

# A Conspiracy of Racists and Crown Loyalists

---

## The Kingston Hillbillies

**Kevin Mugur Galalae**

**12/18/2011**

*The individuals within Kingston's establishment that planned and executed a vendetta against human rights activist Kevin Galalae for exposing covert programs of social and economic control that are vital to the British Crown and the re-imposition of global authoritarian control are exposed and the motivations and methods of their conspiracy are analyzed.*

The world is ill and I dared try to heal it.

Nothing is more offensive to those infected by intolerance and prejudice than a good man in their midst, one untouched by the engulfing disease. The hostility such a man engenders from bigots knows no boundaries since it blinds their judgments and sends them into the dark embrace of the irrational.

I am the man untainted in this sordid story and those I am about to name have fallen from grace by deciding that it is within their right to abuse their positions of authority to silence me by taking away my children and that in order to achieve this objective they can set themselves above the law and pervert the institutions of state.

How did it happen? Angered by my persistence and success exposing and shutting down a British covert program of surveillance and censorship of universities and by my refusal to surrender to the current narrow-minded policies and obsolete world view, a few influential individuals in Kingston, Canada, took it upon themselves to destroy me. Motivated by racism, loyalty to the Crown of England and self-interest, a toxic cocktail that poisoned their hearts and clouded their minds, and most likely encouraged by a nod from Ottawa and a wink from London, they set out to work.

Their disapproval of me had grown into hatred over the months I battled the West's divisive and discriminatory policies with a lawsuit against the UK at the European Court of Human Rights and a very public 30-day hunger strike at the Council of Europe. For over a year prior to these public demonstrations of civil disobedience in Strasbourg, France, these individuals had watched me break down the walls of silence the governments of the so-called free world had erected to hide a series of unlawful acts and policies perpetrated on society under the guise of combatting radicalisation and fighting terrorism.

They watched in disbelief as I was able to bypass the corporate controlled media with the help of WikiSpooks and Cryptome and reach the wider public with a series of articles that reveal the true reasons behind the regime of surveillance and censorship imposed on the world by a global establishment of power intent on instituting autocracy alongside a brutal form of corporate capitalism that favours a select few and devastates the rest of us.

I showed that by a three-punch combination conservative elements within the British establishment and likeminded allies throughout the West and beyond had legitimised the destruction of political dissent and the dismantling of civil society anywhere on the planet by passing Resolution 1624 (2005) at the UN Security Council, had annihilated the power of the European Court of Human Rights through the Izmir declaration, and had conceived and installed a mechanism of suppressing democracy and freedom in the western hemisphere through a purported counter-radicalization and counter-terrorism policy. The true intent of these actions, I was able to demonstrate, has nothing to do with protecting us from terrorism. It has everything to do, however, with desperately trying to rescue a western system that is morally and financially bankrupt and has been collapsing for the past ten years because it is obsolete but it has nevertheless been packaged as the New World Order and forced upon an unwilling world at a

crippling cost to western taxpayers, to world peace and to the wellbeing of people across the world.

What these individuals found particularly offensive is not only that I had the tenacity and intellect to successfully challenge the status quo by seeing through the deceit, but that I am a foreign-born Canadian who dares question the Anglo-Saxon arrogance that they have a God-given right to remain in sole control of the world till the end of time just because they won World War II.

My very existence was a slap in the face of those who see themselves as loyal subjects of the British Crown. And Kingston, Canada, is a stronghold of Crown loyalists. They made it their mission to destroy me. Unable to do so by legitimate means, since everything I wrote is backed by evidence, including the names of the government spies/censors that had infiltrated Oxford and Leicester universities and whom I named in one of my articles<sup>1</sup>, they jumped at the opportunity provided by my wife and her family.

\*

The attack on me was orchestrated and coordinated by a core of three individuals who used their positions of influence to achieve the politically expedient goal of silencing my political activism and who achieved this by abusing the law, misusing the institutions of state, corrupting civil servants, taking advantage of my wife's mental illness and exploiting the love of my children.




The pivotal figure and ringleader is a political heavyweight, Peter Milliken, MP for Kingston (1988 – 2011) and the longest serving Speaker of the House of Commons (2001 – 2011). As descendant of United Empire Loyalists who left the United States of America after the American Revolution, Mr. Milliken is an ardent Crown loyalist, and a jurisprudence graduate of Oxford University. Angered by my attack of the Crown, the policies of the UK and of his own inaction in respect to a British spy program that affects Canadian students, Mr. Milliken made the destruction of my life his retirement project. He received the information he needed in order to orchestrate an attack on me from his brother-in-law, Dr. Ross McIlquham, who is also my family doctor and a long-time friend of my wife's family. Mr. Milliken used his unparalleled political position at the national level to coordinate between the British and Canadian governments and to satisfy the British government's objective of silencing my criticisms of its policies and my revelations about its covert ops. He used his influence locally to manipulate the institutions of state.


Dr. Ross McIlquham relayed to his brother-in-law inside information about my relationship with my wife and her false and malicious allegations about my mental health. He also altered my medical record to create a non-existent history of mental illness.

The third conspirator is none other than my father-in-law, who saw his social position threatened by my political activism, and who applied relentless pressure on his daughter, my wife, to betray me and to give false statements to the authorities about my mental health and character.

---

<sup>1</sup> *The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU*, 25 October 2010, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\\_Great\\_Secret.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The_Great_Secret.pdf) and at <http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU>.

<p>THE RINGLEADER</p>  <p>Peter Milliken</p>	<p>THE MIDDLE MAN<sup>2</sup></p>  <p>Dr. Ross McIlquham</p>	<p>THE DOUBLEDEALER</p>  <p>Donald Marshall</p>
---	---	--



<sup>2</sup> Please see pp. 48-50 for additional explanations about Dr. McIlquham.

The genesis of the attack on me unfolded as follows. About a year ago, I gave my family doctor, Ross McIlquham, a copy of the article *The Great Secret* since he showed interest in my activism. Once he read it, however, he would no longer speak to me or even look into my eyes. He could hardly contain his disapproval because he did not like my revelations about the Crown or the fact that I shamed his brother-in-law, Peter Milliken, on page 13, footnote 12, where I wrote:

*Peter Milliken, the local MP, did absolutely nothing. As alumnus of Oxford University, Mr. Milliken chose to put the interests of his university ahead of the fundamental rights of his constituents. As elected Member of Parliament and Speaker of the House of Commons, Mr. Milliken has sworn to uphold and protect the Charter of Rights and Freedoms. Instead, he joined the editor of the local newspaper, Claude Scilley of The Whig Standard, to ensure that Canadians are kept in the dark about the fact that their children are being ideologically purged, intellectually brainwashed, spied on and censored in British universities and that the constitutionally protected rights of Canadians are being trampled upon by the British Government with the full knowledge and tacit consent of the Canadian Government. The toxic combination of Canada's corporate owned media and a political establishment beholden to the British Crown and compromised by bilateral and multilateral cooperation agreements on counterterrorism have annihilated freedom of speech, the rule of law and human rights in the country to which I immigrated as a child.*

Prejudice and false loyalties had taken the better of Dr. McIlquham, just as it had taken the better of my wife and her family. Kingston is a Loyalist city now and in the past and Peter Milliken wears his Loyalist credentials on his sleeve. Kingston's establishment could not stand the thought of having their world order challenged by a foreigner like me.

As early as January 2011 my wife went to Dr. McIlquham to complain to him and to insinuate that I am delusional or even mentally ill knowing that this is exactly what Dr. McIlquham and especially his brother-in-law Peter Milliken wanted to hear. At first, Dr. McIlquham stayed true to his profession and told Cindy that I am merely "demonstrative" and that there is nothing wrong with me. This is revealed in an email my wife sent to a friend in Hong Kong:

RE:

From: **cindy marshall** (cindym22@hotmail.ca)

Sent: January 17, 2011 6:39:04 PM

To: dominicnazarin@hotmail.com

Dom,

Today I went to the doctor, actually Oliver needed to see him. Ollie is refusing his bottle(formula) for the last several weeks.

Ross said that he feels Kevin just needs to be demonstrative which he told me once before. He also told me that he feels I am raising 3 children. He told me if things continue or seem to get worse that I should come to chat with him.

