very experienced operatives staff this type of secret government department. By necessity, some of them will be doctors, others will be psychologists, psychiatrists, and so on, and others will be experienced in related fields of expertise. There will also be the so-called 'heavies' outside the department plus other operatives and 'goon-squads' (4) whose work it is to implement orders from above to the letter.

Those on the 'inside' of this secret government department know exactly what they are doing and also what the results of their work will be. Those on the 'outside' of the torture department need to know very little of what is really happening to the 'target' and their work is carried out on a strictly 'need to know' basis. They simply carry out any given orders to the letter. These people are usually gullible political degenerates who are led to believe that they are serving their country by doing whatever is asked of them without question.

This kind of undercover organization is ideal for any government security service as it can be kept very low key. Few people need to know about it, fewer people still will know of the 'whole picture.' The cost of running such a department, in relation to other areas of suppressing dissent, may be minimal. This kind of department can be located within any government building and it would appear to be just another suite of offices. Few people would actually suspect that such a department is in all reality a 'torture chamber' - yet that is exactly what it would be.

This type of government department has very special powers and 'clearance' facilities plus all the appropriate documents to go with it. When shown evidence of their identity by the personnel of this department any other branch of government will usually comply with whatever 'assistance' is requested. That includes the armed forces, the police and the 'intelligence community' in general. Experience has shown that members of the public will also comply with whatever is required of them. Indeed, I have yet to meet an exception to this, as members of the public are never told the real reason for what is being asked of them. They are simply deceived and

misled into believing that they are being 'patriotic' by doing so. Deception, however, is the business of the security forces and it is amazing just how far some members of the public will go in helping government torturers go about their work. Even when they do suspect what is happening to a dissident 'target', as they surely must.

The above then is all that is required during the first stages of suppressing dissidents without the general public being aware of what is happening right under their noses.

3-3 Public Ignorance.

It could be argued that a government's use of torture would soon become public knowledge, no matter what precautions had been taken by the security services of that country. However, this view does not take into account the vast amount of power held and ruthlessly used by some governments and their agents.

Many people wrongly believe that any form of torture would quickly become apparent and that a nation's newspapers and television stations would expose such a situation to wide public scrutiny at very short notice. Sadly, however, that is certainly not the case today. It may once have been but the kind of insidious censorship now practiced by many governments prevents much 'real news' from ever reaching the public at all. Indeed, 'the media' has become as corrupt as most governments. It panders mainly to the trivial; the true investigative reporters of old have all but vanished from the mainstream media. Honest reporters and writers quickly find that 'big business' now decides what will be published or not. Going against 'the system' - particularly in matters of so-called 'state security', very rarely pays off. Indeed, many editors were 'allowed' to take up their positions through supporting the system in the first place. In the United Kingdom, for instance, newspaper editors often retire 'with honors' for not upsetting the system. (5) And not, as it might be thought, because they were outstanding editors. (As the state of many British 'newspapers' readily shows!)

No British newspaper editors received a Knighthood after exposing my report 'Torture in Britain' (6) to the public gaze. The same can be said of British television, where hundreds, if not thousands, of programs have been censored for simply daring to attempt to tell the truth about all kinds of subjects.

Whatever, should British newspaper editors decide to chance their luck there is always the covert censorship of the 'D' Notice system and the notorious 'Official Secrets Act.'

It is thus to be expected that the British media in particular, have a feeble record on these issues, either through observance of the above Acts, managerial censorship or reporter/producer self-censorship (7). Indeed, the American human rights monitoring group 'Freedom House', ranked Britain's press as being on a level with that of Gambia. And the late British Prime Minister, Sir Edward Heath, stated in a New Statesman article that Britain's press; "Has now got the lowest standards of any press in the world.' (8)

Hugo Young, the late British columnist, also wrote; "The media and politicians face a crisis of mistrust." He called it; "The kingdom of systemic lies" and he asked; "For how long will these two interests, purporting to educate the voters, in fact conspire against them?" (9)

Indeed, as John Pilger also related in one of his articles (10). In 1991, Richard Norton-Taylor also informed us through an article in the Guardian that 500 prominent Britain's, including 90 media representatives, also in prominent positions, were paid operatives of the American Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), which again illuminates the British media as being essentially nothing more than an extension of 'the state'.

John Swinton, former Chief of Staff, The New York Times, comments on the American media:

"There is no such thing, at this date of the world's history, in America, as an independent press. You know it and I know it... The business of the Journalist is to destroy truth; to lie outright; to pervert; to vilify; to fawn at the feet of mammon, and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it, and I know it and what folly is this toasting an independent press? We are the tools and vassals for rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks, they pull the strings and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and or lives are all the property of other men.

We are intellectual prostitutes."

The very same sad state of affairs also applies to the media in many other countries. Such enterprises are more often than not controlled and governed by the very people, who in one way or another, 'belong' to government. They are therefore hardly likely to seriously criticize government. (Let alone accuse it of torture and murder.)

So, it stands to reason that any researcher investigating allegations as serious as torture and murder by governments can quickly get into much trouble. And it will quickly become apparent to them that their lives count for very little when compared to high finance and the comfort of those in power.

Indeed, the callous indifference to suffering of any kind by some governments can only be described as nauseating.

Some writers, however, are not willing to ignore the torture and murder of dissidents. As the deaths of many of these journalists in all parts of the world has clearly shown. Yet, many of these writers died in vain because a public, both ignorant and unaware of modern torture techniques, failed to grasp what was happening to them or simply did not want to know. Indeed, the very mention of psychological torture is frowned on and discouraged by governments simply because it is used by them as a form of social control (11). That being the case they prefer the knowledge of such technology and the methods of its use be kept secret from the public.

Whatever, "Some governments openly use torture to create a climate of fear in the general population so as to discourage it from providing support to the victims of it, and also to intimidate potential political activists. To set torture as the price of dissent is to be assured that only a small minority will dare to do so (12).

3-4 Social control by psychological torture.

Not all the various techniques of psychological torture can be readily used for social control. Though, with modification, some important features can be used quite successfully. For instance, when a dissident 'target' is constantly smeared, harassed and intimidated, and so on, within the general population of a town or city then the public will very quickly realize that 'stepping out of line' would also mean that they too would receive the same kind of treatment should they be tempted to dissent in any way.

Populations are usually kept in ignorance of the widespread use of torture within their country (13). However, when many such cases do become apparent the people are lied to and led to believe that what they are seeing are simply a few isolated (and deserving) cases. And indeed, under gross media censorship how can the public know otherwise?

The failure to understand how these control techniques are actually used could well mean an end to the democratic process, as it is understood today.

The view that it is only a country's dissident writers, artists and teachers who will suffer torture arises from a gross misunderstanding of the true situation. Such people are usually targeted first because of their skills in communicating with people and because warnings of government corruption, more often than not, come from them in the first place. That is why many outspoken writers, teachers, etc are considered as being potentially 'dangerous' by governments. It is why so many of them have

been murdered over the years. It was to prevent them informing the population of the true situation in their country, which quite often is very different from what governments would have their populations believe.

However, when such warnings are disbelieved, or not given, then the general population of a country becomes the secondary target for psy-control. In support of this view, there are many examples in the world where such situations have already been brought about. Guatemala, Salvador, Nicaragua, Argentina, Burma, Chile, Rhodesia, Mozambique, Angola, Nigeria, South West Africa and the former Yugoslavia were once all thriving countries. Its Afrikaner rulers also turned South Africa into a terrible police state, afterwards spreading their terror and destabilization tactics into surrounding countries and all but destroyed them too. But eventually the torture and murder that had long been employed by the South African Bureau of State Security (B.O.S.S.) succeeded only in ensuring its own failure and downfall.

There are many other examples, and what they all point to is that when both its people and world opinion turns against a government then that government must inevitably not only admit to the repression of its own citizens it must also set the people free.

A country, which has a desire to uphold true and honest democratic traditions, provides against the misuse of power by having a true 'Bill of Rights and a Freedom of Information Act.' Laws that are particularly intended to protect the ordinary citizen from government domination and repression. Freedom of thought, inquiry and expression should be guaranteed to all citizens. Without those virtues, a country can only be called a police state.

3-5 Manipulation by the state.

A police state as such can easily manipulate a population into doing whatever 'the state' requires. Top positions in government, the media, the arts, industry and especially the police and security services, are always held by those loyal to 'the state'. These individuals are then 'honored' by 'the state' for their support of it. Ordinary people, who support the population as a whole, rather than 'puppets' of the state, are rarely allowed to gain positions of real authority. Thus, heads of government (even a government supposedly in opposition) are allowed into positions of real power only after their loyalty to 'the state' has first been clearly demonstrated. This kind of state can always be seen for exactly what it is by the way it operates. The 'rules' are rarely changed. People remain in the same positions long after more capable people should have replaced them. Promotion and often 'honors'

may reward even sheer inefficiency if the person has been loyal and supportive of the government. As a result, corruption from government leaders downward becomes the norm in almost every field of endeavor, and as the country sinks slowly forever downwards into apathy, mediocrity and ruin, it is hailed by its government as being 'highly successful', whilst in reality its peoples are falling into an abyss of unemployment, depression and poverty. However, because of 'state loyalty' the latter is frequently obscured by much of the 'state controlled media' who is then viewed as parroting the 'official line'. It continues to declare that all is well, and suggests that any social or political 'problems' are caused by trade unions, black people, Jews, communists, socialists, so-called terrorists, people who refuse to work for nothing, and a world recession, particularly the latter.

The 'state' it seems is rarely ever wrong. Or so, the state media would have ordinary people believe. And in a police state where the population is psychologically brainwashed, repressed and psycho-controlled a great many people do believe exactly that.

As Walter Bowart says; (14)

"Since World War II the cryptocracy (secret government) has used electronic technology to manipulate foreign people, as well as the American people, through a campaign of carefully planned misinformation, disinformation, and propaganda."

Any dissenter may quickly be silenced by 'the state.'

3-6 The technology of control.

Information technology provision and the expansion of computer networks, and so on, are already seriously under much scrutiny by governments who wish to control all information between citizens, no matter where in the world they are. Indeed, heavy censorship of the Internet has already begun.

The British Government Communications Headquarters Cheltenham (GCHQ), and the American National Security Agency (NSA) base at Menwith Hill, near Harrogate, north Yorkshire, (plus other bases at Edzell, Chicksands, Feltwell, Molesworth, and Bude) are trying to promote controversial encryption technology, which allows the security services to read all personal computer files (and alter or delete them). And eventually, one way or another, they may well succeed. As things are, the British security services are censoring the Internet with the cooperation of some on-line service providers and hosts. Future legislation will force all British service providers to cooperate whether they wish to or not. Indeed, the security services in Britain are trying to totally control the Internet there and

they may well succeed in doing so. After which no criticism of the government or themselves will be allowed at all.

The British media as such is already censored to an unbelievable degree with MI-5 agents or informers being on the staff of every newspaper in the country. Many British breweries, also long time supporters of fascism, have willingly allowed their pubs, clubs and hotels to be secretly wired for sound and vision so that 'Big Brother' in the form of MI-5 and the police Special Branch (secret police) can see and listen to everything said inside them. So much so that many foreign businessmen have been warned by their governments against using 'careless talk' when visiting Britain, whilst others have been told not to do any business there at all. Even the prestigious American magazine 'Smart Business' featured an article that suggested American business leaders think twice before starting any operation in the UK.

So far as the Internet is concerned Britain's MI-5 is (and has been for quite some time) monitoring all computer on-line services under various guises such as preventing computer hacking, child pornography and the misuse of drugs. It should be added that MI-5 is shielded from public scrutiny and accountability and it is also exempt from the British governments so-called 'open government code of practice', which at best, can only be described as a total sham.

Such actions against citizens are easily hidden from view and can always be disguised under a variety of persuasive 'measures' or 'laws' that are designed to both 'reassure and fool' the population at the same time.

Why any fair-minded government would not welcome criticism as an indication of its proclaimed fairness and democracy is beyond me. However, repeatedly, some governments have set up secret departments that oppose the very standards society rightly expects. Is there any wonder that populations may then see their supposed 'democracy' as nothing more than a joke? Indeed, writing about the American 'Security Forces' and their protection of 'democracy' Bowart states (15):

"The cryptocracy (secret government) invades the privacy of citizens and businesses. It meddles in the internal politics of foreign nations, often violently; hires trained killers to assassinate heads of state and may control key figures in the U.S. and world press. It may also have attempted to control U.S. elections."

Could the latter be a mirror image of Britain's MI-5, MI-6, and its secret police, the Special Branch?

References for section three

- (1) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.39-46.
- (2) Agee. P. Inside the Company. Penguin Books. Middlesex. 1975. P.79
- (3) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.53.
- (4) Agee. P. Inside the Company. Penguin Books. Middlesex. 1975. P.82
- (5) Snow. J. Bylines, spy lines and a bidden agenda. The Guardian. 30-12-94
- (6) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. P.243.
- (7) Marthoz. Jean - Paul. Where a free press is fettered by party links. The European. 26-5-94. P.23
- (8) Smyth. G. New Statesman & Society. 26th May. 1995. Article. P.19.
- (9) Young. H. Nothing could be further from the truth. The Guardian. 15-6-95.
- (10) Pilger. J. The real enemy within. New Statesman & Society. 6-1-95. P.16.
- (11) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. P.32-37
- (12) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. P.233.
- (13) Gearty. C. The Blinkered barrister. Article. The Guardian. 9-3-94. P.22
- (14) Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Fontana/Collins. 1978. P.24.

Section Four

4-1 Psychological torture action groups.

Without any personal experience of the subject, it is extremely difficult for anyone to understand how a supposedly democratic country can use psychological torture, nor fully comprehend the kind of trauma the people 'targeted' for such actions suffer. However, using the writers own experience as a torture victim plus information gained from other sources and survivors, the following information will serve as a good indication of how such 'action groups' are organized and used in the United Kingdom and some other countries.

Psy-op's actions are usually directed against a particular target. This may be political dissident, a writer, artist, union activist or a human rights worker. Or indeed it could be anyone who is in a position that allows them to gather and distribute information which exposes the hidden agenda of the government in power, and who may be capable of organizing opposition to it.

I am not writing about any kind of illegal opposition to government, simply opposition that has not passed through 'the usual channels' (1). This is where the political police (Special Branch) 'filter out' those people who refuse to accept a political system they believe to be corrupt (2). For instance; if a political system is organized to favor a particular party or group it cannot be considered democratic. It will resist change at all levels. Indeed, when people see that elected representatives cannot bring about meaningful

change then the option to organize outside of the present system is a perfectly natural thing to do.

The acceptance of an obvious corrupt political system would be seen as pointless. Therefore it may be seen as necessary to organize outside of 'the established system' to gain true democracy, even if one should be viewed as a political dissident for doing so.

In the United Kingdom, this kind of political action is supposed to be perfectly legal, yet, when this kind of 'opposition' is attempted by dissidents the security services, MI-5 and the police Special Branch (secret police), may immediately target such opposition as being 'subversive' and therefore 'dangerous to the state.'

Indeed, in spite of what many people in Britain may believe, any outspoken opposition to the so-called 'accepted political establishment' may place the speaker in grave danger of being 'targeted' and listed as 'the enemy within.' This will almost certainly result in some form of action being taken against the dissident, as many political activists have found to their cost.

To counter this aggressive state activity some British politicians eagerly point out 'speakers corner' in London, and the absurd figures of some screaming political idiots as examples of Britain's so-called democratic freedoms. These 'freedoms' are, however, nothing more than myths, as anyone inside the country can prove simply by trying to use true democracy. Indeed, the following factual account details what may really happen to those who do so.

4-2 Typical action plan

According to one source: (3) Psyop's (Psyop's for short is used by both British and American security forces rather than using the long description of 'Psychological Warfare Operations.') training in the use of torture methods in the United Kingdom is undertaken at the Joint Warfare Establishment, which is located at the National Defense College in Latimer. Indeed, by 1976 almost two thousand British army officers had been trained to use psychological techniques purely for internal security purposes. And, in Britain, SAS (Special Air Service) undercover operatives now work with the police Special Branch in all major cities. (4)

When security forces order 'action' against a political dissident, it will be put into effect almost immediately. The action will be carried out in stages and overseen by the relevant experts as each stage of the operation progresses with a view to quickly bringing about disorientation (a dramatic change in one's circumstances), a personality change within the dissident and also a

neurotic defense. This will almost inevitably lead the dissident into maladaptive ways of dealing with his, or her surroundings, and probably into psychotic depression (Severe depression in which the individual loses contact with reality and suffers from an array of impairments of normal functioning).

In using psychological torture, the dissident's body is not (initially) to be broken - only the mind. Therefore, a plan of action is called for that will accomplish exactly that.

To prevent the dissident from doing what he or she would do in any normal situation it is necessary to interfere with the usual functioning of their mind. In other words, their personality has to be broken down and altered until they become 'disorientated' and ready for 're-programming.' This will simply be the beginning of a personality change that can be continued in almost any direction the psyop's torture team desire.

Just how far this process is taken will depend upon the agreed action plan and upon the 'classification' of the dissidents themselves. Often it will depend on just how 'dangerous' the dissidents are considered to be by those who want them silenced and 'neutralized.' Whatever, once the latter question has been decided by psyop's, their torture department will, by the extensive use of their combined knowledge, plus a fully documented personal history of the dissident, begin to put their plan into action.

When this plan is put into action, it will begin the effective, and sometimes permanent, personality change in the dissident. This may well result in a state of mind called 'situational neurosis.' (A neurotic syndrome produced by a particular environmental situation, usually one, which caused a great deal of stress, anxiety or other trauma.)

How effective this 'personality change' will be depends entirely on the intelligence of the dissidents in question, Such as their knowledge of psychology, psychiatry and so on, plus other factors. It is difficult to determine in advance exactly how any particular individual will react to psychological torture and psycho-control. Whatever, for the process to work satisfactorily a constant feedback of information is required so that Psyop's can constantly modify the action as the plan proceeds to its conclusion?