I can't see things improving. He is so mean to me and raises his voice to shut me up. It drives me nuts. In hope you are well and enjoying warm weather. Today was -21 for us.

xx

Cindy

By the time I filed a lawsuit against the UK at the European Court of Human Rights on March 1, he must have changed his stance. Dr. McIlquham and Peter Milliken took my wife's accusations as an opportunity to attack me and began plotting in collaboration with my wife's father, Don Marshall, who saw an opportunity to ingratiate himself with powerful people in Canada and abroad. By the time I was in Europe in April for the hunger strike, publishing my weekly appeals to Thomas Hammarberg, the wheels were in motion at home to have me arrested as soon as I returned home, to confine me to a mental asylum and to deprive me of my property and children.

Strangely, my wife, on several occasions during her frequent hypomanic episodes warned me in March that "*powerful people*" were after me and that I had "*made a lot of enemies and they will get me*". I thought her ranting was merely rhetorical. Little did I know that she had joined hands with my enemies and had given them the ammunition they needed to attack me.

Whether of her own accord or under her father's or lawyer's direction, my wife began a campaign to discredit me as a husband, father and human being by insinuating, among other things, that I am mentally unstable, had run away with the family money and had abandoned my family. She disseminated such false and malicious statements in strict confidence and behind my back, all the while pretending to be my faithful wife. To undermine my credibility she misquoted my brother, Dr. Ravan Galalae, in emails to various individuals sent between January and May 2011 and even to the European Court of Human Rights while I was in Strasbourg on hunger strike:

**From:** cindym22@hotmail.ca [mailto:cindym22@hotmail.ca]  
**Sent:** 20 avril 2011 17:55  
**To:** ECHRInfo  
**Subject:** Ask for

Last name: **Marshall**  
First name: **Cindy**  
You are: **Other**

**Ask for**

Message: **My husband left us over a week ago. He took our money and left me with a 10 month old baby and a 6 year old. He is on a hunger strike and has informed me that he may come home in a box. Obviously there is some mental issues as confirmed by his brother Dr. Razvan Galalae. Dr. Galalae told me that Kevin needs to be taken in for help. Since I am in Canada with no money and two children, the family Galalae should be contacted. Kevin Galalae's family reside in Germany, only a 2 hour drive from the court. They should be contacted if he is in danger. I know his family would like to help.**

**Contact info: Dr. Razvan Galalae- (49)431-87746  
moblie (49) 173627-0706**

**Maria Galalae- (49) 941 461-6799  
Thank you for your assistance.  
Cindy Marshall**



This and other emails like it ensured that my mental health would be questioned by everyone in my support system and by the institutions I was appealing to for redress and justice concerning my battle with the UK and that I would be discredited and isolated when the time came to put me away in a mental asylum, which is what the co-conspirators in Kingston had decided.

The record shows that my wife started thinking about taking away my children on account of my alleged mental instability as early as August 2010, just two months after I gave her \$120,000 to buy a house and put it solely in her name. To create a distorted picture of my mental state she wrote that I believe the Brits are trying to kill me, which is patently untrue, and that I went to the police and local MP to let them know I am in danger, which is utter nonsense. The truth is in black on white for all to read<sup>3</sup>. She expressed this in an email to my older brother, whom she had started to infect with lies about my mental state because she knew that he and I had a falling out over his decision to send his oldest son to England to finish high school; a decision I found misguided, knowing what I know about the state of British education.

-----Original Message-----

From: Cindy A. Marshall <marshallca@limestone.on.ca>

To: rgalaiae@aol.com

Sent: Tue, Aug 10, 2010 3:56 pm

Hi Razvan,

I am so sorry about the email Kevin sent to you recently. I can't talk to him about that situation anymore. For one year he has been obsessed with what he calls his "fight with the Brits".

He says he will continue to fight until he wins. As you know he has told many people that he believes his life is in danger. He even told our marriage counsellor that he believes they will try to kill him and in the process could kill Ben, Oliver and I. If I talk to Kevin about this subject and disagree with him, he calls me Judas and a bad wife and feels I have turned against him. He says my mom and dad and family are all too stupid and uneducated to understand what he has uncovered.

My job is to try and keep the house as normal as possible for Ben and Oliver. Therefore I don't talk to Kevin about this stuff.

You should know that your mother and Aunt CiCi are totally in support of this, and in fact it was your Aunt CiCi who went to a Romanian Gov't official to help Kevin get this stuff published in a Romanian newspaper. I hope they realize that if there is anything false published, Kevin could face a lawsuit or go to jail. I am not sure. In any case, the more he tells people about this wire tapping and the Brits coming to kill him, the more he makes a case against himself. Potentially he could be deemed unwell and I don't know if he would lose access to the kids. Your mother should know.

For now, I don't touch the subject. Everyone who disagrees with Kevin on the subject is the enemy or just too stupid to understand what he has uncovered. People here are beginning to think there is something wrong with him. I am not sure everything he has said to you but he has been to the Police here and our local member of Parliament to let them know that he is in danger.

When do you think this will end?

Smiles,

Cindy

While my wife sent similar emails to key people in my life and several organizations I was dealing with in respect to my activism, others did their part. Peter Milliken worked the legal establishment and the police, Dr. McIlquham worked my medical record and possibly the hospital, and Donald Marshall worked his daughter to do as told. With Lanny Kamin, a grossly

---

<sup>3</sup> Why I went to the local MP and to the RCMP I have documented in my article "*Covert Censorship at Oxford and Leicester University: CONTEST and State-Sponsored Discrimination*", April 2010, available at:

[https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert\\_Censorship\\_at\\_Oxford\\_and\\_Leicester\\_University.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/0/06/Covert_Censorship_at_Oxford_and_Leicester_University.pdf) also published in the Romanian newspaper "*Flacăra lui Adrian Păunescu*" April and May 2010 issues.

unethical lawyer, in charge of my wife's legal defense they set a trap for me for criminal harassment, which is why my wife was pressured by lawyers and her family to leave the house with my children just hours before my arrival, knowing that I would immediately run to the in-law's house and demand to see my children, as any father whose children have disappeared would do. This is revealed in several emails my wife sent to Dr. Maggie Koong, for whom I worked at the time. Here is one of them:

(No Subject)

From: **cindy marshall** (cindym22@hotmail.ca)

Sent: April 9, 2011 9:36:35 AM

To: 90293303@cs1.ap.blackberry.net

He will not we have left. When he leaves for Europe on Monday, he says he doesn't know when he is coming back. In the time that he is gone, we will have to move out. The lawyer has advised us to do this. At this time I feel my life is about to fall apart but the lawyers, my parents and family, and friends say I have no choice. You can act as though you don't know anything.

Thanks Maggie,

Cindy

> To: cindym22@hotmail.ca

> From: 90293303@cs1.ap.blackberry.net

> Date: Sat, 9 Apr 2011 12:31:56 +0000

>

> Should I pretend not knowing anything? What happened if he asked me where you are.

> Ms. Maggie Koong

>

> Sent via CSL BlackBerry

The plan worked almost as intended but not as swiftly as intended. To make sure that it works as intended and that my wife does not change her mind, the government assigned a police officer to the Marshall residence to chase me off the property as soon as I knocked on the door and asked to see my wife and children. They were afraid that unless a cop stands between me and my children my wife would be softened by my sorrow and by the remaining love in her heart and would cave in and allow me to see our children. This is not a chance the conspirators were willing to take since their entire plan rested on me being brutally separated from my flesh and blood, an act of injustice that they knew would get me furious and would have me return to the residence again and again, which they could then use as an excuse to charge me with criminal domestic harassment. This explains also why I was not allowed to see my children for even five minutes, which is what I asked for the second and third time I went to the residence. Their intent was to make the situation so blatantly unjust and Kafkaesque that I would have no choice but to resort to violence in order to see my children. Well, I did not, although it took great strength not



to break down the door and take my children back. I controlled my anger and called the police instead<sup>4</sup>.

Unfortunately for the conspirators, I had the foresight to call the police on them so the police could not possibly arrest me for having allegedly committed domestic criminal harassment when I was the one trying to press child abduction charges. I once again unknowingly forestalled my arrest by calling the cops on my wife and in-laws the next day I went to the residence and was prevented from seeing my children. Contrary to their plans, I also went to the police station in the morning to press charges, which was denied to me, and to show the police evidence of my wife's mental illness, in which they were not interested since it clashed with their preconceived plans. On the third day, however, when I returned to the Marshall residence, I did not call the police since it proved fruitless the first two times. Within hours, the police came looking after me and arrested me.

The hospital and the police having been coopted, I was first committed to the psychiatry ward for 72 hours in the hope that I would show signs of aggression and they could then commit me to 30 days of confinement in a psychiatric institution, which was the plan of the police and Crown as evidenced by the last paragraph of the police synopsis in the Crown disclosure (see next page).

To ensure that the hospital staff does what the police and Crown wanted and what the conspirators had planned, Detective Diane McCarthy talked on the phone for over one hour with Dr. Murray, the psychiatrist on duty on May 15, a fact Dr. Murray admitted to me. She would have told him that am I mentally ill and that I have been diagnosed as such, although no such diagnosis existed, which is also what she told my friends, Ishin and Nursen Kaya a few days later in order to convince them not to bail me out of prison or take me into their home because I pose a danger to their son. Detective McCarthy lied in order to ensure that the hospital staff treats me as an insane person from the very beginning. She created a history of mental illness to ensure that the psychiatrists diagnose me as such once they are influenced to think of me as a man with a confirmed history of mental illness.

Given the hospitals' abject disregard for due process, it is also safe to assume that Detective McCarthy may have also relayed to Dr. Murray that the government of Canada expects his cooperation.

That the hospital and select doctors had been coopted is evidenced by the fact that they kept me there involuntarily by suspending the hospital's regulations and the Mental Health Act. They confined me without medical reasons since I showed no evidence of mental illness. They kept me against my will on the signature of a 25-year old intern with no authority or expertise to make such a determination and since Dr. Murray, aware of the illegality of keeping me against my will, refused to sign the Form 42 needed to hold me. They refused to grant me access to the patient advocate even though I specifically asked to see him. In fact, they told me no such person exists. The innumerable offences they committed are documented in my article *The Man*

---

<sup>4</sup> *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, 20 June 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE\\_MAN\\_INSIDE\\_INCIPIENT\\_TOTALITARIANISM\\_IN\\_THE\\_WESTERN\\_WORLD.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE_MAN_INSIDE_INCIPIENT_TOTALITARIANISM_IN_THE_WESTERN_WORLD.pdf) and <http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World>.

Inside<sup>5</sup>. Their utter contempt for the law shows that my involuntary confinement was no accident of negligence but the result of calculated intent.



## **KINGSTON POLICE**

### **SYNOPSIS**

**DATE** May 18th 2011

**OCC #** 11-14304

**SUBJECT:** GALALAE, Mugur (Kevin) Catalin

The accused, identified by his Canadian name of Kevin Galalae, and his wife, Cynthia Marshall have a 6 year old son and a 1 year old son. They have been living as a family until recently at 412 Emerald Cr. Kingston. Over the last year the accused has started showing signs of obsessive delusional behaviour. The accused has advised his wife that he is on a mission to stop the spying of educators on the internet. His irrational behaviour resulted in his use of the family money to finance the fight including a recent 5 week trip to France to participate in a hunger strike. As a result of the abandonment of his family and the ongoing bizarre ideology of the accused the victim decided that she would have to leave him for the financial and emotional safety of herself and her children.

On Friday May 13th 2011 the victim left the family residence and moved in with her parents at 258 Bicknell Cr. Kingston. She had spoken to a lawyer who advised her that after hearing her story that he had concerns for her safety. These concerns had also been expressed by the victims parents and sister. The victim had also sought professional help for her husband over the course of the previous 6 months to no avail.

The accused returned home on Friday May 13th 2011 and attended at the victims parents residence to see the victim and his children. He was denied access to the residence. The accused contacted the Kingston Police. Kingston Police attended and investigated the situation which resulted in Galalae being cautioned that the victim wanted no more communication except through her lawyer and he was not to attend the Marshall residence again. On May 14th 2011 the accused attended the Kingston Police station and was advised that he should speak to a lawyer on Monday and not to return to the Bicknell address. Later May 14th 2011 at 1:17 PM the accused attended 258 Bicknell Cr. and refused to leave. Kingston Police again attended at the request of Don Marshall the home owner and again advised the accused to leave and cautioned him not to return. On Sunday May 15 2011 at 12:54 PM the accused again returned to 258 Bicknell Cr. He was honking the horn in the driveway, ringing the front door bell and yelling through the door at the victim. When she asked him to leave and advised she was calling the police he went to the rear door of the residence and attempted to gain entry. The accused was very fearful that he was not acting irrationally and would come into the residence and hurt her in an attempt to take the children. The accused left prior to police arrival but was apprehended under the Mental Health Act a short time later. The accused was seen at Hotel Dieu Hospital emergency Dept. and placed on a Form 1 for an involuntary 72 hour psychiatric assessment.

At the end of the assessment the accused was arrested and charged with Domestic Criminal Harassment for causing the victim to fear for her safety and the safety of her children and parents because of the repeated returns to her parents residence even after cautions by police. As a result the accused has committed the offence of Criminal Harassment by threatening actions contrary to section 264(2)(d) of the Criminal Code of Canada.

Evidence indicates that the accused is suffering from a delusional mental health disorder and may not comprehend the current situation. As a result and with the support of the Hospital attending physician, Dr. Duncan Scott an assessment order under section 672 of the Criminal Code is being requested.

<sup>5</sup> *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, 20 June 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE\\_MAN\\_INSIDE\\_INCIPIENT\\_TOTALITARIANISM\\_IN\\_THE\\_WESTERN\\_WORLD.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE_MAN_INSIDE_INCIPIENT_TOTALITARIANISM_IN_THE_WESTERN_WORLD.pdf) and <http://www.scribd.com/doc/63469084/The-Man-Inside-Incipient-Totalitarianism-in-the-Western-World>.



Dr. Duncan Scott

**Motives:** defend the system and save the hospital from legal liability.

**Position:** Medical Director/Bed Manager of the 34 in patient unit at Hotel Dieu Hospital. Psychiatric consultant with the Government of Ontario at Quinte Detention Centre and is under contract with the federal government at the Correctional Services of Canada, Canada Border Services Agency, Immigration Holding Centre in Bath, Ontario and Warkworth Institution. See full resume at: <http://drduncanscott.ca/html/resume.html>

**Role:** Issue a fabricated Hospital Discharge that gives the police and Crown the diagnosis they want.

**Clues:** Despite telling me on the last day in his care that there is nothing wrong with me but that I am a person of interest to the police and I should be careful, two months later he issued a Hospital Discharge that is tailor-made for the police and Crown in that it diagnoses me as delusional.

The plan however did not work exactly as intended. The police and Crown obtained only limited cooperation from the hospital since Dr. Scott did not issue an assessment order under section 672 of the Criminal Code, which would have allowed the authorities to commit me to a psychiatric institution for 30 days.

Since I did not show any signs of mental instability or aggression, Dr. Scott did not give the police and Crown the assessment order under section 672 that they wanted and I was instead charged by the police with criminal harassment on the hospital's premises on the pretence that I pose a threat to my wife and children because I am delusional and have bizarre ideologies. While Dr. Scott did not pervert his professional standards to the point of confining me for an additional 30-days in a psychiatric hospital, and then pumping me full of drugs, as the government would have wanted, he did issue a fabricated hospital discharge nearly two months after I was released from hospital; a discharge that diagnoses me with delusional disorder, but suggests no treatment plan. I analyse the errors and falsifications of Dr. Scott's Discharge Summary in my article *Doctors for Sale*<sup>6</sup>.

The combined actions of the psychiatrists at Hotel Dieu Hospital cannot be simply assigned to negligence and are clearly the result of voluntary cooperation with the Police. They amount to the following offenses: breach of fiduciary duty; assault and battery; false imprisonment; forcible confinement; loss of reputation; negligence; and the violation of my rights pursuant to section 7, 9, and 12 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms.

On 19 October 2011, I filed a civil lawsuit against the hospital and several doctors claiming damages totalling \$3,000,000.

---

<sup>6</sup> *Doctors for Sale: How Canada Uses Psychiatry for Political Purposes*, 5 September 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/6/62/Doctors\\_for\\_Sale.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/6/62/Doctors_for_Sale.pdf).

\*

To help the police and Crown make their case that I suffer from a mental disorder, Dr. McIlquham, my family doctor, altered my medical record. Without a medical history of mental disorder it would have been impossible for the authorities to argue that I developed a mental disorder at the age of 46. I came to know about Dr. McIlquham's falsification of my medical record as follows.

To strengthen my defense against accusations that I suffer from a mental disorder I contacted Dr. McIlquham in August and asked him to write me a statement that he has never treated me for any mental, psychological or psychiatric disorder. Although I already had two such medical reports<sup>7</sup> giving me a clean bill of health, I deemed it wise to strengthen my record with a letter from my own family doctor.