This requires that the 'targeted' dissident be both isolated as an individual and 'monitored' at will as the action progresses. This 'monitoring' is carefully carried out by the 'inside' team experts.

As reported by Fitzgerald (5) and Wright (6) 'bugging' by both sound and vision devices is easy for any government intelligence service. These bugging devices can be installed in wall cavities, television sets and recorders, telephones and so on and today they may be monitored from almost anywhere on earth. It is the job of these electronics experts to make sure that these bugs are installed and working properly.

Some of these devices are usually secretly installed inside the dissident's home and some others very close to it. Often in a house or apartment next door, or even a van parked across the street from where the dissident lives. One of these devices is the military microwave machine. Frighteningly effective this device is too. Developed by the American Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), and now used by Psyop's teams throughout the world, this device is used by psychological torture teams to produce what is known as 'Synthetic Telepathy.' It is also known as 'Psychic Driving' or 'Voice Synthesis.' The latter means the remote beaming of audio (voices or other audible signals) directly into the brain of any selected human target. This particular weapon was demonstrated at a classified conference on so-called non-lethal defense, and held at the Kossiakoff Center, Applied Physics Laboratory, at Johns Hopkins University, Laurel, Maryland, on the 16th and the 17th November 1993. This device was however in use by government security forces long before then. The Bureau of State Security (BOSS) used one version of it against me in South Africa during the seventies.

This microwave device has at least two purposes. One. It can beam sounds or any human voice directly into the human brain so that the recipient can clearly hear them. And two, the microwave beams coming from it can also be used to destroy human tissue. As can be imagined, security services the world over quickly began to use this microwave device against their dissidents as they can do so with impunity. Indeed, they have been used for many years by both British and American security forces against their own dissidents. The German and the Australian security forces (amongst many others) are also thought to be using them on their dissidents. Damage from using these military microwave machines may include:

Mild to severe headaches; disorientation, fear and anxiety, sleep deprivation; nervousness and irritability; lethargy and fatigue; stress; nausea; insomnia; eye damage; paralysis; aggression and rage; paranoia and panic attacks; hysteria; schizophrenia; hallucinations; memory loss; disordered thought; uncharacteristic emotions; obsessive behavior; confusion; hopelessness; brain and nervous system damage; heart attacks; fast-acting cancers and severe depression leading to suicide...to name only a few.

When the military microwave machine is directed or 'aimed' at the inside of a dissident's home the dissident is unlikely to become aware of the devices until (a) the torture team wants him to become aware of them. By (Hearing 'voices' in the head) or (b) when the dissident becomes ill or dies from the after effects of the microwave 'beams' being directed at them.

When 'planted' inside the homes of dissidents, various bugging devices will be almost impossible to detect, and the average 'target' cannot prevent their use. This means that security forces can - through the use of ultra miniature motion cameras and other listening devices, both see and hear their 'target' whenever the dissident is inside his/or her property. Through this kind of electronic feedback and co-operation between the various members of the torture team, the plan of action is almost ready to be put into operation. However, according to Agee, who was once a CIA operative, (7) first let us examine the role of the psyop's heavies or 'Goon Squads.'

These goon-squad members are by stature insignificant in comparison to the rest of the torture team. They rarely know more than a little of what is really happening, (need to know) and they are not team 'insiders'. They are however still very essential to the success of an action being taken against a dissident. Members of goon-squads need not have any kind of military background nor even be particularly intelligent. They are used not because they are clever but because they are gullible fools, who will do anything asked of them if they are led to believe that their actions are in the interests of 'The State.' These 'goons' can come from anywhere. They may even be professional criminals' (8). Indeed, from the writer's own personal experience, he knows that some of them are just that. In the United Kingdom and the United States, they may be directly 'recruited' from prisons by Psyop's agents.

The task of these goon-squads is to integrate themselves into the community surrounding the dissident. They then pass on to Psyop's any information concerning the 'target'. They also use 'smear tactics' against the dissident to bring about a 'character assassination' that will eventually result in the dissident's social isolation. This is only the beginning of what will lead to the target's total internal or external exile. In addition to this, these goons will also stalk, harass, provoke or try to intimidate the dissident in many other ways.

4-3 Assessing the plan

Once a particular plan of action has been finalized and is ready to be put into action nothing is left to chance. Governments simply cannot afford to engage themselves in repression and torture unless they are very sure they

will get away with it. However, as reported by Hollingsworth and Norton-Taylor (9) "The intelligence services will do whatever they can get away with."

The writer's own experience in these matters is that when mistakes are made by the security forces and their goon squads it is nearly always due to their over-confidence. These people are often extremely arrogant and self-assured in manner, as Nazi types often are. They believe they own the world and everyone in it. Whatever, these first actions against a dissident are then all that is required before a full ongoing torture action against him/ or her, can begin. The only other consideration would be whether the full operational action against the dissident should be set in motion. This must be given serious thought by those directing the Psyop's team, for once the 'orders' have been given the dissident has in reality all but been sentenced to death.

4-4 Implementing the action.

Once Britain's MI-5 torture department has sanctioned a torture order, a complete action against the dissident begins.

Note: In the United Kingdom no official sanction by a government minister is necessary for the security services to begin a torture action against a dissident. The British security services are, unfortunately, a law unto themselves. British politicians in particular are easily deceived by the security services and are thought to be all but totally ignorant - or pretend to be, of cases concerning 'security torture actions against dissidents.'

Whatever the case may be, after being sanctioned by MI-5 or Special Branch the action against a dissident will then be carried out in stages and to a carefully set pattern. Every detail of the operation will have been well prepared and nothing will have been overlooked. Whether the dissident lives alone, or with others, makes little difference at this stage of the operation. Though, by this time, it is probable that the dissidents who have been targeted by Psyop's are already living alone. Dissidents are by nature usually very determined people. They have a strong will power and are strong psychologically. Their families, however, are not always so, by the time dissidents have been targeted for total 'neutralization' by Psyop's they are usually living alone.

Many writers also tend to live alone because of their work. However, some dissidents do, of course, live with family, friends or lovers. Nevertheless, because of the psychological pressures put upon them by the security forces - and their goon-squads, these relationships may soon quickly break down as the torture team begins to repress and torture the dissident. Thus, the

breaking up of personal relationships is simply the first part of the Psyop's 'softening up' process.

The action against the dissident is now developing as follows:

1. The dissident is denigrated, smeared and discredited wherever possible.
2. This will bring about social isolation, ridicule and provocation by security 'stooges' on a daily basis.
3. This will lead to 'internal exile' the effects of which are well documented by the Rehabilitation and Research Center for Torture Victims (RCT) in Denmark. (35)
4. Security forces will inform anyone who may come into contact with a dissident to denigrate and abuse him in any way possible. They will also be told to use the term 'communist' and 'subversive' when referring to the dissident in any way.
5. Security 'goons' will in public use much verbal abuse against the dissident. They will also try to provoke him in physical confrontations in attempts to criminalize him.
6. If the dissident has a job his/her employer will be contacted by security and he will either lose that job or their work will be made much more difficult (9). If the dissident is self-employed his/her customers will be contacted by security and 'persuaded' to take their business elsewhere. (10)
7. The dissidents phone, fax and mail and e-mail, will be monitored around the clock. Messages will fail to get through and their phone line will suffer from many disruptions.
8. The dissident will suffer much abuse from his 'neighbors'. There will be many 'noise' problems and 'sleep deprivation' will undoubtedly become a daily part of the actions being taken against him.
9. The dissident's property will be entered and damaged on a regular basis whenever the dissident leaves it. His personal property, documents, electronic devices, etc, will be stolen or damaged. Money will also be taken and the dissident's car will be damaged and sabotaged.
10. No matter what locks are installed on a dissident's apartment, or house, the security services are always able to get inside. Having done so, one of the many things they often do is to get into a dissidents computer and alter or delete any files there. This has happened to me many times. They also damage some computers in various ways.

As time progresses, just a few days - or weeks at the most, the dissident will be under no illusion that the pattern of their life is rapidly changing. They will quickly notice that the attitude of people who were once friendly towards them has changed dramatically. There will be much hostility directed at them, sometimes even from people they considered their close friends. As a result, the dissident will, naturally enough, become confused and upset. More so as nobody will have told the dissident the real reason for this change in attitude towards him. The dissident will then be left with a constant anxiety he cannot alleviate. Gradually this in turn will result in chronic stress. He will be unable to concentrate properly and proper sleep will evade him. His work suffers and he will begin to get severe headaches and other disorders.

In effect, the dissident's personality has already begun to change in response to the new environment surrounding them. Soon they will be totally stressed out as they become upset more restless and angry at a situation that is totally beyond their control. This process of change within the dissidents will now also begin to alter their daily habits and their personalities to a degree they could never before have imagined.

The Psyop's torture team will note every single detail of these personality changes and they will be exacerbated wherever possible. For most people their home is their refuge. When friends, work and so on, get them down they can return home and relax. Home is where people unwind from the day's stresses, where their mind can be eased into a restful state and where they can just be themselves away from the cares of the world. Home is where all animals, human or otherwise, can recuperate in order to face another day. Home is not a luxury. Home is a necessity for peace of mind, and the recuperation of the body.

However, in a police state, and during an action against them, dissidents are totally denied the benefits their homes should allow them. Indeed, the Psyop's torture team makes very sure that dissidents receive no peace of mind whatsoever. For their job is to make sure that the dissident cannot recover from the actions being taken against him. They do this by turning the dissident's home into what can only be called a torture chamber.

Sleep deprivation has long been used as a weapon by the security forces (11). Keeping prisoners constantly awake for long periods of time soon reduces them to a very pitiful state of mind. This particular method of torture is fully used against dissidents inside their homes as they discover they suddenly have 'new neighbors' - and these really are the neighbors from hell. For these new neighbors are an essential part of the torture team, and they

will fit neatly into whatever neighborhood the dissident lives in. The difference being that these 'goons' will become very troublesome indeed, particularly if the dissident lives in an apartment. Banging doors and loud music being played throughout the day and night will just be a prelude to the 'goons' actions against their target. Other actions against him/or her will be committed on a daily basis and they will include; stalking, damage to cars and property, burglary, theft of all kinds, and various petty assaults against his person. These assaults are attempts to provoke dissidents into making some kind of physical retaliatory action against them. These attempts at provoking and criminalizing the dissident are a common feature during actions against targets.

Any efforts on the part of the dissident to counter these violations against them and their property will be met with hostility and derision by those to whom they complain. Indeed, it is the dissident 'targets' themselves whom will be labeled as the 'real troublemakers.' They will also be vilified as being 'communists' in attempts to justify the actions being taken against them.

Trying to 'move away' from home and live somewhere else - anywhere else in the same country would be pointless. Psyop's and their goon squads would simply follow the dissident wherever he/or she went. Simply moving house is also no solution to the dissident's problems, so the dissident will be forced to remain where they are in a constantly disturbed frame of mind twenty-four hours a day, which of course is the intention of security. The continuous harassment and provocation is simply an integral part of the action being taken against dissidents. Who may then quite naturally develop a paranoid state of mind? (An acute form of paranoid disorder brought about by an abrupt shift in occupation or in living conditions.)

Being outside their homes also offers no relief to dissidents. For again 'goon squads' follow them, stalking them wherever they may go, constantly trying to provoke them as they try to incite other people to do the same. Wherever the dissident goes he/she will be under constant pressure to defend them self. In so doing, a constant flow of adrenaline is being pumped into their bloodstream, for the dissident quite naturally believes that he, or she, may be attacked and injured at any moment. Adrenaline is nature's way of preparing the body to defend itself against any possible attack. Adrenaline in small amounts is the body's own natural stimulant and in small doses it is quite harmless. However, large amounts of adrenaline induced into the human system become a poison. It can also bring on severe headaches and other trauma.

Note: The overproduction of Adrenaline, also called Epinephrine, may also 'turn off' the digestive system, the reproductive system and indeed lead to a breakdown of the entire human system.

The social isolation of torture victims may also lead to a twofold increase in probable heart attack. Source: UK Equinox. TV Channel 4 7pm. 15/9/96

The latter is simply one of the reasons why Psyop's torture teams make very sure that dissidents will continually be subjected to all kinds of vindictive pressures that undoubtedly will introduce very large amounts of adrenaline into the bloodstream. Indeed, this kind of 'pressure' will be applied against the dissident every single time that he or she leaves their 'home.'

In addition to this type of harassment, persecution and assault from MI-5 and Special Branch agent's the targeted dissidents will also be subjected to the same kind of 'treatment' from local political stooges, the intention being to increase the already tremendous pressure on the dissident to either fight or flee. These measures also act as a 'visible warning' should any other local people be tempted to dissent in any way.

However, even if the dissidents could physically retaliate in order to defend them self against that kind of persecution, neither they, nor anyone else, could do so every single day without sustaining grievous bodily injury. It is simply not possible. So again, the dissidents will find them self in a no win desperate situation. And, of course, the dissident has nobody to complain to. Should anyone try to describe the kind of actions that have just been described to the police, they will, of course deny all responsibility, and simply say that the dissident is suffering from paranoia. Special Branch is the British secret police in any case so any complaints of torture directed at them are pointless and of no use whatsoever.

Accusations of a dissident suffering from paranoia is, of course, exactly the kind of condition security would want believed. For afterwards anything that the dissident says has happened to him / or her would simply be put down to a 'persecution complex.' 'Security' can then change or label that purported condition into anything they want it to mean, which can then result in British dissidents being railroaded into mental institutions by their secret police. This happened to George Farquhar, who then ran the excellent Freedom Network Web site that widely exposed the political corruption in Britain, just as I had so many years before. This kind of repression is still happening to other British dissidents just as it did to many Russian political dissidents years ago.

Note: Dissidents in the UK will in any case almost certainly be accused of paranoia as a part of the actions taken against them by the security services. Researchers on this particular subject may also have similar charges leveled against them.

As the action taking place against the dissident moves steadily along, it is then increased as the process of breaking the dissident continues unabated until a 'personality disintegration', which means changing the dissident's thoughts and personality into whatever the Psyop's department would like them to be, has been accomplished.

Security has more than enough time until this eventuality is brought about, for the dissident is by this time 'locked in.' He or she has been totally isolated. The dissident has no one to turn to for help, and they have nowhere to go. There is no safe heaven for him/her not even in their own home. Slowly but surely, the dissident is being worn down as their entire system begins to disintegrate under all the pressures that have been carefully built up around them and applied for twenty-four hours a day. Indeed, most people would already be on the verge of a severe nervous breakdown, and undoubtedly many of them would breakdown completely. Many 'targets' are thought to have been driven into committing 'suicide' by British security forces. Those that had not become broken human beings would probably be placed in 'accident' situations and killed by 'security' therefore becoming permanently 'neutralized'.

References for section four

- (1) Squall Magazine. No 10. 1995.
- (2) Benn. Tony. MP. Full basket cases. The Guardian. 17-7-95. P.13.
- (3) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.28-29.
- (4) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.45.
- (5) Fitzgerald. P. Leopold. M. Stranger on the line. The Bodley Head. London. 1987. P.178.
- (6) Wright. P. Spycatcher. Heinemann. Australia. 1987.
- (7) Agee. P. Inside The Company. Penguin Books. Middlesex. 1975. P.82.
- (8) Campbell. D. Agents provocateurs. Article. The Guardian. 8-3-94
- (9) Hollingsworth. M Norton-Taylor. R. Blacklist. Current Affairs. Hogarth Press. London. 1988. P.144.
- (10) RTC. Copenhagen. Denmark
- (11) Hollingsworth. M. Norton-Taylor. R. Blacklist. Hogarth Press. London. 1988
- (12) Fitzgerald. P. Leopold. M. Stranger on the line. The Bodley Head. London. 1987.
- (13) Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. London. 1980. P.242.

(14) Group 13-Does the British government have a deniable assassination squad?
http://www.deepblacklies.co.uk/feature_article_listing.htm

Section Five

5-1 Torture in the United Kingdom.

Many people in the United Kingdom still consider that torture is limited to Third World countries. However, as reported by Ackroyd, Margolis, Rosenhead and Shallice (1):

"Britain, France and the United States have all carried out torture in their colonial wars in Aden, Algeria, Vietnam, Salvador, Chile, Guatemala and elsewhere,"

Nevertheless, Vitaliev (2) informs us that Australia, Britain used psychological torture even as far back as the 1850's. (In Port Arthur against its prison population there.) However, after a hundred prisoners or more had been driven insane the prison had to close down, as there was nobody left inside the prison that was sane.

In the early nineteenth century, Ackroyd, Margolis, Rosenhead and Shallice (3) relate in their book that:

"Prisoners were twenty times more likely to be diagnosed insane in Britain's Pentonville prison where solitary confinement was used, than at other prisons where it was not."

5-2 More recent evidence.

Even today, it is claimed by the same authors that 'psychological control' is being used inside British prisons in order to 'break' so-called 'subverters' (4) and that Britain and Germany have been devising new methods of psychological torture to use on people simply suspected of being dissidents.

Some of these methods are explained by Ristow (5). Bloch and Fitzgerald (6) also state that: "By 1976 the British Ministry of Defense confirmed that 1.858 army officers and 262 senior civil servants had been trained to use psychological techniques for internal security purposes." And furthermore that "Psyop's have acquired the ability to launch political campaigns in pursuit of military objectives **without reference to the political regime.**"

Note: If we assume for one moment that these army officers alone had each been responsible for only one case of torture per year since 1976 then it is fair to say that at least 35.000 persons in Britain may have been tortured by them. However, if the civil servants in question have also used their training

to organize torture, (why train them in such methods if they were not going to use them) and they in their turn were responsible for only one tortured person each year since 1976, that would add on another 4.978 victims, which when added together would make a total of over 40.000 British torture victims.