A few days later, Dr. McIlquham called me and said there is a problem in my record, a 14 February 2005 entry that indicates he prescribed me the antidepressant drug Effexor. I said that cannot be because I have never taken aspirin let alone antidepressant drugs. I said it must be a mistake and he better get to the bottom of it otherwise I will have to assume that he has made himself an accessory to conspiracy and has joined his brother-in-law in the attack on me, his role being to create a history of mental problems when one does not exist. His voice changed when he heard that I knew who was behind the attack on me. I could sense his fear through the phone and this is not a man easily intimidated.

I pointed out that he will not get away with altering my medical record for the following reasons:

1. If I had taken antidepressant drugs I would have purchased them through my wife's medical plan through the Limestone District School Board, since they pay for our drugs. This means that the drugs will appear in the record but since I have never taken such drugs there will be no such record.
2. The presumed prescription of antidepressant drugs is the third and last item on his notes of my presumed 14 February visit for a reason, namely that it was added later.
3. This suspect entry appears in 2005 and not later for the fact that in 2006 the records went electronic and could no longer be subsequently altered. That is why he altered his hand notes from 2005, the year prior to the switch to digital records and that is why he could not write a follow-up later. What doctor prescribes antidepressant drugs and never follows up the matter?


---

<sup>7</sup> *Dr. Beharry Report*, 20 June 2011 (available at [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/3a/Dr\\_Beharry\\_Report\\_2011-06-24.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/3a/Dr_Beharry_Report_2011-06-24.pdf)) and *Bruce Cook Forensic Report*, 14 June 2011 (available at [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/36/Bruce\\_Cook\\_forensic\\_report\\_2011\\_06\\_14.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/36/Bruce_Cook_forensic_report_2011_06_14.pdf)).

4. Last but not least, the date of my alleged depression is one month prior to Ben's birth, my first son, and was the happiest time of my life. The notion that I was depressed at that time and medicated for depression is absurd.

Before hanging up on him I warned him that if he goes ahead with this lie I will make sure that he ends up in prison. Twenty minutes later he called me back to say, "Kev, there is no conspiracy here. My assistant got to the bottom of it. It was an honest mistake. You weren't even here on that day. A guy with a name almost identical to yours was here and his notes ended up in your record. Just an honest clerical mistake."

He then issued a truthful letter within minutes<sup>8</sup>, but the physical evidence was already in my possession, having been issued by his secretary on 12 July in response to a request I had made in June. Here it is:



The first indication of Dr. McIlquham's culpability came in June when I visited his office shortly after my release from prison. He was so nervous in my presence that he could not meet my eyes and could hardly function, as though a terrible guilt plagued his conscience. It was during that visit that I asked Dr. McIlquham why he discussed my mental health with my wife without

<sup>8</sup> Dr. McIlquham letter, 25 October 2011, [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/7/7c/Dr\\_McIlquham\\_Letter\\_2011-10-26.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/7/7c/Dr_McIlquham_Letter_2011-10-26.pdf).

informing me. I asked him why he did not tell me that my wife made allegations about my mental health. He first denied having discussed me health with my wife but then gave me evasive answers when I informed him that I am in possession of an email in which my wife wrote that he had called me “demonstrative” and that he felt my wife is raising three children. I then asked him to tell me what my wife’s medical record says about her mental health but he refused to talk about it invoking doctor-patient privilege. I asked why he would discuss my health with my wife but not vice versa and if that is not a double standard. He said he just listened to her. I begged to differ and asked him to look through my record to see what he had written about me on the visit that my wife had arranged a few months earlier and that I now understood to have been a way for Dr. McIlquham to assess my mental health on my wife’s request. He said “*There is nothing here, Kev. Come and look for yourself*”, and invited me to look at the screen as he began scrolling down through my record quickly. I then caught glimpse of a note saying “*he denies any psychological problems*” and immediately asked him to go back to that spot and to print it out for me. He said it’s nothing, just an insignificant note, but promised to look through the entire record and send me anything from my record that would indicate a mental disorder.

A second indication of Dr. McIlquham’s culpability in altering my medical record in order to create a non-existent history of mental problems comes in the form of the “Attending Physician Statement” he provided to London Life when my father-in-law, Donald Marshall, and wife, Cindy Marshall, insured my life for half a million dollars and my wife became the sole owner of my life insurance policy. On this statement my alleged depression appears in two separate places and dominates my medical record. Most alarmingly, Dr. McIlquham checks the section “psychiatric” thus indicating that I registered an “abnormal diagnostic test” in that respect. If the copy of my Attending Physician Statement is identical to the one in possession of London Life, I fail to see how the insurance company would have approved a half a million dollar insurance policy on my life and then approve a \$300,000 increase a year later, bringing the value of my life to a whopping \$800,000. If the two copies are different, in that one indicates depression while the other does not, then that would prove that my father-in-law, Donald Marshall, and my family doctor, Dr. McIlquham, have cooperated in altering my medical record for the purpose of creating a false history of mental problems that they could then use to give the police and Crown the history of mental illness they needed in order to confine me in a psychiatric institution.

I have tried to obtain a copy of the Attending Physician Statement from London Life but the insurance company refused to even talk to me because I am not the owner of the policy.





**Attending Physicians Statement**  
Please submit report and invoice to Keyfacts

Confidential File

LONDON LIFE - KINGSTON ST. LAWRENCE

Our Ref#: A20092800531

Account Number 991019136	Policy # K057742T 1 MARSHALL DON	Report Date 2009/10/07
-----------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------

Patient  
**KEVIN MUGUR GALALAE**  
383 ELLESMERE AVE  
KINGSTON ON K7P 3J7 ON  
Date of Birth: 1965/11/23

**PLEASE RETURN TO KEYFACTS**  
by FAX at  
**1 800 567-1829**

Doctor 754164  
**DR. W. ROSS MCILQUHAM**  
**CLERGY STREET FAMILY PHYSICIANS**  
71 CLERGY ST E  
KINGSTON, ON K7L-3J3  
Phone: 613-542-7858 Fax: 613-544-9795

**Please check all patient health issues that you are aware of. If checked, please provide details:**

<input type="checkbox"/> Cardiovascular <input type="checkbox"/> Cholesterol <input type="checkbox"/> Blood Pressure <input type="checkbox"/> Hypertension (include BP readings) <input type="checkbox"/> Respiratory/Allergy <input type="checkbox"/> Gastrointestinal <input type="checkbox"/> Genitourinary	<input type="checkbox"/> Abnormal Diagnostic Tests (include HIV, antibody, EKG's, scans, etc.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Psychiatric</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Endocrine <input type="checkbox"/> Musculoskeletal (specify exact area/joint) <input type="checkbox"/> Difficulty with Activities Daily Living <input type="checkbox"/> Immune System	<input type="checkbox"/> Cancer/Tumour/Other Growths (include pathology report) <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/Drug Abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse Family History <input type="checkbox"/> Neurological <input type="checkbox"/> Impaired Vision/Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Severe Burns <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	--	--

**It is IMPORTANT that we are advised if you DO NOT HAVE the information requested in the special attention area.**

**Special Attention:**

CARDIAC TESTING DONE. -ANY HISTORY OF ABNORMAL LIVER ENZYMES IN PAST. FAMILY HISTORY OF FORAMEN OVALE.

*BROTHER HAD PATENT FORAMEN OVALE.*  
*P. MV - unilateral hearing*  
*- Depression*

**Clinical Summary**

Dates Attended Month Year	Complaints & Abnormal Findings	Duration of Illness	Diagnosis	Describe Treatment, Medications, Surgery
1 04	AME. BP 150/85 Healthy			
2 05	Depression		Reffered	

Present Condition, if known/Status: *well*

Has patient used tobacco in the past 12 months?

Yes ☐

No ☐

Don't Know ☒

If Yes, please specify:

Cigarettes ☐

Cigars/Pipe ☐

Other ☐

**Please provide the names of other physicians consulted:**

Name of Consultant	Reason	Dates Attended Month Year

Signature

*[Signature]*

Date

*09 10 09*

FP10E\_rev09022

## CORE CONSPIRATORS AND THEIR PROFILES



Peter Milliken

**Motives:** Crown Loyalist & descendant of United Empire Loyalists who left the US after the American Revolution, Oxford graduate, shamed by my article.