Whatever, it is highly unlikely that the British Ministry of Defense would go to such great expense in training their agents to torture without expecting a far higher return than that. Indeed, the stated officials, whether military or civilian, were senior in rank and were quite obviously trained as instructors. They would have been expected to form torture teams and departments of their own amongst the lower ranks, whose business it would be to actually carry out these actions against the population.

From the writers own experience in various parts of the world, including the United Kingdom, it is known that torture team departments may contain anything from five people upwards, half of them being specialists of one kind or another. Thousands of these specialist teams practice their trade in various locations all around Britain wherever they are 'needed.' So, it may be assumed that a large number of 'target dissidents' are attended to every year by each department. As stated already, due to their ignorance on the subject of psychological torture, a normal dissident target would be 'taken out' in a matter of weeks, or a few months at the most. It therefore follows that the latter suggested figure of 40.000 targets may well be vastly underestimated. The true figure could be twice as high, or indeed ten times as high. Nobody outside of the security services could be expected to know. And, of course, many of the dissident's 'victims' will be dead. For torture has never been carried out in any country, without they're being many fatalities. Death hides the crimes of the 'security forces' just as it has always done.

As I have stated, the above figures are just an assumption. They may be wrong. However, would any genuine human rights organization take that chance? Would they not want to try and check for themselves? In the light of new torture victims, appearing almost on a daily basis one could certainly be forgiven for thinking so. Human rights researchers are not fools. They know the history of the security services worldwide. They know just how powerful those organizations are. And they know of their lies and deceptions. So why do these human rights organizations not act? Have we learned nothing from the holocaust of the Second World War? Don't they know that they too might be the next victims of these modern day nazis?

That British Human Rights organizations have done nothing whatsoever to protest the horrors being committed by MI-5 - nor done anything to help the

many British torture victims, is an insult to anyone who ever supported them. Amnesty International, Liberty and Redress have all been severely criticized for not daring to confront and expose psychological torture and repression in the UK - yet their inaction has remained constant for many years. So much so, that one can't help but wonder just what is it they do with the many millions of pounds they collect in donations from the public each year. They certainly don't use it to protect the British citizens it came from.

Amnesty International in particular should be ashamed at their inaction. In 1993 Pierre Sané the secretary-general of Amnesty said;

"Governments are prepared to go to great lengths to cover up their crimes. They know that a bloodstained human rights record will damage their international image. So, they set up phony human rights institutions to cover up crimes. They use sophisticated public relations techniques, lies behind lies. Governments use death squads and undercover agents so that they can deny involvement. Everything has become much more subtle since Amnesty International was founded. To make people disappear you need sophisticated security arrangements, and secret departments. Human rights abuses have not gone away. They have just gone further into the dark."

Is that the answer - are British human rights organizations 'phony' too?

Whatever, much more research is needed. In view of the past sordid history of action against dissidents by Britain's security services, dare any human genuine rights organization ignore the situation? In Britain - quite apparently so. The silence of so-called human rights organizations in the UK gives cause for much concern. Particularly since the writers Ackroyd, Margolis, Rosenhead and Shallice (7) report that the British security services MI-5, MI-6 and the military SAS, are (along with their German counterparts) also designing psychological torture methods to make them appear as being relatively harmless. In other words, British security is attempting to 'make torture politically acceptable as well as making it technically effective.'

The writers own personal experiences as a dissident and British torture victim leaves him in no doubt whatsoever that these sophisticated torture techniques are also being tested by the security services in attempts to ensure not only social acceptability but also social participation. Indeed, a great many people in at least one British town (Harrogate, North Yorkshire) have fully participated in these tests for well over twenty years. Can it be just a coincidence that America's National Security Agency's (NSA) huge SIGINT spy base is also located at Menwith Hill near Harrogate?

"No-one concerned about civil liberties can ignore Menwith Hill. Despite many attempts to get answers to questions, it is quite clear that Menwith Hill is not accountable to MPs and

therefore not to the British people"

- Alice Mahon MP.

5-3 Public intimidation.

Sophisticated torture techniques are also quite purposely designed to intimidate the general population in attempts to prevent them from supporting any kind of internal political dissent.

As reported by Jacobsen and Vesti in their book (8): "The purpose of torture is solely to destroy the individual and then use the broken person to spread terror throughout the rest of the community."

The message is clear - become "one of us", as suggested by Wright (9) or suffer the consequences. When consideration is given to the suggestion that extremists within the police Special Branch and MI-5, the prime organizer of torture in the United Kingdom, were illegally plotting to overthrow a legally elected Prime Minister, that warning should be taken seriously. MI-5 and the security establishment in general are so extremely right wing, according to Wright (and other sources), they cannot be seen as anything other than fascists. So much so that, as was admitted to by a former intelligence officer in an interview with the Irish Times (10):

"High officials in British Intelligence, MI-5 and MI-6, consider their organizations to be above the law."

In other words, British security can persecute, torture, murder, rig elections, commit industrial spying on behalf of other fascists or indeed anything else that they wish to do - and they are accountable to no one but the Monarchy for doing so. They are indeed above the law.

So much for British 'democracy, free speech and accountability' through the so-called 'elected representatives' of the British people, who, if they really desire freedom and true democracy, have no other option but to become political dissidents.

5-4 Subversives.

The description 'subversive' means anything that the security services wish it to mean. In reality, what this label does when applied to a dissenter is to give security an excuse to begin any action they desire against any form of dissent whatsoever.

As confirmed by Hollingsworth and Norton-Taylor (11), the former Chief Constable of Devon and Cornwall, John Alderson, told one inquiry into the police Special Branch:

"The terms of reference of the Special Branch leave much to their discretion. To some, all activists maybe 'subversive' and both individuals and groups critical of the established order are marked out for surveillance and recording."

So, quite obviously the label 'subversive' is all that is required for MI-5's torture departments and Britain's secret police (Special Branch) to 'target' anyone they wish. And, so far as Special Branch is concerned, they will probably classify anyone who is not a neo-fascist as being subversive.

5-5 Are the security services accountable?

The late British Labour MP Robin Cook said:

"It does not matter very much whether the Security Services are answerable to a Minister when that Minister is not answerable to the house (12).

It gets worse. It was reported by the researchers Bloch and Fitzgerald (13) that, in a statement given by a former British Intelligence officer, the man said:

"From an intelligence point of view, politicians can be manipulated quite easily. Be clear on one point above all else. The intelligence world is accountable to nobody - not the Prime Minister, not Parliament and not the courts. An intelligence department decides what information politicians should be given, and they're rarely, if ever, given the full facts."

Now we know why the British intelligence services can get away with torture, murder, or any other crime that they may wish to inflict on the population. Indeed, they can do anything they wish to do and there is nobody but the Crown who can stop them from doing so, because they are indeed a law unto themselves. So, is it the British government and our so-called 'representatives' who run Britain, or is it the 'security services' who are in fact representing someone else entirely?

5-6 Dirty Tricks.

No writer should be misled into thinking that because they *are writing the truth* the security services will leave them alone. Indeed, it is *because* they are writing the truth that writers and researchers may be placed in great danger. Writers who simply copy government 'official' press hand-out's are considered by the powerful to be 'good boys' who don't cause any 'trouble' whereas the rest of us are regarded as being nothing but trouble. Whatever, some of the aforementioned 'good boys', according to Seumas Milne (14), may even work for the security services. Indeed, in his book *The Enemy Within*, he states that:

"Three out of four labour correspondents on the British national press are probable MI5 agents."

Whatever, if the security forces, police Special Branch, MI-5, SIS, the American Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) and the National Security Agency (NSA), all of whom operate within Britain, do decide to target the truthful writer and researcher, rarely will they do so openly. It is not their style. According to the evidence presented by many of the writers already mentioned, plus my own personal experience in Britain, 'security' may well use measures that fall well below the standards expected in any 'form' of democracy, let alone a true one. And there will be no point in dissidents appealing to the 'fair play' of the press. British fair play is unfortunately just another myth as its press does in almost every respect play along with whatever 'the establishment' and its security services require of it (15).

5-7 Social Isolation and exile.

The exact methods of repression as used by security services against dissenters may vary from country to country. In Britain, however, the first steps taken by MI-5 are to both isolate and 'neutralize' a dissident target as quickly as possible.

The 'frame-up' or 'set-up' has long been used to neutralize dissidents and may well be tried along with some of the other drastic measures mentioned earlier. For instance, a targeted dissident may by various means be introduced to 'Agents Provocateurs' (16). These could be male or female. MI-5 uses both sexes in their efforts to both 'entrap' and 'criminalize' dissidents. Whatever, these provocateurs will attempt to integrate themselves into the dissidents company and gain their confidence, after which the dissident will then be introduced to other undercover agents who will in their turn invite the dissident to a 'party.' During this 'party' - and unknown to the dissident, drugs will be used and photographs will be taken that shows the dissident 'in the close company of drug dealers.'

The dissident will thereafter be entered into police files as a 'known associate of drug dealers and users.' The Agents Provocateurs then try to maneuver the dissident into actually taking drugs. Should the dissident do so these police provocateurs will then get the dissident to engage in a 'drug dealing' situation?

Of course, should the dissident allow any of the latter to happen these provocateurs will quickly place their target in an 'arrest situation.' Afterwards the dissident will be offered 'a deal' by the security services. This deal will, of course, mean not just an end to any dissent by the target, it will mean that from that time onwards they will be 'owned' body and soul by MI-

5 who will from then on use them to entrap other dissidents using the same methods.

Should the dissident refuse the 'deal' he or she will then be charged with drug dealing and quickly sent to prison. Once there the security services can do anything to the dissident that they may wish to do. According to Benn and Worpole (17): "Every year an average of fifty men die in British prisons, from 'suicides, misadventure, ghosting*' and other so-called accidents."

Note: During 1997 alone 83 prisoners died inside British prisons. This is a far higher figure than in the former police state of South Africa whose high prison death rate was well noted by the writer Donald Woods. Note: Ghosting is a term used when a prisoner is constantly moved from prison to prison until he effectively becomes 'lost within the system.' Such treatment can be very stressful indeed. One British prisoner aged thirty-seven, died after being moved from one prison to another 24 times in two and a half years (18). In another case, a prisoner was moved 51 times in three years (19). The security services also use 'ghosting' on dissidents in that they try to keep them constantly moving on from one place to another as the dissident tries to find a 'safe heaven'.

However, should the above measures fail to bring the dissident 'into line' he or she will be further persecuted into isolation and internal exile. Security will then use its smear teams to spread rumors to the effect that the dissident is 'afraid to leave his/or her home,' which implies some form of 'guilt'. Security is then able to deny that they exiled the dissidents, thus supposedly exonerating themselves of any crime whilst at the same time encouraging further persecution of the dissident by the general populace.

5-8 'Bugging' UK style.

Having been physically isolated and exiled internally the dissident's sole lines of communication will then come under attack. For instance, if the dissident needs and relies on their telephone, fax machine, computer and the mail to earn a living British security will do everything possible to disrupt those lines of communication in order to try and prevent the dissident from making any money at all. (Driving dissidents into poverty and destitution is one method that has long been used by MI-5.) That being the case the dissident's phone, fax, etc, will be tapped and monitored 24 hours a day, consequently many important messages will fail to reach the dissident, though many 'unwanted calls' (most of which are made by MI-5 agents) will always get through. Dissidents using computers will also suffer from numerous 'connection problems' to say the very least.

According to Fitzgerald, Leopold and Mills (20) other bugs used by MI-5 include a 'carrier-current device.' Both the police and the intelligence services use this and it works by sending the conversations from all of the rooms inside a building by radio signal along the electrical mains wiring. In Britain, many people pay for their gas supply by using a coin pay slot that is fitted directly onto the gas meter inside their house. MI-5 agents often plant a bug inside the meter money tray so that it can be removed and recharged by one of their 'meter men.' There is also the cavity mike, activated by radio signals, the wall spike, which is usually planted by drilling into a wall from the house next door, and the phone 'hot mike', which constantly monitors conversations even when the phone is on the hook. And, of course, there are the modern laser bugging devices. Indeed the list is almost endless and today it includes highly miniaturized color cameras, which can be hidden in television sets, video recorders, radios, clocks and almost anything else. Indeed, MI-5 has had these devices installed throughout the entire country in hotels, pubs, restaurants, conference halls and anywhere else the public may gather together. Today the United Kingdom is almost totally 'wired' and surveillance of the entire population is all but complete. Most of these surveillance devices are controlled remotely from the local police stations. Whatever, the most insidious of these devices is, as already mentioned, the military microwave machine. It is this device, more than any other is, which is being used against political dissenters. No true democracy would allow the use of such a terrible weapon to stifle free speech, or to injure and kill people, but in Britain, this device is being used more widely than ever before.

Dissident 'targets' would be hard put to try and find the above devices. Even if they could, 'security' would simply plant more of them.

According to Fitzgerald and Leopold (21):

"The level of sophisticated bugging used against a target may depend entirely on their classification by the security services, MI-5, police Special Branch, and so on."

People considered by security to be potential 'subversives', such as dissident writers, union organizers, human rights activists, investigative journalists and so on, tend to rate a high classification and so any form of surveillance used against them will relate to that.

MI-5 has total control over the United Kingdoms telephone and mail services and there is nothing a dissident can do to prevent MI-5's misuse of those services. So, dissidents will have to put up with 'lost mail' - 'dropped

phone lines' as a matter of course. As a matter of interest, many 'votes' may also get lost during UK elections.

Complaints by dissidents to The Interception of Communications Tribunal have been found to be a total waste of time. Their address is: PO Box 44, London, SE1 0TX, Phone 0171-273-4096. I must add, however, that to date all complaints made to the Security Services Tribunal by the British public (including myself) has been rejected. (Source: The Guardian. 21-4-95. and The New Statesman & Society. 2-6-1994, P.10.)

5-9 Isolation and torture in the United Kingdom.

The whole point of torture is that it should break down the victim's resistance and alter their personality to the extent that they will have no self-esteem whatsoever. Victims are slowly but surely depersonalized and dehumanized in every known way until they may no longer consider themselves human beings at all. Indeed, over time the victims of this oppression may then develop such a feeling of self-loathing that suicide will, quite naturally, become one of the options they may choose to end their misery.

The security services and their Psyop's torture departments know the above to be true. They have had great many years to perfect their techniques and research carried out in Britain and other countries, as detailed by Ackroyd, Margolis, Rosenhead, Challice and Ramsay (22) confirms it.

The average person is simply not equipped to cope with modern psychological deprivation and torture methods in use today. Only a very small percentage of people could be expected to survive these torture methods and lead a normal life afterwards.

5-10 Brainwashing (Psychic Driving).

Developed by the CIA and now used by Psyop's teams around the world is the psychological torture technique known as 'Psychic Driving' or 'Synthetic Telepathy.'

In the proceeding sections, it has been indicated that a dissident, close to a nervous breakdown and in fear of his or her life, is already on the verge of total mental collapse. But worse is to come. The horrors the dissident has already suffered are added to by security now using 'Synthetic Telepathy' to directly destroy the dissident's mind. The military microwave machine brings this about. This device shows a kind of x-ray picture of the inside of a property and the people within it. It can also beam sounds towards the dissident's head, causing him, or her, to 'hear' whatever sounds or 'voices' security wishes the dissident to hear (23). However, the prime intent of this

particular operation is to make dissidents believe that the 'voices' they will begin to hear originate inside their own heads. But they do not. The voices that are heard belong to highly trained security experts, who have specifically formulated a 'program' that has been designed to 'drive' the dissident into total mental submission (24).

Using the microwave machine these security experts might begin their first 'Psychic Driving' action against the dissident by describing the dissidents every physical movement. For instance, if the dissident has just arrived home and is going to the toilet, he would suddenly hear the words "He is going to the bathroom" or "He is washing his hands", and so on, and those words would be clearly heard and 'understood' inside the dissidents mind.

We do of course all hear voices, from people who speak to us, from the radio or television, etc, but psychic driving is different in that we can't see where the sounds are coming from? That is what makes psychic driving so terrifying to some people. Suddenly 'hearing voices' inside an empty house or an apartment could be a shocking experience for anyone at anytime. But for a Psyop's target, it would be far worse. For after already suffering a prolonged period of persecution by the security forces the sudden 'hearing of voices' immediately instills within the target the message that they have been 'driven over the edge' and into madness. And indeed, that mental condition is precisely what security is trying to bring about.

This type of mental 'torture and brainwashing' would continue non-stop for as long as the dissident remained in that particular location. Throughout the day and night 're-programming' of the dissident's mind would continue. Sleep deprivation would be inevitable, though eventually the dissident would fall into unconsciousness through sheer exhaustion. It would be during this period when further deep 're-programming' would take place.

However, the main purpose of that very first 'voice' session would be to enable security to judge the reactions of the dissident when they found them self 'hearing voices.' There are various emotional 'catch words' which any person will immediately respond to, words of praise, for instance, profanity, and so on. By using those types of 'word trigger's' the Psyop's torture team can gradually fix the ideal 'hearing level' of any particular dissident target as they react to those triggers. Once that level is established, any kind of message can be relayed to the target without anyone else nearby being consciously aware of what is actually taking place. Psychic driving is the perfect device for 'brainwashing' dissidents and one that is being increasingly used by British security and other Psyop's torture teams around the world, particularly against writers and researchers who seek to expose

the widespread use of such methods within Britain the United States and elsewhere. (It is believed that some supermarket chains are now also using a similar device to entice shoppers to buy certain goods.)

The workings of the human mind are, even today, far from being fully understood. There are, however, certain aspects of mind control that are understood very well indeed. Suggestions of many kinds can quite easily be introduced into the human mind by various techniques. Experts in these psychological techniques can produce (under the right control) almost any psychological result they require, particularly so if the 'subjects' themselves have little or no psychological training or experience. Whatever, the development of microwave technology has advanced to such a degree that 'remote programming' is now not only in the grasp of most security services it has become an indispensable aid to them. It allows security to dominate the minds of almost anyone they wish. Given the unaccountable control they now have, the security services are able to use this technology at will.

Britain, however, is only one of the many countries now using these torture techniques against its population.

In the United States between 1950 and 1973, the American CIA had carried out extensive mind-control experiments at universities, prisons, and hospitals. A released US government document (25) informs us that directed-energy weapons currently being deployed in covert operations by the CIA include a microwave weapon manufactured by Lockheed-Sanders.

This weapon is used for a process also known as 'Voice Synthesis' - which again is the remote beaming of audio signals directly into the brain of any selected human target. This is also known as V2S – voice to skull.

MKULTRA was the code name for the research and development of psycho-control weapons. These experiments however, were not the end of the Central Intelligence Agency's interest in controlling the population through mind control.

As Walter Bowart states in his book, Operation Mind Control:

"The CIA and the National Security Agency (NSA) had developed psycho-control to such a degree that no further experiments were necessary. They had found what they were looking for. After 1973, these American Security Agencies simply used the results of their research to con the American public into believing whatever they wanted them to believe. And they still do."

Much of the above research was passed along to other authoritarian governments including Britain, Germany and Australia. This technology is being used by all of these governments against their citizens today.

The microwave devices produced as a result of the above research and used by Britain's MI-5, as referred to by Bloch and Fitzgerald (26), was also reportedly used against some of the protesting Greenham Common women in 1984 and 1985, who developed some very unusual physical effects as a result, such as severe headaches, earache, nosebleeds, nausea, vertigo, fatigue, severe depression and confusion. All these symptoms point to microwave poisoning (27).

An expert view was that anti-personnel microwave devices might well have been used against the Greenham women. A retired playwright also suffered similar symptoms these women in 1983. Indeed there are a growing number of dissidents throughout the world who are now reporting 'microwave poisoning' as well as hearing 'voices' in the 'head.' They are also showing other symptoms, such as those documented by Bowart, Krawczyk, and other writers.

Anyone without a very strong personality and a thorough knowledge of exactly how these techniques work, and how they are used could soon develop a very severe personality disorder. Even more so, if they are low on psychological intelligence. Anyone tortured by such methods could very quickly begin to doubt their own sanity from that moment onwards.

The only respite any targeted dissident would have is when they moved away from the building or area where these devices were being used against them. However, Psyop's would have anticipated some kind of recovery when their 'target' did move away from the psycho-device source, and they would have planned against any improvement in the dissident's plight by having the dissident stalked and harassed the moment they left the location where the devices were being used against them. The dissidents would then simply not have time to try and begin to rationalize their situation because they would already have been confronted by another situation specifically designed not to give them time to think or recover their proper senses.

Inside their 'homes', the targeted dissidents would continue to be psychologically battered from all sides. Being isolated, alone, and without proper training in such matters the dissidents would last for only a short time before they collapsed both mentally and physically. In short, they would in all probability soon become 'broken human beings.'

Through continuous character assassination, stalking, harassment, verbal abuse, intimidation, provocation, psycho-abuse, damage to their property and continuous sleep deprivation, the addition of sustained brainwashing through 'Psychic driving techniques' might well push the dissident over the edge of reason into total insanity. However, should the dissident have survived so far, they must be made to believe that the Psyop's control and observation' of them is 'absolute.'

For instance, some dissidents travel a great deal, possibly to other countries as well as their own, and they have to 'be convinced' that the security services are still 'keeping them under surveillance'. The following passages detail how MI-5 instills that belief in their target.

5-11 Reinforcement techniques as used by MI-5.

This technique is called 'the reinforcement effect (PRE). The generalization that behavior maintained with partial or intermittent reinforcement has greater resistance to extinction.

This 'psycho-control' is at first brought about by using Psyop's goons who have previously been used to intimidate the dissidents and whom dissidents know because of that. These goons will, when being close to the dissident, use a particular 'hand signal' which will immediately alert the dissident as to exactly who these goons are working for. For instance, in Britain, directly or indirectly 'political stooges and goons' are widely used by MI-5 and Special Branch to persecute dissidents. It follows then that certain 'particular hand signals' used by known MI-5 goons identify them as being 'agents' of MI-5. Therefore, any such a signal seen by a 'target' will make the target believe that anyone giving that hand signal does indeed work for MI-5, and that the person giving that signal is 'keeping him or her under surveillance.'

These 'hand signals' are in fact 'psychological triggers'. The purpose of them is not only to make a dissident believe security is 'keeping an eye on them' they also remind the dissident 'by association and suggestion' of the highly unpleasant events, and/or experiences of his 'torture sessions.' So, anyone who knows the particular signal, for any given target, can give that hand signal anywhere in the world where the dissident might be, and the dissident will immediately be reminded of what he, or she, is trying to forget, which is their torture at the hands of the British Security Service MI-5.

For it to work properly, however, the 'signal' must be one the dissident instantly recognizes otherwise the person giving it will simply look like a fool waving their hands about. I say this because MI-5 security stooges often encourage other people to use these 'hand signals' against dissidents after

misinforming them that these 'hand signals' are not only harmless but are in themselves 'the only torture' that the dissident has endured in Britain. That is simply untrue, but it is a lie that unfortunately is believed by many people inside and out of Britain, who, because of widespread censorship, know almost nothing of what is happening to political dissidents today or of the repressive methods being used against them.

Whatever, these kinds of psychological 'triggers' can be used in many other ways by MI-5 torture teams, some of which could very easily bring about a heart attack in their victim?

Such methods as I have described in this report have taken place in the United Kingdom over a great many years and they continue to be used today. The victims of such abuses, including myself, have failed to bring the perpetrators (MI-5 and MI6) to any kind of justice and British human rights groups totally refuse to act in such cases. As already stated Britain's security services are a law unto themselves and in spite of the growing number of claims of torture that are cropping up year after year it appears that nothing can be done to prevent such abuses in Britain. The district I chose for my main research in the United Kingdom (North Yorkshire) is well known by the British media. And indeed the media was well aware not only of my repression and torture there but also of the torture of other people, and the media did nothing whatsoever, either to try and prevent it, nor to expose the people involved.

Much more information on torture in Britain can be found at: <http://www.mindcontrol-victims.eu> and on the Internet Web site: www.pro-freedom.co.uk along with a long list of British torture survivors. (Note: This Web Site was closed down but a small mirror site can be seen at www.mindcontrolforums.com)

References for section five

- (1) Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. 'The Technology of Political Control'. Pluto Press. London. 1980. P.229.
- (2) Vitaliev. V. Among the ruins of punitive psychiatry. Article. The European. 4-3-94. P.16.
- (3) Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. London. 1980. P.237.
- (4) Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. London. 1980. P.230.
- (5) Ristow. W. Taking the hood off British Torture. New Scientist Magazine. Article. 5-8-76.
- (6) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books.

- London. 1983. P.54.
- (7) Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. London. 1980.
- (8) Jacobsen. L. Vesti. P. Torture Survivors. RTC. Copenhagen. Denmark. 1992. P.14.
- (9) Wright. P. 'Spycatcher'. Heinemann. Australia. 1987.
- (10) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983.
- (11) Hollingsworth. M. Norton-Taylor. R. 'Blacklist. Current Affairs, Hogarth Press. London. 1988.
- (12) Hollingsworth. M. Norton-Taylor. R. 'Blacklist. Current Affairs, Hogarth Press. London. 1988.
- (13) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983.
- (14) Milne. S. The Enemy Within. MI5, Scargill and The Maxwell Affair. Verso. London. 1994.
- (15) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983.
- (16) Campbell. D. Agents Provocateurs. The Guardian. 8-3-94.
- (17) Benn. M. Worpole. K. Death in The City. Canary Press. London. 1986. P.34.
- (18) Benn. M. Worpole. K. Death in The City. Canary Press. London. 1986. P.34.
- (19) BBC 1. News. 12-30pm. On the Record. 9-7-95.
- (20) Mills. H. 'Detectives drilled spy holes through lounge wall.' The Guardian. 25-10-93. P.5.
- (21) Fitzgerald. P. Leopold. M. Stranger on The Line. The Bodley Head. London. 1987.
- (22) Fitzgerald. P. Leopold. M. Stranger on The Line. The Bodley Head. London. 1987.
- (23) Rosenhead. J. Shallice. T. Ackroyd. C. Margolis. K. The Technology of Political Control. Pluto Press. London. 1980. P77-78.
- (24) Krawczyk. G. CIA using old tricks again. Nexus Magazine. Article. Vol 2. N0 22. October 1994. Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Pub: Dell Publishing Co. Inc. (USA) and Fontana / Collins (UK) 1978.
- (25) Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Pub: Dell Publishing Co. Inc. (USA) and Fontana / Collins (UK) 1978.
- (26) Krawczyk. G. CIA using old tricks again. Nexus Magazine. Article. Vol 2. N0 22. October 1994. Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Pub: Dell Publishing Co. Inc. (USA) and Fontana / Collins (UK) 1978.
- (27) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.34. North. S. 'Field of Nightmares' Weekend Guardian. Article. 2-2-1991. P.10.

Section Six

6-1 The motive for repression and torture.

Whilst most governments deny that they actually use torture against their political dissidents, some of them grudgingly admit to using some form of repression. However, when asked if they consider themselves to be 'true democracies' most government spokesmen claim that they are so. They contradictory then try and justify their repression of dissidents by accusing

them of being communists, subversives and so on, without realizing that true democracy allows anyone to follow any kind of political belief they wish. Some people are considered 'subversives' by their government simply for trying to use any of their so-called 'democratic rights.' Indeed, new laws being passed in the EU are designed to make any political dissent of any kind a 'terrorist' crime.

6-2 Democracy or charade?

The real problem is that few people seem to know just what true democracy really means. Most people believe that if there is 'one man one vote' then that is democracy, yet, there are police states all over the world whose populations have the vote but by no stretch of the imagination could those countries reach the expectations of a true democracy. Of what use is a 'vote' when the ballot box and/or politicians in a country are 'controlled by security.' Any security service that is not under true democratic control will usually only let into the 'official government' people it alone favors. Which does of course mean that this supposed 'government of the people' is in all reality one which is 'elected and controlled by the security services.' As such, it can hardly be called democratic. Indeed, as already outlined in the case of the British security services (1), they openly admit that the British government has no control over them. They see themselves as being 'above the law.' Therefore, it seems that the British security services can only be controlled by the Monarchy or some other mysterious 'third force', much talked about in South Africa over the years, and which seemingly is also in evidence in many other countries.

This 'third force' can only be 'big business' (2) as no other organization has the sheer wealth and power that is necessary to wield such influence on a global scale. No government elected through the benevolence of any 'third force' can ever be described as being a democracy because the population at large did not choose that government. It was chosen by big business and then 'allowed' into office by a 'security force' over which nobody but big business had control. As stated already, 'security' appears to be nothing more than an extension of big business rather than the arm of any government. Therefore, how could such a government possibly be called democratic? The true test of democracy is the provision for freedom of thought, inquiry and expression. Without those virtues, there can be no true democracy. Yet there are governments all over the world that prohibits all of them, yet continue to insist that they are democracies.

6-3 Freedom of thought.

Freedom of thought means that an individual can see, read and question whatever he or she wishes, which may mean that an individual holds an opposing view to that taken by the government. In other words, an individual may wish to examine both sides of any question before making a decision. Without freedom of thought, an individual can examine one side of the question only. Which is usually the side favored by government.

The United Kingdom with its notorious 'Official Secrets Acts' will not freely allow publication of much information which disputes its own 'official' viewpoint.

Freedom of inquiry.

Again, there is the problem of censorship. Much information that would allow a citizen to gain a true insight into exactly what their government is doing is considered to be 'classified information' which means that it is extremely difficult, if not impossible, for the citizen to check exactly what the government is doing.

Freedom of expression.

Without freedom of expression, (which means access to the media, and so on) an individual is not allowed to openly criticize the government when it mismanages the country, which again means that the citizen has virtually no control over government.

Put simply, true democracy means that the people who elected that government, to manage the country on their behalf, control that government. As true representatives of the people, a government must be responsive to the will of all the people,

No government owns any country. A government is there simply in order to manage the country on behalf of the citizens who elected it. Anything less is a police state.

There is what might be termed 'benevolent' police states. Probably the best known of those is Singapore (3). However, that does not change the fact that such countries are police states, and being so means that they can, if they so wish, repress the people at any time. And unfortunately, in almost all cases the dictators of these countries do indeed suppress their populations.

True democracy will prevent a government from abusing both its power and its people. A police state may, and usually does, abuse both. Some governments such as Turkey, Iran, Iraq the United Kingdom and the United States amongst many others use repression and torture not only to prevent

true democracy but also to reduce the citizen's awareness that their country is a police state.

It is believed that most people would automatically know whether or not their country was a police state. However, that is not an easy judgement to make when misinformation, disinformation and censorship are widespread within a country, particularly when that country's media also connives in the censorship of citizens. For instance, only a very few people in Britain appear to realize that they have a 'secret police'. Yet that is exactly what the police Special Branch is. And it vastly abuses its powers just as all other secret police forces do everywhere else.

Almost from birth, entire populations are brought up to believe that they live in 'free societies.' They are told so by 'the media' and by their politicians. The feeling of 'being free' then eventually becomes just another conditioned reflex. No more than another psychological 'trigger' that convinces them that they are free.

People obviously prefer to believe that they live in a free and a democratic society. Such feelings make them feel both happy and comfortable within their environment. People are then further 'conditioned' to believe almost anything 'the government' declares to be 'true' is true.

However, should their politicians grossly mismanage the country people may then quite rightly begin to disbelieve and question their government? It is this 'questioning' that really determines what kind of a government is in power.

6-4 Open government?

It is the attitude and the response of government, which informs the populace as to exactly what kind of country they really live in.

For instance, if a government acts both aggressively and repressively in response to any criticism of its conduct then any individual can be forgiven for thinking that the government has something to hide. A government that is truly 'open' to criticism will be taken as being constructive and fair. Indeed that government will have a written constitution that guarantees the full and proper 'rights' of its citizens.

As Harry Cohn, the late film producer, once jokingly remarked:

"A verbal guarantee isn't worth the paper it's written on!"

Unfortunately, that is exactly what the United Kingdom's version of their so-called 'open government' is worth. Nothing. Indeed, some open police states have more real freedom than does the population of Britain.

Whatever, the real problem with any government is finding out whom its politicians are working for? The general view may, of course, be that they are working for themselves. In spite of what they may have promised the electorate before they were elected. (If they are an elected government?) But the crucial question is - taking the poor voter for granted, whom are the politicians really representing?

6-5 Big Business.

It has been suggested before, but more recently, Kidron and Segal (4) have also documented the fact that big business and government are in all reality 'one and the same thing.' If that is true, then who shall the politician represent first, the people or big business? Meaning the multinational corporations boards.

Many countries in the world are so poor that any large multinational company who chooses to 'invest' there is richer than that country's government. It being so means that the multinational usually pretty well gets much of what it asks for, including the significant co-operation of the said government. That will usually mean, for the locally hired workers, no unions, no strikes, long working days, no representation of any kind and very low wages. The company, however, will in most cases, get free or very cheap land to build on, plus some terrific tax concessions. The negotiations that bring this about are usually conducted at the highest government level.

The question then, of who will be best represented by a politician working for that government, the company or the local worker, is an important one.

"Standing up for trade union rights remains a very dangerous occupation", said the Brussels based International Confederation of Free Trade Unions. Indeed, an international survey on the victimization (5) repression and torture of workers representatives throughout the world has recorded that there were at least 92 murders and over 2.300 arrests of those representatives in at least 90 countries in 1993 alone (6).

Whatever, the writer has not been able to find a single instance of such 'mistreatment' towards anyone representing big business corporations. Government security forces quite obviously place these people in an entirely different category.

In its struggle to gain full union rights for its members at Britains GCHQ (Rights removed by a 'conservative' government headed by Margaret Thatcher) the International Labor Organization (ILO) has threatened to name Britain under a procedure normally reserved for military dictatorships (7).

Many previous and current politicians in the United States, Japan, Germany and Great Britain did - and still do, work for the largest multinational companies in the world. The salaries of these politicians may only be a tiny fraction of what they might earn privately in business. So the question has to be asked, why do they do it?

They could of course be interested in representing the millions of poor people, or could be to ensure that the multinational companies remain a powerful world force, which afterwards reward those politicians for their co-operation?

One company belonging to an organization called 'The Bohemian Club' had business contracts worth over 13 billion dollars in one year alone. Poor farm workers struggling to better their working conditions can hardly compete with such vast resources as those.

The groups of people who call themselves 'The Bohemian Club' (8) represent very powerful business interests indeed. This 'club' is comprised of some of the most powerful people in the entire world. Some of its members are ex-Presidents of the United States. Others are members of Congress and the Central Intelligence Agency. Many other prominent members come from huge multinational corporations. These people, in some respects, almost run the world. They control at least 42% of world trade. Indeed, a survey conducted in 1992 showed that 76% of the US voting public believed that just a few big business interests ran their government. A recent US Gallup Poll went so far as to say that 27% of the American population would even be prepared to take up arms against their own government (in defense of true democracy) if it became necessary (9).

Krawczyk believes that the opening moves of such a revolution may already have been played. He asks: "Is the American national security establishment already at war with its own citizens (and those of other civilized nations) in order to coerce them to accept the formerly unacceptable by means of 'the manipulation of images, beliefs, attitudes, and perceptions that is psychological warfare?'"

There seems to be little doubt amongst researchers that the answer is yes (10).

So, it does appear that 'big business' and government in some countries is indeed the same thing. Is that, however, a bad thing?

Many politicians throughout the world may say that what is good for business must be good for the country. But how that works out in practice does depend on how any particular business is conducted since there are both good and bad business organizations.

If a business is well run, its staff taken care of in regard to good wages and benefits and so on then it could be admitted that it can only be good for the country. If however, a business is harming people who staff it, (e.g., with toxic chemicals, bad products, unsatisfactory working conditions, poor wages and so forth) then perhaps it does not benefit that country (11). If a business is well run and properly managed, it may benefit everybody. If however, a business organization exploits both bad government and the people then standards inevitably fall in every direction. That business is then good only for those who control it (12).