**Position:** Kingston MP and Speaker of the House of Commons until recently.

**Role:** Get the police and Crown to use my wife's allegations that I am delusional, information he received from his brother-in-law, Dr. Ross McIlquham, as the legal cover under which to prosecute me for criminal harassment once I returned home and found my wife and children gone.

Coordinate between the federal government and the local authorities as well as communicate with the UK.

**Clues:** Refused to grant me an appointment, despite my repeated attempts, and never took up the issue in parliament.

**Relationship:** Brother-in-law of Dr. Ross McIlquham. Friend and Liberal Party colleague of John Gerretsen, Ontario's Attorney General.



Dr. Ross McIlquham

**Motives:** Crown loyalist.

**Position:** Family doctor, coroner, friend of my wife's family.

**Role:** Alter my medical record to create a history of mental illness. Hide my wife's history of mental and emotional problems.

**Clues:** Frightened to death of me the first time he saw me in his office after my first arrest. Refused to disclose when and why he discussed my mental health with my wife and why he did not inform me of my wife's allegations about my mental health. Pulled back the moment he realised I knew who was responsible for the attack on me and explained why he would not get away with it.

**Relationship:** As coroner he deals with the Kingston Police on a regular basis. As a doctor who works with the police he knows Dr. Scott, the psychiatrist who saw me at Hotel Dieu Hospital and who works as a Psychiatric consultant with the Government of Ontario at Quinte Detention Centre and is under contract with the federal government at the Correctional Services of Canada. As friend of the Marshall family and family doctor he is intimately familiar with every member of the Marshall family. He is the brother-in-law of Peter Milliken, the only politician in Kingston I personally attacked, who is an Oxford graduate, a Crown Loyalist and who is influential at the federal level.



Donald Marshall

**Motives:** Stands to benefit by ingratiating himself with powerful people and thus increase his business and influence; sold his daughter an \$800,000 life insurance policy on me; prejudice.

**Position:** Life insurance agent and money manager.

**Role:** Control his daughter for his own best interests by convincing her to leave me and to deprive me of my property and children by making a case that I am mentally ill. Pay for my wife's legal costs and supported her police deposition that I am mentally ill and have bizarre ideologies. Coordinated with Dr. Ross McIlquham to create a history of mental illness in my past by altering my medical sheet on my life insurance policy to say that I suffered from depression when I applied for insurance when this is not the case. Use his son's friends in the Kingston Police and his elder daughter's connections with the CAS.

**Clues:** Stopped speaking to me the moment I went public with my revelations about SAC. Installed an alarm system on his house so the key I had to his residence would no longer allow me to go in and out at will. Stopped inviting me to family functions. Received money into his account on his daughter's behalf so I would not know that they had manipulated my employer in Hong Kong to believe that I was a danger to my wife and children and had convinced her to fund a lawyer.

**Relationship:** My wife's father. Friend of Dr. Ross McIlquham. Liberal Party member.



Cynthia Anne Marshall

**Motives:** Bipolar disorder & *borderline personality disorder* (also known as *emotionally unstable personality disorder*) aggravated by postpartum depression; plans to move to Honk Hong and to take our children with her without my permission; material gain from appropriating my property and belongings once she and her family succeeded in declaring me mentally unfit.

**Position:** Teacher with the Limestone District School Board.

**Role:** Discredit me with slanderous and false statements about my mental health and worthiness as a father and husband; abduct my children in order to commence the plan the conspirators had agreed upon, give the authorities the ammunition they needed to falsely charge me under the criminal code.


**Clues:** Started to show signs of racism and insult me with racial slurs as soon as I exposed Britain's unlawful and covert program and defended Muslims. In moments of anger she told me that I had made a lot of enemies in Kingston and that powerful people were out to get me. Denied me intimacy.

**Relationship:** Donald Marshall's daughter. My wife.



\*

Armed with a false deposition from my wife, an altered medical record from my family doctor, and a go-ahead from the political establishment relayed by Peter Milliken, the Kingston Police honed in on me. Detective Diane McCarthy was put in charge of my case because she lacks the mental capacity to understand the validity of my revelations about the surveillance and censorship program I had exposed, the complexity of my research and the interdisciplinary nature of my articles and because she could easily be manipulated to do as she is told.

	<p><b><u>Motives:</u></b> Professional advancement.</p> <p><b><u>Position:</u></b> Detective Constable at the Kingston Police.</p> <p><b><u>Role:</u></b> Push the envelope of criminal law on domestic issues to apply to me despite any grounds. Feed the hospital and the CAS false information. Force me into a 72-hour psychological assessment against my will and despite probable cause. Convince my friends that I have been diagnosed as mentally ill, in the absence of such diagnosis, so they would not act as sureties and take me into their home and so I would remain incarcerated. Copy my laptop's hard drive without court order while my computer was in the police safekeeping room and then refuse to give my computer back so I will not be able to write, earn a living or communicate. Deny me the right to take my computers and other essential electronic equipment and reference materials from my home office in order to ensure I lose my job and thus cripple me financially. Deny me access to a lawyer and interrogate me at midnight and against my will. Confiscated the exonerating evidence of my wife's slanderous emails from me and my surety on threat of imprisonment.</p> <p><b><u>Clues:</u></b> Acted with absolute contempt for the law and police regulations by maliciously prosecuting me.</p>
--	---

The gross departures from due process and the violations of the law Detective McCarthy committed during my prosecution indicate that she was empowered by her superiors and the political class to keep me in prison at all costs.

They include: false arrest; false imprisonment; unlawful detention; the violation of my right to life, liberty and security and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice (Article 7 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms); the violation of my right to be secure against unreasonable search or seizure (Article 8); the violation of my right not to be arbitrarily detained or imprisoned (Article 9); the violation of my right not to be subjected to any cruel and unusual treatment or punishment (Article 12).

She also deprived me of the right to retain counsel by turning off the police phone on my first arrest and pretending that the lines were busy when in fact they were dead, which is a violation of Article 10 of the Charter. She interrogated me without my lawyer present at midnight and after turning off the heat in my cell for 6 hours, denying me toilet paper for at least four hours and giving me spoiled food that made me sick. She accessed the contents of my laptop computer without court order and never returned my computer from police custody thus depriving me of

the ability to perform my job as a writer, leading to the near loss of my job and to economic hardship.

Perhaps most egregiously, she attempted to intimidate my friends, Ishin and Nursen Kaya, in order to stop them from bailing me out of prison and when that failed she fed them false information about my mental health, stating that I have been diagnosed as mentally ill, without such diagnosis in hand, and insinuating that if they let me into their home I may harm their child because my mental state is unstable and unpredictable.

Upon my release from custody, Detective McCarthy did not allow me to remove from my home, where I work, my office computer, printer and scanner, manuscripts, external hard drives and reference books, all of which are vital to my profession as a writer, forcing me to suffer great economic hardship and stress and destroying my relationship with my employer.

On threat of imprisonment, Detective McCarty confiscated exonerating evidence from me and my surety in the form of dozens of emails sent by my wife to various individuals and organizations with the intent to slander me and to isolate me from my support system. These emails show clearly that my wife is mentally ill and that the allegations she made about my mental health, about my behaviour as a father and husband and about my character are patently false and malicious and in no way reflect the reality.

Detective McCarthy's actions betray a blatant disregard for the law, for due process and for justice and can only be explained in light of the political establishment's decision to suspend the rule of law in order to achieve the politically expedient goal of silencing me by falsely accusing me of crimes I did not commit. I describe these violations by Detective McCarthy and her colleagues at the Kingston Police in greater detail in my articles *The Man Inside*<sup>9</sup> and *Canada's Political Prisoner*<sup>10</sup>.

\*

Thanks to my faithful friends and help from my family, I was able to make it out of prison on bail on the second try, the first time being denied bail even though I was without a criminal record, an unheard of decision on the part of the presiding judge. Even so I was incarcerated from May 18 to 24.

To restrict my freedom, prevent me from writing, and cripple me emotionally and financially the Crown asked for the most draconian bail conditions known to man and got its wish from yet another dutiful judge.

Five weeks into the recognizance of bail, and following a judicial pre-trial where the judge found the Crown's case to be "shitty" and recommended that they drop the charges, I asked my lawyer to give the police and Crown my counter-offer to their offer that I accept a Peace Bond, which

---

<sup>9</sup> *The Man Inside: Incipient Totalitarianism in the Western World*, 20 June 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE\\_MAN\\_INSIDE\\_INCIPIENT\\_TOTALITARIANISM\\_IN\\_THE\\_WESTERN\\_WORLD.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/3/34/THE_MAN_INSIDE_INCIPIENT_TOTALITARIANISM_IN_THE_WESTERN_WORLD.pdf) [http](http://).