In trying to understand why governments use repression and torture, we must look at who gains from such abuse. It certainly does not appear to be the population in general. The ordinary people as such gain nothing, and indeed lose almost everything worth having when their human and civil rights are overridden.

Authoritarian governments who impose strict censorship and deny their people the very basic right of free speech - to say the very least, would find it difficult to claim that doing so is in anyone's interest other than their own self-interest. How then can any such government really claim to be representing the people when its repression of the people is so obvious?

Note: In his book Operation Mind Control (13), Bowart states that:

'The cryptocracy (secret government) serves big business and supplies corporations with industrial intelligence. Such things as political campaign contributions may repay these favors to candidates sympathetic to the cryptocracy. Both foreign and domestic politicians have been supported with these 'contributions'.

The Association of National Security Alumni is an organization mainly composed of former US security employees who openly oppose the use of covert operations against US citizens who resist corporate control.

They state that such actions are both counterproductive and damaging to the national interests of the United States. In 1992, a sub-group named as The Electronic Surveillance Project also published a report detailing Harassment

and Mind-Control Experimentation. This report detailed how the US government had used behavior modifying direct-energy technologies on its own citizens. By 1993, this association had been contacted by over 200 people (the tip of an iceberg?), all of who alleged that they had been the victims of government harassment and mind-control experimentation. A supplement to this report also published in 1993 stated that "While the majority of these experiments reside in the United States, a number of virtually identical complaints have also been received from England, Germany, Canada, Australia and other countries (14).

Multinational corporations, particularly those involved in the development of directed-energy and surveillance technologies are also believed to be installing these devices in public establishments, educational centers and on the streets and highways. Much of this technology has already been installed in the United Kingdom. Already more than four million surveillance devices are known to be monitoring the British public. That figure does not include the many thousands of covert devices planted in businesses, pubs, hotels and private homes by the British security services.

References for Section six

- (1) Bloch. J. Fitzgerald. P. British Intelligence and Covert Action. Junction Books. London. 1983. P.54.
- (2) Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Pub: Dell Publishing Co. Inc. (USA) and Fontana / Collins (UK) 1978.
- (3) Pilger. J. Natural born partners. New Statesman & Society. 10-3-95. P. 26.
- (4) Kidron. M. Segal. R. Business, Money & Power. Pan Books London. 1987.
- (5) Hollingsworth. M. Norton-Taylor. R. Blacklist. The Hogarth Press. London. 1988. P.150.
- (6) Carvel. J. Survey reports 92 trade unionists murdered, 2,300 arrested last year. Article. The Guardian. P.12. 25-5-94.
- (7) Taylor. R. N. Deal to relax ban on GCHQ unions. The Guardian. 13-6-95. P.6
- (8) Kidron. M. Segal. R. Business, Money & Power. Pan Books London. 1987.
- (9) Nexus Magazine. Vol 2. No 26. 1995. P.9.
- (10) Krawczyk . G. Big Brothers Recipe for 'Revolution in Military Affairs". Nexus Magazine. 1995. Vol 2. P.26 - 31.
- (11) Lloyd. T. The Guardian. 23-6-95. P.14.
- (12) Vidal. J. 'Nigerian troops killed and tortured tribe which opposed Shell operation.' Article. The Guardian. 14-1-95. P. 10.
- (13) Bowart. W. Operation Mind Control. Pub: Dell Publishing Co. Inc. (USA) and Fontana / Collins (UK) 1978. P.146.
- (14) Krawczyk . G. Big Brothers Recipe for 'Revolution in Military Affairs". Nexus Magazine. 1995. Vol 2. P. 31.

7-1 British Human Rights Organizations

Torture victims within the United Kingdom will continue to find themselves in a very perilous state indeed. Contrary to popular belief there is quite apparently no British human rights organization to which they can turn for help.

All the British human rights and civil liberties organizations that I have contacted over many years have been found lacking, if not completely worthless, so far as British victims of torture are concerned.

British human rights organizations all have long lists of eminent sponsors. The literature they send out in response to inquiries invariably gives the impression that they will attempt to deal with any form of repression. But that does not apparently include the United Kingdom itself. So, their presence in Britain appears to be solely to collect public donations and promote the myth of a torture free Britain. It is open to question whether the sponsors of these so-called human rights organizations are aware of their limitations and failure to protect British citizens. Whatever, the shortcomings of these organizations to carry out their obligations within Britain soon become glaringly obvious to anyone who cares to research them.

Considering that these organizations receive many millions of pounds annually in donations from British subjects they should be the first to be protected from an abusive government. Charity, after all, should begin at home. However, my own research into British human rights organizations leads me to an entirely different conclusion from what might be expected. In response to allegations of torture within the UK mainland, not one of the above organizations offered any kind of direct help whatsoever. Indeed, British organizations seemingly refuse even to openly acknowledge allegations of torture within the UK, in spite of the fact that many such allegations have already been accepted by foreign human rights organizations, even though they have done little to expose or prevent them.

To repeat what Pierre Sane, the secretary-general of Amnesty International, said in 1993: "Governments are prepared to go to great lengths to cover up their crimes. They know that a bloodstained human rights record will damage their international image. So, they set up phony human rights institutions to cover up crimes. They use sophisticated public relations techniques, lies behind lies. Governments use death squads and undercover agents so that they can deny involvement. Everything has become much more subtle since Amnesty International was founded. To make people disappear you need sophisticated security arrangements, and secret

departments. Human rights abuses have not gone away. They have just gone further into the dark."

Brave and true words. In Britain it has become so dark people simply cannot see what is happening there. In Northern Ireland Amnesty International did report cases of torture by British security forces. These cases are now well documented. But on torture today within the British mainland, Amnesty remains strangely silent. There it seems that Amnesty has already forgotten the words of Pierre Sane, let alone having learned anything from them.

I suggest that that the totally inept people now running the British section of Amnesty International should read the above again - then expose the deplorable situation prevalent in Britain. Otherwise they will not only become totally discredited in the UK they will also fall under the category of being called phony throughout the rest of the world. If you are a supporter of Amnesty then think again - you just might not be supporting what you may think you are?

Whatever, Amnesty is not alone in its neglect of psychological torture victims within Britain. Almost all so-called 'human rights' organizations there appear to know little, if anything at all – or pretend to, about the hi-tech psychological torture techniques as practiced on British subjects by MI-5 and the police special branch.

However, when such insidious torture techniques are used by foreign dictators against their own people it is quite apparently a very different matter altogether. British 'human rights' organizations simply can't wait to utter their hypocritical cry's of outrage as they rush to inform the public and the media of such terrible acts before beginning vast advertising campaigns calling for yet more donations to stop torture and prevent future abuses. But not against British victims, only silence ensues when torture is exposed within Britain itself. Even when exposed by British writers and researchers who have them selves been subjected to such cruel methods of repression within Britain. As some have already stated on television.

Conclusions.

True democracy, as against so-called 'forms' or 'versions' of democracy is in all probability practiced by only a handful of nations throughout the entire world. The writer can think of no true democracy at all in the East, whilst in the West most countries continue to use what are termed 'forms' of democracy. As stated earlier in the report a 'form of democracy' is no more than a charade. It allows people to believe that they are free whilst it offers

none of the real freedoms that are essential for the true growth of human potential.

Under 'forms of democracy', it is necessary to fit into 'the establishment', which may or not be good, but which will restrict ideas that do not fit 'the established order' of the time. The 'establishment' within any country tends to allow only those ideas and developments that fit into the narrow viewpoint established by the few, whilst the many are used as fodder to feed it.

The establishments, which may mean powerful business interests or 'ruling families', whether royal or otherwise, have rarely benefited mankind as a whole. However, populations worldwide are manipulated into believing that these 'institutions' are essential and are for the good of all. That is simply not true. Sometimes they are good only for those who control them. These institutions are often little more than parasites that feed off the fruits of others whilst giving nothing of real value in return.

The 'secret control' that allows 'democratic' government to be subverted by the 'third force' of international business conglomerates should also be recognized for the evil it is (1). Indeed, all citizens should freely decide the true direction of government policy. It should not be directed by some anonymous 'business club' or 'security service' simply because it may be a profitable venture for their interests alone. As does appear to be the case in most instances.

For whatever reasons almost all governments, from time to time, tell blatant untruths about what they may have done in the past, what they are doing today and what they intend doing in the future. These untruths are often covered-up by political claims of being in the 'National Interest', in the 'Public Interest' - or that old standby 'National Security'. But in many cases, all this really means is 'Self-Interests'. That self-interest may be involvement with the multinationals, the gaining of more power or the simple maintenance of 'the status-quo'. Whatever, there seems little doubt that the true public interest takes a very poor second place.

The populations of many countries can quite apparently be easily manipulated and fooled into supporting governments who torture and murder. Are these people so stupid and blind as to really believe that such atrocities can never happen to themselves or their own families?

As for the so-called 'intelligence communities' there is no doubt as to who they are really working for. As Walter Bowart states:

"It is the cryptocracy, or secret shadow governments, over which the populations of both the United States and the United Kingdom have no control whatsoever. Indeed, these secret 'governments' and 'their security services' can only be viewed as a grave threat to true democracy in any country.'

The 'security services' of many countries secure not the public as such but big business and they're serving puppets in 'their governments.' British security projects itself as an organization that 'protects' the entire population. It does not. It protects only the 'establishment' and its vested interests thereby maintaining the Monarchy and the status quo. Which in Britain means about three percent of the entire population? The British public at large is not protected by British security, it is repressed, tortured, and whenever security desires, murdered by it.

Democracy is a word much abused the world over. Surely now is the time to use the word in its proper perspective and make true democracy available to all. Perhaps then the very reason for dissent will be removed.

In one of their documents, signed on the 30th November 1988 by some of the most prominent people in Britain, the civil rights group CHARTER 88 stated: "We have had less freedom than we believed. That which we have enjoyed has been too dependent on the benevolence of our rulers. Our freedoms have remained their possession, rationed out as subjects rather than being our own inalienable possession as citizens. To make real the freedoms we once took for granted means for the first time to take them for ourselves. The time has come to demand political, civil and human rights in the United Kingdom.'

After decades of resisting such a move, Britain is finally incorporating a human rights charter into law. However, the British 'version' of The European Charter of Human Rights is no more than another 'form' of the charter, and it has already been so well watered down it is all but useless. The British security services have made very sure that the UK charter will in no way interfere with their despicable activities. These degenerate police state thugs are nothing more than legalized gangsters that stalk, harass, persecute, torture and murder political dissidents at will in order to maintain the Monarchy and the phony puppet governments that support it. The UK charter offers nothing whatsoever which would prevent those gross abuses of state power.

“The world of secret intelligence agencies is a realm of falsehood, camouflage, deception, violence, unspeakable cruelty, treachery, and betrayal. It is the most desolate and grim sector of human endeavor, where

no human values can subsist. It knows neither hope nor mercy nor redemption. It is the one area of human life where Hobbes's maxim holds true – it is the war of all against all. But not as chaos – as an ultimately controlled phenomenon which serves the goal of preserving the state power that the intelligence agencies serve. During the Cold War, the conflict of CIA, MI-6, SDECE, KGB, BND, Stasi and the rest was called the wilderness of mirrors, a desert populated by agents, double agents, triple agents, multiple agents, their case officers, their counterintelligence opponents, and the omnipresent specialists in *mokrie dela* - wetwork, or assassinations, as the KGB described them.”

Webster Griffin Tarpley – From his book “Synthetic Terrorism – Made in the USA’ <http://www.indymedia.org.uk/media/2005/07/317436.pdf>

"It can't happen here is number one on the list of famous last words."
David Crosby.

Why the security services continually get away with violating the ‘rights’ of citizens by torture and other means?

The powers held by government security services worldwide are by now well known by almost all journalists and writers. The average citizen is almost totally helpless when trying to combat actions taken against him, or her, by a government security service. These security services have almost unlimited resources they can call upon at any time. As we have seen, these security services are in almost every respect a law unto themselves. Dissidents, inside their own countries, appear to have no access to any law that will protect them. They are quickly isolated and tortured at will until they break down, are driven to suicide or are murdered. Once upon a time the media would have exposed these outrages, but today ‘the media’ is owned or controlled by big business and governments, the very people who are responsible for the dissident’s plight in the first place.

We the tortured know this to be true because of own personal experiences. Former government security agents, who have not only refused to continue their previous work but who have fully exposed their own part in the repression of citizens, also back up our research.

Only dissidents with a wide knowledge of security techniques, plus experience in such matters, are likely to survive today’s torturers. Therefore,

dissidents should, wherever possible, try to get away from the country where they are being persecuted and suppressed. Doing so offers no guarantee that 'security' and their 'agents' will not follow them but the dissident will at least have some respite to gather his wits and try to form some kind of defense, perhaps even a new I.D. and life for them selves. The degenerate tormentors and torturers employed by governments are, as a rule, only confident in themselves when surrounded by their own kind in their own environment. Inside their own countries, these torturers portray themselves as saviors of the people, but they rarely dare to show their true faces out of their own protected element. They are no more than modern-day Nazis who hide in the dark hoping that none of their victims will recognize them and seek a just revenge.

Sadly however, that is the only revenge most torture victims will ever get. Modern torturers with modern high-tech torture devices practice their trade with impunity. They hide behind governments and huge business corporations. They use thousands of different security stooges who move about entire countries going from one victim to the next so that their faces will never register long enough to be remembered by either their victims or a gullible public they con into supporting their actions.

Security services know exactly what kind of evidence is needed to bring them to justice and they make very sure that no such evidence becomes available to any lawyer who just *might* try to bring them to trial. I say might, because so far the vast majority of lawyers have avoided getting involved in torture trials at all, let alone when such a trial might involve government security agencies. There are very few lawyers who do dare to face up to governments and their torturers. Attempts to form an International Criminal Court by the United Nations where torturers from any country can be brought to trial have been strongly resisted by Britain, Germany and the United States. They know that they have been responsible for vast crimes against humanity and they seek to exempt any of their citizens from being held or arrested for such crimes in the future.

The United States still does have a measure of free speech (though it is not apparent in the national mainstream press), and many torture victims there have held conferences and publicized their repression. More details of which can be found on at least one web site www.mincontrolforums.com from time to time. The same applies in Britain and Europe where much information can still be found on the Web site: <http://www.mindcontrol-victims.eu> Some

other excellent Web sites exposing government repression in Britain and Europe have already been taken down.

Both of the above web sites offer extensive links to a great many other web sites scattered around the world, all of which detail the repression and torture that is being carried out in countries that hypocritically portray themselves as being 'free democracies.' One online American newspaper 'The Napa Valley Sentinel' also features excellent articles on 'Mind-Control.'

Sadly, free speech is pretty much confined to on-line reporting these days. That is where you will find the majority of good honest writers who still retain the ability to call a spade a spade. Good mainstream writers and researchers like John Pilger, Naomi Klein, Robert Fisk and Christopher Hitchens, plus a few others, are rare birds to say the least, whilst outspoken Politicians like George Galloway and Ron Paul are rarer still, while the vast mainstream newspaper publishing industry is little more than an embarrassment to anyone with a mind of their own. It offers plenty of advertising but little else. That is the reason why so many people in The United Kingdom and the United States are not aware of what is happening right inside their own countries. The newspapers that most people read and rely on for information are in reality no more than propaganda sheets for corporations, governments and a total disgrace to my mind. They totally ignore the truth and are biased in favor of the elites to a remarkable degree. The long departed Nazi propagandist Joseph Gobbels would, I am quite sure, feel very proud indeed of today's mainstream media. That is why public political ignorance in Britain and America is so astounding.

It's true that a great many people now have computers and are on-line, but many of these computers are used as playthings more than anything else. They do little to educate their users in the politics of the real world. Censorship, both online and off, is still progressing at a rapid rate. In trying to hide information that should freely be available to all people, governments – under the guise of 'preventing terrorism' and other pretexts, are forcing Internet service providers and hosts to reveal all kind of information about their clients. Particularly in the United Kingdom where surveillance of all kinds, added to an already overbearing authoritarian government, have turned Britain into the worst police state in Europe.

Hiding under the cloak of 'democracy' fascism has gained a large foothold in many countries around the world. So much so, it's doubtful that the situation could be reversed anywhere without a revolution by the populace.

Indeed, like it or not the multinational corporations do now run the world. They secretly control politicians, governments, their armed forces, and the media. They are indeed the 'shadow world governments.' Soon they may also have total control over the Internet so making free speech of any kind obsolete.

For as long as we can remember, politicians have started wars through outright lies, deceptions and propaganda. Exactly the same methods are being used to prevent populations from gaining the true democracy and freedom most people desire. Many people in the United States believe that because they are armed they are in a position to protect their assumed freedoms. That, however, is a false hope. Their 'secret government' will simply label them as 'internal terrorists' and order them to be 'neutralized' as such by the security services and the military. As indeed many people in other countries worldwide already have been. That American forces will never enforce such tyranny on their own citizens is misguided to say the least. Fascism brings out the very worst in people. The past has clearly shown us that in one country after another millions of citizens have easily been duped into supporting the most repressive measures imaginable against their own people. Only a fool would believe that it couldn't happen everywhere else. It can, and it is already beginning to happen right now in Europe and in the United States. Most people just don't know about it yet. But they soon will. It's just a matter of time.

UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

(And how the British government abuses them.)

Under the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly Resolution 217 A (111) of 10th December 1948, the British government has, in allowing psychological torture to be used against its citizens, breached the following sections of the Charter:

Sections 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 19, 12, 18, 19, 20-1, 23-1, 24, 27-1, 27-2 28, 29-1, 29-3.

Section 3 states: Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person.

(Anyone undergoing psychological torture has very limited liberty and certainly no personal security at all.)

Section 5 states: No one shall be subject to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

(Psychological torture has been defined by the Rehabilitation Center for Torture Victims (IRCT) in Denmark, as being the very worst form of torture possible. Psychological torture is without doubt cruel, inhuman and degrading.)

Section 7 states: All are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to equal protection of the law. All are entitled to equal protection against any discrimination in violation of the Declaration and against any incitement to such discrimination.

(Torture in Britain is carried out without any formal charges being brought against dissidents. Therefore, they are denied the right to refute any allegations made against them by the security services. Also, the British security services incite acts of violence and discrimination against dissidents by alleging they are 'communists, agitators, subversives, etc.' Security also attempts to criminalize dissidents.)

Section 8 states: Everyone has the right to an effective remedy by the competent national tribunals for acts violating the fundamental rights granted him by the constitution or by law.

(The British security services carry out psychological torture against dissidents without bringing any charges against them, and so completely avoid the law.)

Section 9 states: No one shall be subjected to arbitrary arrest detention or exile.

(In the United Kingdom dissidents are forced into internal or external exile.)

Section 10 states: Everyone is entitled in full equality to a fair and public hearing by an independent and impartial tribunal, in the determination of his rights and obligations and of any criminal charge against him.

(The British security services avoid this section completely by not bringing any formal charges against dissidents. They therefore deny dissidents the right of a public hearing. British security has simply appointed itself as judge, jury and executioner.)

Section 12 states: No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, home or correspondence, or to attacks upon his honor

and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

(The British security services and the police consistently use sleep deprivation against dissidents, tap the telephones, faxes and computers of dissidents, use bugging devices inside the homes of dissidents, censor the mail of dissidents, interfere with dissidents families And continually impinge upon the honor and reputation of dissidents.)

Section 18 states: Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

(Rigid censorship in Britain prevents true freedom of thought or expression. And, because of a climate of fear created by the security services around a dissident; in that the same may happen to potential supporters, and others, as it does to the dissident, the dissident may effectively be prevented from carrying out his beliefs.)

Section 19 states: Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers.

(Dissidents in the United Kingdom may be continually hounded and harassed by British security when voicing their opinions and they are denied the means to express themselves through any media because of the rigid censorship imposed in Britain, even the dissident's e-mail is read by the police and security services. The media is also reluctant to accept work from some dissidents because of the fear of retaliation by the security services if they do so.)

Section 20-1 states: Everyone has the right to freedom of peaceful assembly and association.

(The British security services continually invoke hostility towards dissidents so preventing free association of almost any kind.)

Section 23-1 states: Everyone has the right to work, to free choice of employment, to just and favorable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment.

(The British security services do everything within their considerable power to invoke hostility and harassment against the dissident whilst he is at work.

Should the dissident work from home or from his own office, security will continually tap his telephone, fax, computer, e-mail, etc, in direct attempts at preventing the dissident from making a living.)

Section 24 states: Everyone has the right to rest and leisure, including reasonable limitation of working hours and periodic holidays with pay.

(British security uses sleep deprivation as an integral part of their psychological torture techniques against dissidents, and so proper rest for the dissident is impossible.)

Section 27-1 states: Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancements and its benefits.

(As a part of their actions against some dissident's British security invokes continual hostility against the dissident so preventing his free association within the cultural life of the community and depriving him or her of any benefits from it.)

Section 27-2 states: Everyone has the right to the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from and scientific, literary or artistic production of which he is the author.

(A dissident writer in Britain may be prevented from carrying out his work properly by the continual harassment and psychological torture inflicted on him by British security. Because the writer may be continually censored in various ways, his work will be denied publication with the resulting loss of income.)

Section 28 states: Everyone is entitled to a social and international order in which the rights and freedoms set forth in this declaration can be fully realized.

(The dissident in the United Kingdom may well be driven into internal or external exile and so denied almost any rights at all. Indeed, the dissidents home may well become his prison.)

Section 29-1 states: Everyone has duties to the community in which alone the free and full development of his personality is possible.

(Psychological torture techniques, to which a dissident may be subjected, are expressly designed to degrade and destroy the normal human personality.)

Section 29-2 states: In the exercise of his rights and freedoms, everyone shall be subject only to such limitations as are determined by law solely

for the purpose of securing due recognition and respect for the rights and freedoms of others and of meeting the just requirements of morality, public order and the general welfare in a democratic society.

(The dissident in the United Kingdom is, in effect, deprived of almost all his rights.)

Section 29-3 states: These rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations.

(The dissident in the United Kingdom is deprived of almost all of his rights.)

The Nuremberg Principles

Principle I. Any person who commits an act which constitutes a crime under international law is responsible therefore and liable to punishment.

Principle II. The fact that internal law does not impose a penalty for an act which, constitutes a crime under international law, does not relieve the person who committed the act from responsibility under international law.

Principle III. The fact that a person who committed an act which constitutes a crime under international law, acted as Head of State or responsible government official does not relieve him from responsibility under international law.

Dr Les Dove

lesdove@inbox.com

www.lesdove.blogspot.com

Below is only a partial list of victims tortured in the United States, and Europe - including the United Kingdom and some other countries.

THE AMERICAS

1. NAME: 3V3NG3LA
2. NAME: ELIZABETH ADAMS
3. NAME: AKU
4. NAME: aI23
5. NAME: NEAL ALCHALABI (NEAL CHAMBERS)

6. NAME: ALETA
7. NAME: GARY ALGAR
8. NAME: ANGELA
9. NAME: RON ANGELL
10. NAME: JUSTIN ANGIERS
11. NAME: ANN
12. NAME: ARIZONA - 6 VIGILANTE/ELECTRONIC HARASSMENT VICTIMS
13. NAME: ANNYCE ARNTZEN
14. NAME: RANDY ARRASMITH
15. NAME: SANA ASFOUR (Reham Dawood)
16. NAME: AARON AVALOS
17. NAME: DEVORAH BAKER
18. NAME: LINDSAY G. BALDWIN
19. NAME: VERNON WAYNE BALL
20. NAME: KHMER BARANG
21. NAME: BRENDA BARAQUIL
22. NAME: ALLEN BARKER
23. NAME: KAY BARNES
24. NAME: ERIC BAZAN
25. NAME: DAVID ALAN BEACH
26. NAME: MATT BEAL
27. NAME: PAUL BEGGS
28. NAME: SHERRY BELL
29. NAME: MARILYN BERRY
30. NAME: STACEY BERRY
31. NAME: KATA BILLUPS
32. NAME: KAYLON BLACKBURN
33. NAME: JOHN BRASWELL
34. NAME: ALMA BRECKENIDGE
35. NAME: LAURIE BRODIE
36. NAME: EMILIO BRUGUEROS
37. NAME: BETH BUCHANAN
38. NAME: MARC BURNELL
39. NAME: KEVIN BURNOR
40. NAME: ROBERT BUTLER
41. NAME: ROBERT O. BUTNER
42. NAME: CADEWCH
43. NAME: CARLO CALANDRA
44. NAME: KELLY CASLAR
45. NAME: MILAGROS CEDANO
46. NAME: RICHARD CENTENO
47. NAME: DIANA MARIE CHAPMAN
48. NAME: CHRIS – FREEDOM FIGHTERS FOR AMERICA
49. NAME: LEE LYNN CHRISTIANSON
50. NAME: CHRISTINA
51. NAME: ROMY COCHRAN
52. NAME: GREGORY COUSINS
53. NAME: ACKSON COYET
54. NAME: LESLIE L. CRAWFORD
55. NAME: MARY CROOK
56. NAME: OPHNELL CUMBERBATCH
57. NAME: VALERIE CUTLER
58. NAME: D
59. NAME: N D
60. NAME: WANDA AND SARA DABLIN
61. NAME: DAVID
62. NAME: D DEERWOMAN
63. NAME: ANDY DE LORENZO

64. NAME: Raúl Luis DEL VALLE
65. NAME: ROBERT ALAN DESROSIERS
66. NAME: BETH DONAHUE
67. NAME: DR PHIL
68. NAME: DR ROBERT DUNCAN
69. NAME: DONALD DUNLAP
70. NAME: BOB G DUNLAP
71. NAME: CINDY DYER
72. NAME: DICK EASTMAN
73. NAME: TARGET ELEPHANT
74. NAME: SAM EVANS
75. NAME: DOUGLAS EVERMAN
76. NAME: RAISA EYDELMAN
77. NAME: MARY FAIR
78. NAME: PAM FARNSWORTH
79. NAME: SCOTT FITZGERALD
80. NAME: DONALD FOSTER
81. NAME: KEVIN FOWLER
82. NAME: KATHLEENE SUSAN FRANCIS
83. NAME: DON FRIEDMAN
84. NAME: BILL GALLAGHER
85. NAME: HERBERT GARTNER
86. NAME: GENA aka FREEDOM
87. NAME: EDGAR G GILLHAM
88. NAME: PAUL GOLDING-CLARK
89. NAME: FLORA GOLTSMAN
90. NAME: RAFAEL GONZALEZ
91. NAME: ERIC R GOODMAN
92. NAME: MARY GOODWIN
93. NAME: AARON GOODWIN
94. NAME: GORDON
95. NAME: JAMES HENRY GRAF
96. NAME: ERIC GRIFFIN
97. NAME: SHERI GRUTZ
98. NAME: ERIC H
99. NAME: MARGARET HABIB
100. NAME: MIKAL HALEY
101. NAME: DEB HALL AND SON
102. NAME: JIMMY HALLER
103. NAME: JENNIFER HANNA
104. NAME: JONATHAN C HANSEN
105. NAME: MOLLY R. HARDIN & DEE HARDIN
106. NAME: NAOMI HARRIS
107. NAME: RONALD HAUCKE
108. NAME: LEE HAWTHORNE
109. NAME: KATHLEEN T. HECKMAN
110. NAME: RAFAEL HERNANDEZ JR
111. NAME: DORIS HICKS
112. NAME: SUSAN HONAKER & FAMILY
113. NAME: MOSTAFA HOSNY
114. NAME: ROSARIO HOUSEHOLDER (MARA)
115. NAME: JULIE HOWELL
116. NAME: HUGGLES
117. NAME: JOHN HUGHES
118. NAME: MARLENA HUGHES
119. NAME: MARK IANNICELLI aka. Muhammad Iannicelli, aka MuhammadLi,
120. NAME: INTERIQ
121. NAME: "IQPRISONER"

122. NAME: JACK
123. NAME: PHILLIP JACKSON
124. NAME: RICHARD DEAN JACOB
125. NAME: JALBY
126. NAME: JEANNIE
127. NAME: JENNIFER
128. NAME: JIM
129. NAME: JILLYJOHNSON
130. NAME: MARY JOHNSON
131. NAME: JON
132. NAME: GEORGE JONES
133. NAME: MARK JONES
134. NAME: STEVEN JONES
135. NAME: T. JOSEPHINE
136. NAME: JUNE
137. NAME: KEVIN JUNIOR
138. NAME: KATHI
139. NAME: THE KATS FAMILY
140. NAME: JOE KEEGAN
141. NAME: KEITH
142. NAME: ARLENE KEITH
143. NAME: TANYA KELLER
144. NAME: SHAFIQ KHAN
145. NAME: KIM
146. NAME: PATRICIA A. KINSELLA
147. NAME: NICHOLAS KIRKLAND
148. NAME: THOMAS J. KLUEGEL
149. NAME: LINDA KMIOTEK
150. NAME: TREVOR KOKOTYLO
151. NAME: KOMMY
152. NAME: VICTORIA KUPHALL
153. NAME: GALINA KURDINA
154. NAME: JOHN GREGORY LAMBROS
155. NAME: GARY N LANDRY
156. NAME: EDGAR R. LAVERDE
157. NAME: DONALD LEE aka the Shadowillowist, Geshe Roache Lee
158. NAME: MARCIA LEE
159. NAME: NADINE LEE
160. NAME: JANET LEIH
161. NAME: CASSANDRA LEWIS
162. NAME: JENNIFER LICHY
163. NAME: JOHN M LITO
164. NAME: VICTOR LIVINGSTON
165. NAME: STEPHEN LONG
166. NAME: RAMONA LOPEZ
167. NAME: RENE LOSADA
168. NAME: ROGER R. LOWE
169. NAME: C C M & FAMILY
170. NAME: BARRY MADISON
171. NAME: WAYNE MADSEN
172. NAME: CHRISTINE MAGIOTTO
173. NAME: SANDRA L MAIZLAND
174. NAME: WAYNE MANZO
175. NAME: BRUNO MARCHESANI
176. NAME: STEFANO MARESCOTTI
177. NAME: JAMES F. MARINO
178. NAME: JON MASON
179. NAME: MICHAEL A MATLOFF

180. NAME: A J MCKAY
181. NAME: FRED McKENNA
182. NAME: DARREN C MCMAHON
183. NAME: JOHN MECCA & DEBBIE LAMB
184. NAME: MICHELLE MELLEMA
185. NAME: MEMORIALS OF 7 MIND CONTROL AND DIRECTED ENERGY WEAPON VICTIMS
186. NAME: LYNN MENDES
187. NAME: JESUS MENDOZA
188. NAME: BARRY MICHAEL
189. NAME: NANCY MILLER
190. NAME: MELODY MINEO
191. NAME: FERNANDO ARAKAKI MIRANDA
192. NAME: CINDY MITCHUM
193. NAME: AMIR MOHAMADI
194. NAME: M. ALEX MOLARO
195. NAME: RICHARD MONGEON
196. NAME: DAN MONTGOMERY
197. NAME: DANIEL L. MOORE
198. NAME: KATHERINE MOORE
199. NAME: DANIEL MORGAN AND FAMILY – LORNA, NICOLE, PATRICIA, CHRISTOPHER, DYLAN, AVERY
200. NAME: ANGELA MORGAN
201. NAME: CAROLYN MORIYAMA
202. NAME: JANICE MORTON
203. NAME: VICTOR N. MOTURI
204. NAME: MASSIE MUNRO
205. NAME: CHUCK MURPHY
206. NAME: DENISE MYLES AND BROTHER
207. NAME: T N
208. NAME: KAMRAN NAQVI
209. NAME: GLORIA NAYLOR
210. NAME: BRYAN NAZAM
211. NAME: CONNIE NEAL
212. NAME: KERRI NEAL
213. NAME: NEBRASKA - 6 YR TARGETED INDIVIDUAL
214. NAME: DEBBIE N., FAMILY & FRIENDS
215. NAME: RICHARD NOEN
216. NAME: MAUREEN NORMAN
217. NAME: TIMOTHY A. NORMAN
218. NAME: L O
219. NAME: CAROLYN PALIT
220. NAME: TERRY PARKER JR. /AKA ROBERTSON
221. NAME: PAMELA PARKER
222. NAME: DELLY PELC
223. NAME: ROBERTO PEREIRA
224. NAME: RICHARD PERLMAN
225. NAME: ARIEL FELICE PHILLIPS
226. NAME: DENISE S. POMPL
227. NAME: SARA YVETTE POTTER
228. NAME: POW
229. NAME: SKIZIT POWER
230. NAME: TOM PRACINOS
231. NAME: BYRON PRIOR & FAMILY
232. NAME: ANDREA PSORAS
233. NAME: MARY R
234. NAME: NORMAN R RABIN
235. NAME: KELLY RASMUSSEN
236. NAME: KELLY RAY
237. NAME: CHARLES REHN JNR IV

238. NAME: RHONDA
239. NAME: TIM RIFAT
240. NAME: ROBERT
241. NAME: ROBERT - 2
242. NAME: HELEN ROEDRIG
243. NAME: R. S. ROGERS
244. NAME: MR AND MRS GARRY ROMANIK
245. NAME: PAUL ROSE
246. NAME: VICTORIA ROSE
247. NAME: PETER ROSENHOLM
248. NAME: JUSTICE RUIZ
249. NAME: D S
250. NAME: PIERRE SAMSON
251. NAME: MELISSA SANDERSON
252. NAME: LUCIA SANTOS & FAMILY
253. NAME: JILL SAWYER
254. NAME: SARAH SCHAEFFER
255. NAME: STEFAN A. SCHOELLMANN
256. NAME: DELISA SCHOOLER
257. NAME: DOROTHY SCHULTZ
258. NAME: BEVERLY A. SCHWEITZER
259. NAME: BOB SDEWTELL
260. NAME: SHELLY
261. NAME: RYAN SHIELDS
262. NAME: BBOY SKATE
263. NAME: SLATEBREAKERS
264. NAME: DAVID SMITH
265. NAME: STEPHEN SMITH
266. NAME: MIRIAM SNYDER
267. NAME: DR CARLOS SOSA M.D.
268. NAME: GERAL SOSBEE
269. NAME: CARL SPERR
270. NAME: ARCHIE STAFFORD
271. NAME: STEPHEN
272. NAME: STEPHEN
273. NAME: PAT STEWART
274. NAME: CHRIS STUDIO & GIRLFRIEND
275. NAME: KRISSI STULL
276. NAME: ANNE SUCHARSKI
277. NAME: ANDRZEJ SUDA
278. NAME: SUE
279. NAME: LYNN SURGALLA
280. NAME: SUSPICIOUS DEATHS OF WRITERS AND JOURNALISTS WHO INVESTIGATED MINDCONTROL
CRIMES/ MUERTES SOSPECHOSAS DE PERIODISTAS SOBRE CONTROL MENTAL
281. NAME: DOROTHY SZCZEPKOWSKI
282. NAME: B T
283. NAME: ADAM TAMBLE
284. NAME: GRIMS TAROEEL
285. NAME: LOLITA TAYLOR
286. NAME: TERESA TAYLOR
287. NAME: MICHAEL TERRY
288. NAME: MARSHALL THOMAS
289. NAME: BETH TIOXIN
290. NAME: TONY
291. NAME: CHRISTOPHER LAMONT TRICE
292. NAME: JOSHUA TRITT
293. NAME: LYN TROXEL
294. NAME: ANNA TSENTSIPER