<sup>10</sup> *How Canada Uses Children, Destroys Families and Abuses the Criminal Law to Silence Activists*, 5 September 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/c8/Canada%27s\\_Political\\_Prisoner.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/c8/Canada%27s_Political_Prisoner.pdf).

was a solution designed to protect the authorities from a malicious prosecution lawsuit while at the same time keep me separated from my children and property for one year.

I have never published the text of my counter-offer in order to protect my wife from public scrutiny and the opprobrium that she would inevitably suffer once the public knows the facts. I also hoped that she would come to her senses, but six months later that possibility has become impossibly remote. It is now important that I publish the full text of my counter-offer since it very much influenced the response of the authorities and since their reaction gives further indication that at no time was justice a consideration for the justice system. Here it is:

The bail conditions on my Recognizance, as my ill-fated and highly suspicious charge of domestic harassment, are draconian and can only be explained as a deliberate attack on my freedom, family, on my ability to write and earn a living, and on my activities as an internationally respected human rights activist. They are eons away from a release on my own recognisance, which is what should have occurred were this a normal case. I will hereby present the rationale and evidence to support why my bail conditions should be lifted and the charges dropped. I will do so by challenging each bail condition on my recognizance of bail form. They are:

***1. Reside in Waterloo, Ontario, with surety. No change in address without a prior court ordered variation.***

I do not pose a threat and never did pose a threat to anyone. I have never uttered threats and have never abused my wife or children. On the contrary, I have always been the best of father and husband. The forensic report by Dr. Cook and the clinical report by Dr. Beharry attest to my perfect mental and emotional health and that I am a low risk on every risk assessment scale.

This being the case it is of utmost importance that I am with my children, especially since I am their main caregiver. The condition that I live in Waterloo with my surety is therefore no longer necessary or justifiable now that my mental and emotional health has been assessed by two independent and well-respected professionals.

Furthermore, the financial burden imposed on me by this condition is unsustainable. Every trip to Kingston from my current place of residence in Waterloo requires an overnight stay and that I drag my surety, who is a working man, with me. Every trip costs me c. \$500 (for food, gas, accommodation and incidental costs, not to mention wear and tear on my vehicle) and cuts into my surety's ability to earn an income for the two days that these weekly trips require. My surety can no longer accommodate me and this means that I cannot come to Kingston to see my children, attend court meetings, see my family doctor, and for all other foreseeable and unforeseeable needs.

Last but not least, now that the Children's Aid Society has finished its investigation, has found me to be a good father and has established that my children and I have a close relationship and need each other, I will need to be close to my children so I can see them more than just once a week for 1.5 hours. Keeping me away from my children causes irreparable harm and trauma to my children for whom I have always been the main caregiver and the pillar in their lives. It also



alienates me from my children and causes me and them terrible anguish.

2. ***Abstain from communication with Cindy Marshall [my wife], Don Marshall [my father-in-law], Marilyn Marshall [my mother-in-law], Angie Lowry [my sister-in-law] or Tyler Marshall [my brother-in-law] or Rob and Susan Hetherington [my friends] in any way directly or indirectly. You're to remain 500 meters away from their person, places of residence, schooling and/or employment [this means that most of the city is off limits to me].***

I have no intention of ever again communicating with Don Marshall, Marilyn Marshall, Angie Lowry and Tyler Marshall, considering that they are accessories in my children's abduction and my family's destruction and have purposely misled the police in order to cause me irreparable harm. I do however need to be able to communicate with my wife so that we can come to an agreement on whether we will divorce or reconcile, on how to split custody of the children and on how to solve pressing financial burdens. If the police and Crown continue to prevent us from communicating, our children will suffer irreparable trauma, our marriage will be beyond repair, we will lose the house and we will both have to declare bankruptcy. The police and Crown will open themselves to substantial liabilities, to a malicious prosecution lawsuit and to an investigation of discrimination and harassment.

The 500 meters restriction – given the number of individuals involved, the many places it applies to and the small size of Kingston – for all intents and purposes places much of the city of Kingston off limits to me. It is also impossible for me to know if I am within this 500 meters range unless I have line of sight.

Last but not least, it prejudices against my rights of free movement and free association when I never assaulted or threatened any of the above individuals. I have always been a peaceful and peace-loving person and my entire life's record demonstrates this. Such a condition is only warranted if I had committed acts of violence against these individuals or threatened to commit such acts of violence, or if I had a violent past or a criminal record. This is not only not the case, but in actual fact I am the one who has been victimized by these individuals and who, as a result of their malicious and premeditated actions, have been committed to a psychiatric ward for 72 hours and then incarcerated in prison for seven days. If anyone needs to be restricted, therefore, it is they not me and I shall request such a restriction as soon as the charges against me are dropped.

3. ***Curfew: Not to be away from place of residence between the hours of 12:00AM and 7:00AM unless accompanied by your surety.***

This condition is completely unnecessary and makes no sense whatsoever, especially in light of the fact that I pose no risk to anyone and never did. Furthermore, it prevents me from fulfilling my professional responsibilities because I cannot travel overnight in order to attend business meetings, conferences, seminars, etc., out of town. As a self-employed person this is crucial for my economic wellbeing. Without this ability my business will dry up and I will end up on the street. How can this outcome be possibly warranted by my domestic harassment charge, a charge that is frivolous at best and malicious most likely and that the judge attending the JPT (on Wednesday, 29 June 2011), has called "shitty"? The curfew serves no purpose whatsoever other than being malicious and intended to harm me emotionally and economically.

More importantly, this restriction is a direct attack on my political and human rights activism. My activism requires that I am mobile and free to move as I please. The Crown's entire case rests on the accusation that, according to the police disclosure, I "*showed signs of obsessive delusional behaviour*" and "bizarre ideology"; accusations that have been disproved by both forensic and clinical psychological reports I have undergone. To show just how misguided and false this characterisation of my human rights activism is, I am providing the relevant parties with evidence of my concrete accomplishments as a world class human rights activist (see my article "Turning the Tide" available at <http://cryptome.org/0004/turn-tide.pdf> and [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/b/b9/Turning\\_the\\_Tide.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/b/b9/Turning_the_Tide.pdf)). I am also informing all relevant parties that friends and supporters are in the process of nominating me for the Confucius Peace Prize, which is the Chinese equivalent of the Nobel Peace Prize. This will once and for all silence those whose reason is clouded by their prejudices and who have used the allegation that I am mentally unsound as a way to undermine my credibility and prevent me from further exposing violations of human rights and civil liberties at the highest levels of governance here at home and abroad.

Last but not least, I have already abided by it for five weeks and have not breached it once.

If the police and Crown continue to insist that I abide by this or any other curfew, one can only conclude that they are motivated by political influence to restrict my constitutionally protected rights so as to prevent me from exercising my conscience on the political scene. This is a clear sign of interference in the democratic process and an attack on Canada itself.

#### ***4. Keep the peace and be of good behaviour.***

I have always kept the peace and behaved in a civilized manner. My record shows that I am a model of civic and social responsibility. More than this, I have sacrificed for the common good and have selflessly dedicated my time, wits and effort to ensure that the academic environment is free of state censorship, that the rule of law applies without discrimination, that human rights and civil liberties are respected by our elected officials, that corruption is exposed and that tolerance and goodwill among people and nations prevail. My impact has been global, as the enclosed article "*Turning the Tide*" amply demonstrates.

Paradoxically, my selfless and ethical actions have been twisted by the police and my wife's family into acts of "delusion", a manipulation and perversion of the truth that has caused dire repercussions to my rights, freedoms and wellbeing and that has destroyed my family and my reputation.

The police and Crown should have read my articles and analysed the facts before following the aberrant accusations of my wife who has been diagnosed with postpartum depression, who is bipolar and who is clearly not in control of her emotions and mind for much of the time. I have provided them with the opportunity to do just that on Saturday, May 14, when I went in person to the Kingston Police headquarters and asked to speak to a senior officer but was refused an audience. I had plenty of evidence with me to support my position but no one was interested in knowing the truth.