295. NAME: TORRANCE TURNER
296. NAME: URI AKA DOCTOR NO.
297. NAME: DON VALENTINE
298. NAME: CHAD VANDERGRUFF
299. NAME: DR CASSANDRA VAN NOSTRAND
300. NAME: THÉRÈSE VERSAILLES
301. NAME: RENA VETTLESON
302. NAME: JAMES M. VIERLING JR.
303. NAME: BAY RIDGE VIETNAM
304. NAME: J L VITT
305. NAMES: MARY VIVIAN, MARLENE VIVIAN AND FLORENCE VIVIAN
306. NAME: PETER K.VOSOUGH
307. NAME: JAMES WALBERT & FAMILY
308. NAME: TIMOTHY WAITE
309. NAME: ROBERT WALKER
310. NAME: FELICIA WARD
311. NAME: MARK WATERHOUSE
312. NAME: STEW WEBB
313. NAME: DR ALFRED WEBRE
314. NAME: MICHAEL WEGRZYN
315. NAME: CLARE WEHRLE
316. NAME: DOMINIE WELCH
317. NAME: JOHN WELLS
318. NAME: TERRY WENTZELL
319. NAME: ELEANOR WHITE
320. NAME: TIMOTHY WHITE
321. NAME: GLENDA WHITEMAN
322. NAME: ANN WILLIAMS
323. NAME: STEVE WILSON
324. NAME: ROBERT WOOD
325. NAME: BRIAN WRONGE
326. NAME: KAIS YACOUB
327. NAME: GEORGE ZACHYSTAL
328. NAME: ANANDA ZAREN
329. NAME: CHRIS ZUCKER

EUROPE

330. NAME: SHOMAN D. ADEH
331. NAME: GABRIELE ALTENDORF
332. NAME: HERBERT ALTENDORF
333. NAME: JÜRGEN ALTENDORF
334. NAME: BRIGITTE ALTHOF
335. NAME: ANATOLIJ
336. NAME: RUDY ANDRIA
337. NAME: DENNIS ARNOLD & YASMIN JEREMY
338. NAME: NAMAN ASGHAR & FAMILY
339. NAME: AZA
340. NAME: MOJMIR BABACEK
341. NAME: WALTRAUB BABL
342. NAME: STEPHEN BAKER
343. NAME: REZA BAYAT
344. NAME: JENNIFER BERKEMEIER
345. NAME: JEAN-PAUL BOLEA
346. NAME: BRIAN
347. NAME: ALFREDO NIETO CENTENO
348. NAME: "CHICKEN"

349. NAME: MICHAEL CHMELIK
350. NAME: JØRGEN CHRISTIANSEN
351. NAME: CARL CLARK
352. NAME: JOHN CLIFTOZ
353. NAME: ANDREW COLE
354. NAME: JEAN-NOEL CORDOLIANI
355. NAME: DAVID COULSON
356. NAME: KARLHEINZ CROISSANT
357. NAME: STAN CUMANS
358. NAME: DANIELA
359. NAME: DARRIM & FAMILY
360. NAME: MARIA PAULA ONOFRE DAS NEVES
361. NAME: PETRIT DEMO
362. NAME: BEN DEMPSEY
363. NAME: NANS DESMICHEL
364. NAME: KATHERINE DE SOUZA
365. NAME: R. DIECKMANN
366. NAME: FEJERVARY DOMINIK
367. NAME: OVIDIU DONCIU
368. NAME: PAOLO DORIGO
369. NAME: LINDA DREW
370. NAME: CAROL DUKE
371. NAME: MARTIN EMMEN
372. NAME: DEVON FOWLER
373. NAME: KATHERINE FRIEDLI
374. NAME: AB FRIS
375. NAME: ASTRID FUCHS
376. NAME: SIGRUN GEBHARDT
377. NAME: PRICOPI GELU
378. NAME: ANI GEWALT
379. NAME: RUTH GILL
380. NAME: RAY GOEBEL
381. NAME: OXANA GRUNWALD & FAMILY
382. NAME: GUMIND
383. NAME: gybfefe
384. NAME: SIMON HAYES
385. NAME: JOHAN HELLER
386. NAME: PETER HELWIG
387. NAME: STEIN E HENRIKSEN
388. NAME: REGINALD HODGES
389. NAME: TON HOOGEBOOM AND GERARD HOOGEBOOM
390. NAME: AREND TER HORST
391. NAME: HUGGLES
392. NAME: MERV HUGHES
393. NAME: INA
394. NAME: LINDA JANE INCE
395. NAME: MICHAEL IRVING
396. NAME: ITALY - OVER 60 VICTIMS
397. NAME: JULIAN JACKSON
398. NAME: DOSSIER JOSEPHINE
399. NAME: DANIELA K
400. NAME: MAURICE KELLETT
401. NAME: DEREK KINMOND
402. NAME: BRIGITTE KLAUS
403. NAME: MATTHIAS KLEIN
404. NAME: JAN KREWINKEL
405. NAME: PETER KUTZA
406. NAME: AUDRIUS KVILIUNAS

407. NAME: SERGE LABRÈZE
408. NAME: GAGIU IULIAN LAURENTIU
409. NAME: HENRY LICHTERFELDT
410. NAME: LINDA
411. NAME: BARTLOMIEJ LISTWAN
412. NAME: TATJANA LOTZ
413. NAME: WALDEMAR LOTZ
414. NAME: FERNANDO SANTAMARIA LOZANO
415. NAME: FRANCES ANN LUFF
416. NAME: A M
417. NAME: MARIUS M
418. NAME: WALTER MADLIGER
419. NAME: JARKKO MAKKONEN
420. NAME: LUKE MARSH
421. NAME: RAMON MARTINEZ
422. NAME: CHRISTINE MARX
423. NAME: BRIGITTE MATTE
424. NAME: MATTERWAVE
425. NAME: BERND MEERKAMP
426. NAME: CATHERINE MILLS & FAMILY
427. NAME: DARIUS MOCKUS
428. NAME: Victor MONCHAMP
429. NAME: THIERRY MOUTON
430. NAME: JEAN MULLAN
431. NAME: C N
432. NAME: MAUREEN NORMAN
433. NAME: DEBBIE PARTRIDGE
434. NAME: LIDIA POPOVA
435. NAME: NADIE PRIEUR
436. NAME: DRAGINJA NATASHA PUSICH
437. NAME: CAROL RAE
438. NAME: WILLIAM RAE
439. NAME: RANDOLPH
440. NAME: REDMANN
441. NAME: RICK
442. NAME: KAREN RODDY
443. NAME: RONALD
444. NAME: KLAUS RUDOLF
445. NAME: JACQUELINE SALII
446. NAME: RUUT SALO
447. NAME: PAUL SAUNDERSON
448. NAME: PAOLA SBRONZERI
449. NAME: ROBERTO SCARUFFI
450. NAME: SWETLANA SCHUNIN AND FAMILY – DIMITRI, SERGEY, DMITRI
451. NAME: ANGELINA SCHWEYEN
452. NAME: SIRBILLGATESJNR
453. NAME: RICHARD SLUITER
454. NAME: CAROL SMITH
455. NAME: JAVIER RUIZ SOBRINO
456. NAME: MONIKA SOKAL
457. NAME: WALDTRAUT SRERNITZKE
458. NAME: KIM STIRLING & FAMILY
459. NAME: MONIKA STOCES
460. NAME: REGINA STOLL
461. NAME: SUSPICIOUS DEATHS OF WRITERS AND JOURNALISTS WHO INVESTIGATED MINDCONTROL
CRIMES/ MUERTES SOSPECHOSAS DE PERIODISTAS SOBRE CONTROL MENTAL
462. NAME: TI29187
463. NAME: MO TAHANI

- 464. NAME: FRANCIS TAILOKA
- 465. NAME: NATALIE TEULON
- 466. NAME: TARA TILLY (THOMAS RITA)
- 467. NAME: HELMUT TONDL
- 468. NAME: SAHAR TORKY
- 469. NAME: INGRID TREMEL
- 470. NAME: UN JEUNE INGENIEUR
- 471. NAME: EMILIA MARIA VAZ-MARCH
- 472. NAME: JEAN VERSTRAETEN
- 473. NAME: JACQUES VUILLOD
- 474. NAME: TRACIE WALKER
- 475. NAME: WATERFALL
- 476. NAME: RANDOLF WEINAND
- 477. NAME: MAIRE WOLF
- 478. NAME: CHRISTINA WYATT
- 479. NAME: ZENBEL
- 480. NAME: DR LES DOVE
- 481. NAME: GEORGE FARQUHAR
- 482. NAME: STEVEN CALVEY
- 483. NAME: PETER W. MARTIN
- 484. NAME: OVER 60 VICTIMS FROM ITALY

CHINA & ASIA-PACIFIC

AN ANNOUNCEMENT TO THE WHOLE WORLD BY OVER 50 CHINESE VICTIMS

NAME: JOHN AIDMANN
NAME: ah2006-cwy 天津无处寻
NAME: ALEX
NAME: ahzsp2612
NAME: BAIBING (aka 2010lf)
NAME: PAUL BAIRD
NAME: 白云 BAIYUN024
NAME: MR SHIJIE CAO
NAME: CHAH001
NAME: SHINE CHANG
NAME: CHINA – 62 VICTIMS
NAME: STEVE CROFT
NAME: RAI D
NAME: HAI DANG
NAME: DAVIS
NAME: MARGARET DOWN
NAME: DEBORAH DUPRE
NAME: JOHN FINCH & FAMILY & NEIGHBOURS
NAME: JAMES YUE GEE
NAME: JOSEPH GIBSON
NAME: MIYOKO GOTO
NAME: TAKAHIRO GOTO
NAME: LISA H
NAME: KYUNG-GUK HA
NAME: JOHN HANDLEY
NAME: HARUKA
NAME: hongzx
NAME: ZHANG HUIMIN
NAME: imary660610
NAME: 来自jiaodian902的自动回复
NAME: JUNG, IL SUN
NAME: jinyi691001
NAME: THOMAS KOH
NAME: TERUYUKI KURAHASHI
NAME: GEORGE KWONG
NAME: laofa99
NAME: LEE
NAME: JUDITH LESUE
NAME: LI GUAN-PING
NAME: WEI LI

NAME: lijing6898
NAME: lilylinxin
NAME: MIKEY LIU
NAME: XIN LIU
NAME: LUCIA
NAME: MR WENLONG MA ((IVAN)
NAME: MABEL
NAME: BETH MACLEAN
NAME: LIZ MCCLELLAN
NAME: DAVID LUKE MENDHAM
NAME: MOKUREN
NAME: PHIL MOON
NAME: OSHANA1
NAME: MOREEN PHILLIPS
NAME: Pp
NAME: pys624
NAME: XIN ZHONG QING
NAME: HAROLD B QUIBAN
NAME: RAYMOND
NAME: ANDREW ROBINSON
NAME: MISS RUQUAN-GUO
NAME: GARY D SIMMONS
NAME: XIN WANG SHEN 銜 譽 勤 譽 鐸 澆 澆
NAME: SOLEILMAVIS
NAME: ELIZABETH ANNE SUTHERTON
NAME: 黎 嬌 監 tclwyy
NAME: 3crobot
NAME: 3V3NG3LA
NAME: TONY TU
NAME: WORARAT TUMMALUCKSAMEE
NAME: LIZA VELUZ
NAME: MISS XIAN-SUN (RINO)
NAME: SHENGLIN YI
NAME: "KELLY" TANG ZHAO
NAME: 523062953@qq.com

INDIA, THE MIDDLE-EAST & AFRICA

1. NAME: F. A.
2. NAME: NADA ABBAS
3. NAME: ANNE
4. NAME: DEB CHAKRABORTY
5. NAME: RAJ D
6. NAME: AHMAD FANI
7. NAME: RAHUL S JOSHI
8. NAME: SIMRAN SINGH JUNEJA
9. NAME: SHAFIQ KHAN
10. NAME: SHIJU KRISHNA
11. NAME: SURESH S. KUMAR
12. NAME: TERUYUKI KURAHASHI
13. NAME: MAHESHKUMAR
14. NAME: OTILLIA
15. NAME: PARIKSHIT PATHAK
16. NAME: DR FEISAL SALIM

RUSSIA & BELARUS

1. NAME: AGAFFONOV Pavel
2. NAME: ALBERT (девятин сергей)
3. NAME: ALEKSEYEV Igor Georgievich
4. NAME: ALEVINA Pawlowna Gudzenko
5. NAME: BASHKOVSKIY Vladimir
6. NAME: BELARUS – OVER 14 CASES
7. NAME: BOLOTSKIY Sergey
8. NAME: BORODIENKO Vladimir
9. NAME: DANILOV Victor Yegorovich

10. NAME: DOMOJIROVA Tatyana Kondratyevna & Family
11. NAME: DRUZHININA Irina Vladimirovna & Family
12. NAME: EREMIN Andrey
13. NAME: ERMAKOV Vladimir Petrovich
14. NAME: FROLOV Sergey Timofejevich & Family
15. NAME: GALANIN Vitaly Ivanovich
16. NAME: JUNOSHEVA Valentine
17. NAME: KANDYBIN Edward Nikolayevich
18. NAME: KATSERIKOVA Galina Ivanovna & Family
19. NAME: KOCHETOVA Natalia Ivanovna
20. NAME: KONDRATOVA Svetlana Vasilyevna & Family
21. NAME: KOPYLOVA Liliy
22. NAME: KOSTROVA Liy
23. NAME: KOZLOV Valentin Alekseyevich & Family
24. NAME: LEVINA Anna Petrovna
25. NAME: PAVLOVSKY Grigoriy Fedorovich
26. NAME: PETUKHOV Vitaly
27. NAME: PETUKHOVA Alla Yakovlevna & Family
28. NAME: PRODIUS Gennady
29. NAME: PROHANOV Jury
30. NAME: PROHANOVA Margarita
31. NAME: REDKINA Svetlana
32. NAME: ROMANENKO Galina
33. NAME: ROZANCHUK Margarita Ivanovna & Family
34. NAME: RYBIN Pyotr Lukich , RYBINA Alexandra Filippovna & Family
35. NAME: SAMSONOV Nick
36. NAME: SEREBRYAKOVA Ljubov
37. NAME: SOSHINA NÁdÁjídÁ
38. NAME: DMITRY SUCHKOV
39. NAME: TORIN Sergei
40. NAME: TRETIAKOVA Tamara Vitalievna, son Mikhail & Family
41. NAME: VLADIMIR
42. NAME: VORONTSOV Vladimir Borisovich
43. NAME: VORONTSOVA Svetlana
44. NAME: ZYBINA Nadejida Pyotrovna

It is our responsibility to record and alert the world to these horrendous crimes - and the extreme danger that these technologies, powers and tendencies pose to human rights, liberty, democracy, privacy and the mental and physical freedom, individuality, integrity, health and growth of all people.

DIRECTED ENERGY & NEUROLOGICAL WEAPONS VICTIMS ORGANISATIONS & FURTHER INFORMATION

FREEDOM FROM COVERT HARASSMENT AND SURVEILLANCE

MR DERRICK ROBINSON

WEB: <http://freedomfchs.com/>

EMAIL: info@freedomfchs.com, derrickrobinson@gmail.com,

MIND JUSTICE

MS CHERYL WELSH

WEB: <http://www.mindjustice.org/>

EMAIL: welsh@dcn.davis.ca.us

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE ON OFFENSIVE MICROWAVE WEAPONS

MR HARLAN GIRARD

WEB: <http://www.icomw.org/>

EMAIL: <http://www.icomw.org/contact.asp>

ILLEGAL HUMAN EXPERIMENTING & ELECTROMAGNETIC MINDCONTROL

<http://www.netti.fi/~makako/mind/index.html>

THE ASSOCIATION AGAINST THE ABUSE OF PSYCHOPHYSICAL WEAPONS

PRESIDENT SWETLANA SCHUNIN

EMAIL: DimitriSchunin@gmx.de, ka4143-896@online.de,

WEB: <http://psychophysischer-terror.de/tl/>

THE AMERICAN COGNITIVE LIBERTIES ASSOCIATION

WEB: <http://americancognitivelibertiesassoc.org/default.aspx>

EMAIL: emailus@americancognitivelibertiesassoc.org, ACLA@americancognitivelibertiesassoc.org,

MIND CONTROL - TECHNOLOGY, TECHNIQUES & POLITICS

MR ALLEN BARKER Ph.D

WEB: <http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~alb/ugly/ugly.html>

<http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~alb/misc/truth.html>

<http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~alb/misc/moreMindLinks.html>

SURVEILLANCE ISSUES

MR PAUL BAIRD

WEB: www.surveillanceissues.com

EMAIL: p.baird@surveillanceissues.com,

SECRET ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE AND ATTACKS

MS LESLIE CRAWFORD

WEB: <http://www.lesliecrawford.cabanova.com/page1.html>

EMAIL: lesliecraw40@talkamerica.net

THE FEDERATION AGAINST MIND CONTROL EUROPE

MS MONIKA STOCES, MR DANNY BONTE

WEB: <http://www.mindcontrol-victims.eu/>

EMAIL: MCmailteam@gmail.com, monika.stoces@telenet.be, monika.stoces@gmail.com,

danny.bonte@gmail.com,

THE OMINOUS PARALLELS

WEB: <http://www.theominousparallels.blogspot.com/>

EMAIL: theominousparallels@gmail.com , theepitbull@gmail.com,

The Police State Road Map www.policestateplanning.com

9/11 SYNTHETIC TERRORISM - MADE IN USA

<http://www.indymedia.org.uk/media/2005/07/317436.pdf>

Abolish the Monarchy

<http://www.abolishthemonarchy.co.uk>

EXOTIC WARFARE.COM

WEB: <http://exoticwarfare.org/>

EMAIL: journalist@Safe-mail.net, texassupport@fastmail.fm

ORGANIZED CRIME WAVES

MS ELIZABETH ADAMS
<http://www.organizedcrimewaves.com/>
EMAIL: organizedgovcrimes@yahoo.com