The police's disinterest in the truth or my perspective, as well as the prejudicial and malicious

way in which I was treated by the police can only be explained by Tyler Marshall's influence within the police department through his three close friends on the force. My wife never tired of telling me that Tyler can get away with murder because his best buddies are on the Kingston Police force. The role of the police however is to enforce the law equally and without prejudice and not to do favours for friends. Why, for instance, was a police officer in civilian clothes at the in-laws' house on the night of my arrival in Canada, May 13, and he used his badge and uttered threats to prevent me from seeing my children? Why was my computer stolen from me by the police and given to my wife while I was in prison? I have the bill to prove that I paid for the computer and that it is therefore my property. Furthermore, even a cursory look on the computer's desktop and hard drive reveals that 90% of the files are Kevin Galalae's and not Cindy Marshall's<sup>11</sup>. The very least the police should have done is ask me for permission. Conversely, why did the police refuse to assist me in retrieving my car from my wife? Once again, I have the deed which shows that I am the rightful owner of the car. If the police and Crown insist on going to court with this charge against me my lawyers will challenge them to reveal who within the police force has used their influence to favour the Marshall family while trespassing on my rights and freedoms. The police and Crown will also strengthen my position in the malicious prosecution lawsuit that will inevitably follow if the charges are not dropped. Given the plethora of evidence I have on the police's (and the hospital's) departure from due process and the persecution I was subjected to, as well as the many violations of the law Detective Diane McCarthy and her colleagues committed in order to confine me in hospital, keep me in prison, deprive me of my surety's assistance, bankrupt me and cause me to nearly lose my job, it will be hard for any judge not to find that the police acted with prejudice and malice because select members on the force have been corrupted by the Marshall family. More alarmingly, the Kingston Police and the City of Kingston itself, will acquire an international reputation as a fulcrum of racism and prejudice once it become revealed that I was subjected to this kind of gross abuse two days after my return from an internationally recognised and observed hunger strike at the Council of Europe in Strasbourg, France; a protest by which I sought to expose and shut down a discriminatory, intolerant and racist programme of covert surveillance and censorship of the academic environment. Once the evidence is looked at it will be impossible to dispel any lingering suspicions as to the independence of the police even if political interference is not proven. Allowing this case to go to trial will also inevitably require that the programme of espionage I have uncovered comes to the fore and that the Canadian government is a tacit supporter of and actively suppresses the media from revealing it. The politicians in Ottawa will not be pleased at the Kingston Police for giving me the opportunity to get the details of SAC's existence into the court transcripts and therefore make it publicly available for posterity.

***5. Not to enter upon the premises known municipally as 412 Emerald Street, Kingston, Ontario [my own house] unless accompanied by a member of the Kingston Police for***

<sup>11</sup> On this count, should this case come to trial, it will be revealed that the police removed my computer from safekeeping and accessed its contents. Upon return to my cell from the midnight interview conducted by Detective Diane McCarthy on May 18, the officer on duty brought out my belongings and it was clear that my computer was missing. He was not able to tell me why it was missing and where it was. That Detective McCarthy illegally accessed my computer and looked at its contents was confirmed when she asked my surety, Ishin Kaya, on the day of the second bail hearing, on May 24, whether he had accessed Turkish websites when he had my computer in his possession. The only way Detective McCarthy would have known this is if she looked at my computer's browsing history. Knowing that her question was a giveaway of her illegal activity she started tape-recording only after asking Ishin this and a couple of other questions.

*the purposes of securing your personal belongings, one time only.*

This restriction betrays gross prejudice and a miscarriage of justice on the part of the Crown and police, for the following reasons:

- My house was at the time empty, my wife having left the house of her own volition and gone to her parents. I was the only inhabitant of the house prior to my arrest.
- I have \$120,000 worth of equity in the house whereas my wife has none. Furthermore, the \$120,000 is money that I came into the marriage with and not money I earned while married to Cindy.
- Every object in the house is my property and not my wife's. Almost everything in the house I acquired prior to even meeting my wife. My wife moved into my house and has stored her belongings at her parents' house, where they still are in the basement. My house is full of art and precious objects from the days I worked as a fine arts consultant and appraiser (prior to meeting my wife). These are my collections of Indian miniature drawings, intarsia, precious textiles and rugs, books, photographs, paintings and sculptures. The total monetary value of my belongings is c. \$200,000. I also have great emotional attachment to these objects because every one of them has a story behind its acquisition or because I have inherited them from loved ones or are connected to my family, friends or significant people in my life. My wife is a stranger to these objects and has no right to them. By forcing me out of the house and my belongings so that my wife can take over as sole owner the police and Crown have made themselves accessories to theft.
- When the Crown and police added this condition to my recognisance of bail they were fully aware of the fact that I was the inhabitant of the house not my wife. She had left the house of her own volition.
- My house is more than just my house; it is also my workplace. My office and library are in the house and the office equipment, reference books, database, files, hard drives, computer, scanner, fax, and laser printer are crucial to my work. In being kicked out of my home and office the police and Crown have made it impossible for me to perform my work to the exacting requirements and standards that my clients are used to. Therefore, unless I return to my home and office immediately, I will lose my contract with Hong Kong and will be financially ruined. I have already been warned by my employer in Hong Kong that unless I fail to maintain the same quality of work I will lose the contract.
- As a stay- and work-at-home dad I have always been the children's main caregiver. The house is therefore my and my children's sanctuary. In taking me out of the equation, the police and Crown have recklessly destroyed my children's world, their sense of safety and their and my emotional wellbeing. What is even more egregious is that the police and Crown have confiscated evidence from me (on threat of imprisonment) that exonerates me from any wrongdoing and indicts my wife as a malicious and harmful individual who has for one year planned my downfall and who has poisoned the minds of

every significant person and organisation in my life with lies and exaggerations in order to destroy my credibility, isolate me socially, cause the loss of my work contract, and deprive me of my children and property. There is also strong evidence that my wife, with the assistance of her father, Don Marshall, and her brother, Tyler Marshall, have engaged in (a) conspiracy to deprive me of my house, property and children; (b) conspiracy to commit insurance fraud; (c) misleading public officials, medical staff and the police; (d) reckless endangerment; (e) entrapment; and (f) corrupting police officers. This is the evidence I base my conclusions on:

**In reference to charge (a), *conspiracy to deprive me of my house, property and children*:**

In June 2010 I gave my wife my entire life savings, \$120,000 to buy the house on 412 Emerald Street; money that I accrued or was bequeathed to me long before we married. As soon as we took possession of the house and she appeared as the sole owner on the deed she began planning my demise. The following serves as evidence of premeditation:

- Evidence 1: Email dated 10 August 2010 (see File 2) : Cindy takes advantage of the fact that my brother, Dr. Razvan Galalae, and I had a falling out over whether he should send his son Daniel to school in Britain. She fills my brother's mind with complete lies, fabrications and with facts taken completely out of context. She tells my brother that I am "*obsessed*", that I believe my "*life is in danger*", that I told our marriage counsellor that I believe the Brits "*will try to kill him and in the process could kill Ben, Oliver and I*", that because I am telling "*people about this wire tapping and the Brits coming to kill him, the more he makes a case against himself*" and that as a result of this "*potentially he could be deemed unwell and I don't know if he would lose access to the kids*". The email shows that she began undermining my mental stability in the eyes of family members with the goal in mind that I "*could be deemed unwell*" and "*would lose access to the kids*". Knowing that the only way she could deprive me of my children and property is by declaring me insane, she started twisting my human rights activism, words and actions into evidence of insanity.
- Evidence 2: My brothers Dr. Razvan Galalae, Daniel Galalae, my sister, Irina Ardelian, my brother-in-law Traian Ardelian, my mother, Maria Galalae, my friends Ishin and Nursen Kaya, my aunt Cici Pirvu have all attested to the fact that Cindy has bombarded them with abusive, malicious, threatening and manipulative telephone calls while I was in Europe on hunger strike in her attempts to get my family and friends to turn against me and to make false depositions that I am insane (over 20 letters and emails available upon request).
- Evidence 3: Dozens of emails dated January to May 2011, sent from Cindy's secret email account at [cindm22@hotmail.ca](mailto:cindm22@hotmail.ca). (evidence already in police possession). These emails show that Cindy told the same lies to various people and organizations for the purpose of making everyone believe that I am insane and a danger to her and my own children. The emails are already in police custody having been illegally and on threat of imprisonment taken away from me. The emails are addressed to my employer, Maggie Koong; my bank manager at RBC; two of Cindy's ex-boyfriends in Hong Kong and other people. A

number of emails were addressed to organizations dealing with my adjudication in Britain, such as the Office of the Independent Adjudicator, and with the lawsuit I filed against the UK at the European Court of Human Rights. Their sole intent was to discredit me in the eyes of these institutions as a crazy person so that I would not be taken seriously. She knew that if I lose my appeals in Britain and my court case at the European Court she could then maintain that I was delusional all along and that the program of surveillance and censorship I exposed was a fabrication of my own mind.