US GOVERNMENT TORTURE & HEROES OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THEIR STAND AGAINST
HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION
WEB: <http://www.us-government-torture.com/SECRETANGEL.TV.html>
EMAIL: timetogo2@optonline.net

FASCISM - "9-11" - MIND CONTROL
MR JAMES MARINO
WEB: <http://www.9-11themotherofallblackoperations.blogspot.com/>
EMAIL: peaceseeker12@hotmail.com

INTERNATIONAL MOVEMENT TO BAN THE MANIPULATION OF THE HUMAN NERVOUS SYSTEM
BY TECHNICAL MEANS,
MR MOMJIR BABACEK
WEB: <http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Campus/2289/webpage.htm>,
<http://web.iol.cz/mhzzrz/>
EMAIL: mbabacek@iol.cz, welsh@dcn.davis.ca.us,

FRANCE - INFORMATION DOSSIER
WEB: <http://www.lacoctelera.com/presentation-de-la-situation>
<http://informationdossier.wordpress.com/>
EMAIL: rudyud2004@gmail.com

GERMANY – STOP MIND CONTROL
WEB: <http://stopptmindcontrol.lima-city.de>
EMAIL: ruth.gill@freenet.de

GERMANY - VEREIN GEGEN DEN MISSBRAUCH PSYCHOPHYSISCHER WAFFEN
WEB: <http://psychophysischer-terror.de/tl/>

ITALY - ASSOCIAZIONE ITALIANA, SCIENTIFICA E GIURIDICA, CONTRO GLI ABUSI MENTALI,
FISICI E TECNOLOGICI
<http://www.aisjca-mft.org/>

ITALY - ASSOCIAZIONE VITTIME ARMI ELETTRONICHE-MENTALI
MR PAOLO DORIGO
WEB: <http://it.geocities.com/decifircas/>, www.associazionevittimearmielettroniche-mentali.org
EMAIL: info@avae-m.org, info@associazionevittimearmielettroniche-mentali.org, basmau@libero.it,
paola.marziani@libero.it, antanarivo@libero.it,

INDIA - NO MORE COVERT MIND CONTROL WEAPONS
MR FEISAL SALIM A.S.
WEB: <http://www.mindcontrolvictimsunity.in/>
EMAIL: info@mindcontrolvictimsunity.in, informvictim@gmail.com

CHINA - PEACEPINK - 粉红和平
MS SOLEILMAVIS
WEB: <http://peacepink.ning.com>, <http://groups.google.com/group/soleilmavis> (IN CHINESE)
<http://soleilmavis.spaces.live.com/>
EMAIL: soleilmavis@yahoo.com,

JAPAN - STOP MIND CONTROL のサイトは、兵器技術や心理学等を悪用した、マインドコントロールの強制人体実験に反対します
WEB: <http://www5f.biglobe.ne.jp/~terre/index.html>, <http://www.mirai1.com/>, (in
JAPANESE and ENGLISH)
<http://www.geocities.jp/techhanzainetinfo/> (in JAPANESE)

JAPAN -

WEB: <http://gangstalkinginde.blog59.fc2.com/>

RUSSIA - THE MOSCOW HOUSING ECOLOGY COMMITTEE

MS ALLA PETUKHOVA

WEB: <http://www.moscomeco.narod.ru/>

EMAIL: pealla@mail.ru, moscomeco@mail.ru, moskomekologia@narod.ru

RUSSIA - THE ST.PETERSBOURGH SOCIETY OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO REMOTE CONTROLLED BIOENERGETIC TERROR

WEB: <http://psyterror.narod.ru/>

EMAIL: pealla@mail.ru, moscomeco@mail.ru, moskomekologia@narod.ru

BAN ELECTRONIC WARFARE ON CIVILIANS PETITION

370 + SIGNATURES AND MESSAGES OF VICTIMS & SUPPORTERS

WEB: <http://www.ipetitions.com/petition/synergy/?e>

EMAIL: ti.petition@gmail.com, ti.synergy@gmail.com,

MIND CONTROL FORUM VICTIMS' ACCOUNTS

600 + VICTIMS' ACCOUNTS

WEB: <http://www.mindcontrolforums.com/victm-hm.htm>

NAFF - ADVOCATING FOR VICTIMS OF MIND CONTROL, TORTURE, SLAVERY & RELATED TERROR

MS KATHLEEN SULLIVAN

WEB: <http://naffoundation.org/>

EMAIL: mail@naffoundation.org

CITIZENS AGAINST HUMAN EXPERIMENTATION

MR RICHARD PERLMANN

WEB: <http://www.healthycitizens.blogspot.com/>

EMAIL: healthycitizens@gmail.com, rjp@healthycitizens.com

THE CENTER FOR COGNITIVE LIBERTY & ETHICS (CCLE)

MR RICHARD GLEN BOIRE, DR WRYE SENTENTIA

WEB: <http://www.cognitiveliberty.org/index.html>

EMAIL: info@cognitiveliberty.org,

GANG STALKING WORLD

<http://gangstalkingworld.com/>

RULE OF LAW DEFENDERS

MR MOE HOSNY

WEB: www.hosnyinfo.com

EMAIL: defend_law@yahoo.ca

[MIKROWELLENTERROR.DE/](http://www.mikrowellenterror.de/)

WEB: <http://www.mikrowellenterror.de/>

EMAIL: info@mikrowellenterror.de, webmaster@mikrowellenterror.de

FREEDOM FIGHTERS FOR AMERICA

www.freedomfightersforamerica.com

EMF TORTURE CHAMBER

MS T. JOSEPHINE

WEB: <http://www.geocities.com/xposperps/>

EMAIL: <http://emftorturechamber.blogspot.com/>, xposperps@yahoo.com

TECHNOLOGICAL TORTURE

MS PAT STEWART

WEB: <http://iamatorturevictim.blogspot.com/>

EMAIL: stewardp34@bellsouth.net

US CITIZENS ARE SECRETLY BEING USED AS RESEARCH RATS

WEB: <http://researchrat.com/>
EMAIL: targetelephant@yahoo.com

THE DECLARATION OF ALARMED CITIZENS
MR JEAN VERSTRAETEN
EMAIL: verstraeten.jean@belgacom.net

MR WALDEMAR LOTZ -
EMAIL: wlotz2003@web.de, wlotz2002@mail.ru,

MR WALTER MADLIGER
EMAIL: wmadliger@yahoo.de,

MR DARIUS MOCKUS
EMAIL: darius_mockus@yahoo.com,

Bilderberg - Tony Gosling's Home Page

<http://www.bilderberg-mirror.org.uk/index.htm>

Serendipity

<http://www.serendipity.li/index.html>

CANADA: Torture Info - Psychotronic Weapons - help & advice: CAHRA Membership Cards. A booklet on organized stalking can be downloaded or viewed free from this site.

<http://www.raven1.net>

Government Mind Control

<http://www.angelfire.com/or/mctrl/index.html>

IF YOU ARE A VICTIM OF BRITISH REPRESSION you should contact: www.mindontrolforums.com and <http://www.mindcontrol-victims.eu> sending full details plus a current contact address, mail and e-mail, as soon as possible. They maintain a list of British and American torture victims and it is in your interest to be included.

Willing to file lawsuit against perpetrators of human rights Violations. E-mail: center4justice@cja.org [Survivors International](http://www.survivorsinternational.org): Torture treatment center

[Suppression of dissent: documents](http://www.suppressionofdissent.com) Great site with info on: Australia - Britain - Canada - New Zealand - South Africa - United States

Best Interview: Confessions of an Economic Hit Man Part I Video

<http://www.tvduck.com/video-4882-Confessions-of-an-Economic-Hit-Man-Part-I.html>

Organized Crime Waves <http://www.organizedcrimewaves.com>

Survivors International - Torture Treatment Center: <http://www.survivorsintl.org>

Federation of American Scientists <http://www.fas.org>

Designed to fail: Systemic flaws in electronic voting machines

<http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/66.html>

Pilots For 9/11 Truth.org

<http://pilotsfor911truth.org/forum/index.php?showtopic=5301>

[University of Minnesota Human Rights Library](http://www.minnesota.humanrightslibrary.org) - **vast resources.**

Freedom From Covert Harassment and Surveillance

<http://www.freedomfchs.com>

[Global Internet Liberty Campaign](#). **Fights censorship worldwide.**

[Big Brother Awards International](#) **Gives Big Brother award to those who abuse power**

[Lobster Magazine](#) **Info on UK and US intelligence services.**

[Conspiracy Planet](#) **Great articles by many writers.**

Very outspoken. [The Center for Justice & Accountability \(CJA\)](#)

The Home Page of Wade Frazier (Good Info – Read it all)

<http://www.ahealedplanet.net/home.htm>

Explanation of Targeting Individuals for ‘Psych-Torture’ (Video)

http://www.fdrs.org/psychological_warfare_videos.html

The Great Deception

http://www.fdrs.org/psychological_warfare_videos.html

The Trojan Horse

http://www.fdrs.org/psychological_warfare_videos.html

The Torture Report (1995)

<http://mindcontrolforums.com/v/les-dove1.htm>

My Outspoken Blog: www.lesdove.blogspot.com

Mass UK Mind Control Technology Now A Reality

<http://www.whale.to/b/rifat1.html>

We Are Change (UK Web sites may be censored)

<http://www.wearechange.org>

Jordan Maxwell - Queen of England Exposed 4/4

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=5567904676216660825&q=illuminati%20duration:long&hl=en>

Psychological Torture – 129 Videos

http://youtube.com/results?search_query=psychological+torture&search_type

7/7 Bombings Final Word: Her Majesty's Terrorist Network

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/august2005/070805finalword.htm>

Common Purpose the Unseen Invasion - Brian Gerrish

http://www.broadcastyoutube.com/watch?v=hBE_0-1v_34&feature=related

The Secret Uses Of Microwave Mind Control By The British

<http://www.whale.to/b/rifat6.html>

Top Journalists Expose Major Cover-ups in Mass Media

<http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia#palast>

You Can Handle The Truth – Mind Control Goes Public - 4of5

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ni3bXYA_v_8&feature=related

David Icke - Freedom or Fascism: The Time to Choose

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2oz_6fmISw0

The Military Industrial Complex

http://www.policestateplanning.com/chapter_2_.htm

UK forces taught torture methods

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/Iraq/Story/0,2763,1212197,00.html>

Mind-Control: The Ultimate Terror

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_mindcon01.htm

Microwave Mind-Control by UK Intelligence Forces

http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_mindcon10.htm

The true inside facts about the 7/7 London bombings

<http://www.jamescasbolt.com/bombings.htm>

Microwave Mind Control: by Dr. Rauni Leena Kilde, MD

<http://www.raven1.net/kilde1.htm>

Black Ops, Underground Bases

<http://www.jamescasbolt.com>

UK 2017: under surveillance

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article18517.htm>

Subverting the Media

http://www.deepblacklies.co.uk/subverting_the_media.htm

Group 13

http://www.deepblacklies.co.uk/group_13.htm

MI5 and Torture in the UK

<http://mediafilter.org/guest/Pages/April.4.2000.18.52.58>

Leaked documents the UK is trying to block under Secrets Act - published here

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article11407.htm>

77 Mind The Gap (Video)

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-2001897549763616199&q=mind+the+gap>

How Britain created Ulster's murder gangs

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=4615>

Torture in the United Kingdom

<http://mediafilter.org/guest/Pages/March.21.2000.22.55.46>

The British Monarchy Has Its Roots in Nazism

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/may2007/090507monarchy.htm>

The Hidden Face of Terrorism

<http://www.nexusmagazine.com/articles/hiddenterror.html>

Mind-Control Torture in the UK

<http://www.five.org.uk/evidence/mc/mc.htm>

Mind Control By The British

<http://educate-yourself.org/mc/mcmwmindcontrolbybritish10jul01.shtml>

Mind Dontrol and The New World Order

<http://www.whale.to/b/krawczyk.html>

Naomi Klein - Give Me Liberty – A Handbook for American Revolutionaries

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_XgkeTanCGI

Curbing Social Protest in America: Microwave ‘Non-Lethal’ Weapons used for ‘Crowd Control’

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/index.php?cmd=news.article&articleID=1985>

In your head: Experiments into remote mind control technology

http://web.archive.org/web/20000817160052/www.isleofavalon.co.uk/local/h-pages/pro-freedom/in_your_head.html

Microwave Mind Control

http://www.ivanfraser.com/articles/conspiracies/mw_mindcontrol.html

David Shayler Lecture http://www.disclose.tv/viewvideo/1109/David_Shayler_Lecture_Part_1_of_3

State-Sponsored Terror in the Western World

<http://www.nexusmagazine.com/articles/StateTerror.html>

Covert Terrorism in the UK

http://web.archive.org/web/20000817155235/www.isleofavalon.co.uk/local/h-pages/pro-freedom/ct_uk.html

Terrorstorm: A History of Government-Sponsored Terrorism

<http://freedocumentaries.org/film.php?id=132>

Organized Crime Waves

<http://www.organizedcrimewaves.com>

Web site exposing Mind-Control around the world.

<http://www.mindcontrol-victims.eu>

Concentration Camps For American Citizens

<http://www.apfn.org/apfn/camps.htm>

<http://filmtalks.net/post/2008/01/25/concentration-camps-for-american-citizens/#a>

Masters Of Terror – Alex Jones

<http://filmtalks.net/post/2007/11/25/masters-of-terror-alex-jones/#a>

The CIA & Drugs

<http://ciadrugs.homestead.com/files/outline.html#intro>

Dr. Michael Parenti: “Terrorism, Globalism and Conspiracy “

<http://filmtalks.net/post/2007/12/11/dr-michael-parenti-terrorism-globalism-and-conspiracy/#a>

Illiminazi

<http://video.google.com/videosearch?num=10&so=3&q=documentary+-rose+duration%3Along&start=0#num=10&so=3&q=documentary%20-rose%20duration%3Along&start=350>

State – Sponsored Terror in the Western World

http://www.nexusmagazine.com/index.php?option=com_docman&task=cat_view&gid=31&Itemid=71

On Her Majesty's Secret Service – Uncensored

http://www.totse.com/en/politics/foreign_military_intelligence_agencies/162008.html

All the Queen’s forces and all the Queen’s men

<http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/biggestsecret/biggestsecretbook/biggestsecret18.htm>

America the Illiterate

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article21239.htm>

Fabled Enemies (Super High Quality, full movie

<http://video.google.com/videosearch?num=10&so=3&q=documentary+-rose+duration%3Along&start=0>

Secrets Of The CIA

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article21282.htm>

State Sponsored Terrorists: Secrets of the CIA Part 1 (Video)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8eGFyEo33eI&feature=related>

The Secret Government: CIA Operations Part 1 (Video)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ja6hblM2rlo&feature=related>

Electronic Voting Machines Whistle Blower

<http://www.truestoriesvideoblog.info>

Dr Michael Parenti -- Functions of Fascism (Real History) 1 of4

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n0Bc4KJx2Ao&feature=related>

Masons are the UK’s Nazi Party

<http://www.ljpr.info>

Noam Chomsky - America is not a Democracy

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LmJv_wf91W8&feature=related

John Stockwell: The Third World War

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z9VxnCBD9W4&eurl>

The Secret History of the American Empire – John Perkins

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wKVVIVnTo3M>

America Bombings Date Page

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/911.html>

London Bombings Data Page

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/archives/london/index.htm>

The Secret Use Of Microwaves By British Police And Army

<http://www.rense.com/general11/mm.htm>

Symptoms of a targeted individual in the UK

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=si1bHa45u18&feature=related>

Top Journalists Expose Major Cover-ups in Mass Media

<http://www.wanttoknow.info/massmedia#palast>

Bio-electromagnetic Weapons: The ultimate weapon

<http://www.opednews.com/maxwrite/linkframe.php?linkid=36263>

David Icke - Freedom or Fascism: The Time to Choose

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2oz_6fmISw0

From the Wilderness - Michael Ruppert <http://www.brasschecktv.com/page/261.html>

The Secret Government: The Constitution in Crisis, by Bill Moyers

<http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=3505348655137118430>

How the spooks took over the news

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article19328.htm>

Torture in the United Kingdom

<http://mediafilter.org/guest/Pages/March.21.2000.22.55.46>

John Kaminski – Honest Writer on the Internet

<http://www.rense.com/Datapages/kaminski.htm>

“The Confessions of an Economic Hit Man.”

<http://www.economicshitman.com>

Loose Change: Final Cut (2007)

http://www.moviesfoundonline.com/loose_change_final_cut.php

Torture in the United States

<http://www.raven1.net/tousomct.htm>

America: Rogue State: William Blum

<http://www.nw0.info>

Lindsey Williams – The Energy Non-Crisis. Video, Part 1 of 8

<http://www.liveleak.com/browse?all&user=apfnorg&page=3>

News you won't find on CNN or FOX News!

<http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/>

Manufacturing Consent: Noam Chomsky and the Media (1992)

http://www.moviesfoundonline.com/manufacturing_consent.php

MI6 Are The Lords of the Global Drug Trade

<http://www.thetruthseeker.co.uk/print.asp?ID=4540>

Targeting Journalists

<http://www.prisonplanet.com/archives.html>

What Did Eisenhower Mean When He Warned of a Military Industrial Complex? Take a Look at the Carlyle Group.

http://www.buzzflash.com/interviews/03/06/23_briody.html

'Ex-presidents club' gets fat on conflict

<http://observer.guardian.co.uk/iraq/story/0,,919897,00.html>

Carlyle Group: Anatomy of a Scam

http://www.conspiracydigest.com/carlyle_group.html

How the European Commission deals with irregularities & fraud

<http://www.sdnl.nl/fraude.htm>

Global Internet Liberty Campaign <http://www.gilc.org>

United Nations Home Page <http://www.un.org>