- Evidence 4: In March 2011 she hired Mr. Kamin as her lawyer and soon after filed a police report filled with the same lies as she had told everyone else. This is revealed by two emails in her secret email account. The fact that Mr. Kamin is a criminal lawyer and not a family lawyer shows that my wife did not intend to separate from me or divorce me, since she had no good reason to do so, but that her intent was from the very beginning to ruin my reputation and credibility so as to declare me mentally unsound, which is the only way in which she could get full control of my property and children. Had I been a danger to her and our children my wife should have simply left me and filed for a divorce. She had ample opportunity to do so especially since I was in Europe from March 1-6 and from April 12 to May 13, so she cannot make a case that I physically stopped her from doing this.

**In reference to charge (b) *conspiracy to commit insurance fraud*:**

- My wife and Donald Marshall, her father, who is an insurance agent, have purchased a 20-year term life insurance policy (London Life: policy number K093422T, see File 3) on my life, on 24 November 2010, whose sole beneficiary and owner is none other than my wife. My father-in-law said I could not be the owner of the policy because I did not work in Canada. I was reluctant to agree to it but my wife insisted for months in advance. My wife's campaign of defamation intensified shortly after the life insurance policy was purchased and in January she opened her secret email account, which she dedicated entirely to slandering and vilifying me in the eyes of the world. This is significant, because Donald Marshall and my wife are, as a result of the health information I had to reveal in order to qualify for the health class "standard, non-smoker", privy to the fact that every male on my father's side has died prematurely of a heart attack. The stress and shock my wife and her family have subjected me to by abducting my children, slandering me, putting me in a psychiatric hospital, and then in jail were intended to cause me such distress as to bring upon a heart attack. Had they succeeded they would have then cashed in on the \$800,000 face amount. That they tried and continue to try everything in order to bring about this outcome is demonstrated by the fact that they were determined to keep me in jail at any cost (to this end my wife contacted Ishin, my surety, and Nursen, his wife, to convince them not to bail me out because I have been "*diagnosed insane*" and am therefore a danger to their child, Arda), to isolate me from family and friends so no one would come to my aid (which is why my wife and her family members have slandered me as a crazy and irresponsible father throughout town and beyond), to render me destitute (which is why my wife cut me off from the Hong Kong account where I receive my wage), to artificially create a body of "evidence" that I am mentally unstable (which is why my wife convinced our family doctor, Dr.



McIlquham that I am mentally unstable and that he should see me and convince me to go for psychiatric help – I will soon provide evidence that my wife set up a visit with Dr. McIlquham for this very purpose); and to prejudice the police and the doctors at Hotel Dieu that I am insane and that they should see me and treat me as such (which is why my wife and her lawyer have filed a report with the police saying that I am delusional and mentally unstable and why my wife contacted Dr. Scott, the psychiatrist in charge of my assessment at Johnson Three, while I was in Dr. Scott's care). Currently, my wife prevents me from seeing my children without CAS supervision by refusing to accept my surety, Ishin Kaya, who is a man of impeccable character, as supervisor of my visits. This, of course, is just another excuse to separate me from my children. She has also missed the two-week deadline she had to respond to my offer that we reconcile out of court for the sake of our children. These actions constitute further evidence that my wife and her family have not given up the hope that they can undermine my health by causing me emotional trauma.

**In reference to charge (c) *misleading public officials, medical staff and the police:***

I have already shown that my wife and her family members have maliciously and deliberately described me as a mentally unstable person who subscribes to bizarre ideologies, is delusional, believes will be killed by the Brits, has robbed the family bank accounts, and is a danger to his own wife and children. They have misled public officials, medical staff and the police in order to achieve their multiple objectives: declare me mentally incapable so as to get full control of my property and full custody of my children, which in turn they hoped would destroy me emotionally to such an extent that I would become insane while in custody, and eventually die of a heart attack due to grief and shock so they could cash in on my life insurance policy. The emails in police custody show that my wife secured a job in Honk Kong for herself and for her ex-boyfriend, Dominic Nazarin, with Victoria Education Organisation, the very outfit I work for and that Cindy has defamed me in the eyes of the Chief Principal, Dr. Maggie Koong, to such an extent that my work was reduced by 80% from January to today. I contend that my wife could not have thought out let alone carried through this diabolical plan on her own and that the brain behind the operation and the one who funded it is her father, Donald Marshall.

**In reference to charge (d) *reckless endangerment:***

The malicious, deliberate and premeditated actions of my wife and her family have created a substantial risk of serious physical, mental and emotional injury to me. Their conduct exhibits a culpable disregard of foreseeable consequences to me and even to my children and, worse than this, is of a heedless nature.

**In reference to charge (e) *entrapment* and charge (f) *corrupting police officers:***

There is evidence to suggest that Tyler Marshall, my wife's brother, exercised his influence within the Kingston Police Department to enlist the aid of police officers to entrap me to commit either assault or harassment. The evidence is provided by the following: (1) the presence of a police officer in civilian clothes on the night of May 13 at the in-laws' residence to prevent me from seeing my children and stir my anger; (2) the

refusal of Constable Slack (badge number 324) and Constable P.C. Williams (badge number 336) to identify their mystery colleague even though I explicitly asked that they do so since their colleague used his police credentials to prevent me from seeing my children; (3) the deliberate one-hour delay of the police to respond to my 911 call of child abduction on the night of Friday, May 13; (4) the refusal of the police to allow me to speak to a senior police officer on the morning of Saturday, May 14, when I went to the police station and offered to show evidence of my wife's mental problems and malicious actions; (5) refusal by the police to allow me to lay child abduction charges against my wife and her parents as well as to assist me in retrieving my car from my wife's possession; (6) the refusal of the police officers who responded to my 911 call on May 14 to allow me 5 minutes with my children; (7) the withholding of a proper and explicit warning that if I returned to the Marshall home for whatever reason a domestic harassment charge would ensue. All of these actions by the police indicate that they worked collaboratively with the Marshall family to drive me over the edge so I would commit an act of desperation. In the absence of such action on my part they fabricated the domestic harassment charge.

***6. Attorn to the rules and regulations of your surety.***

My behaviour has been impeccable at all times and my surety, his wife and their friends will attest to this.

***7. Abstain from acquiring or possessing any firearms, cross-bow, long bow, prohibited weapon, restricted weapon, prohibited device, ammunition, prohibited ammunition or explosive substance.***

I have no such weapons and I have no intention of acquiring any of them.

***8. Deposit passport with Kingston Police and/or not apply for/obtain/possess any passport for the duration of this release order.***

I do not see how a domestic harassment charge would necessitate that I give up my passport. My possessing a passport has absolutely nothing to do with domestic harassment. Why would I want to leave the country without my children? And how would I leave the country with my children if I am not allowed to get anywhere near them? This condition is absurd and can only be explained in light of the fact that the Canadian authorities are trying to prevent me from travelling abroad so as to engage in human rights activism in Europe or elsewhere.

***9. Unless with the authorization of CAS [Children's Aid Society] or subsequent Family Court Order; not to be within 500 meters of their person, school, daycare, or residence and no contact/communication directly or indirectly with Ben Marshall-Galalae or Oliver Galalae [my children].***

As primary caregiver for Ben and Oliver, my children, this condition is malicious not only towards me but especially towards my children who are being deprived of their mainstay and of their father's love and care by a police department bent on doing favours for the Marshall family. This proves once again that the police and Crown are acting with extreme prejudice and without any regard whatsoever for the wellbeing of my children or my wellbeing. Their actions are in contravention of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC), which Canada has ratified in 1991, in respect to the following guiding principles of the convention: Article 3, best interests of the child, Article 12, respect for the views of the child. It is clearly not in the best interests of my children that they be deprived of their main caregiver and that their views are not considered when the police and Crown decided that it is wise to separate me from my children.

The CAS video recordings of my visits with my sons will reveal how close my sons and I are and how often my older son, Ben, has said that he loves me most and wants me not mommy to put him to bed, sleep with him and be with him.

Just as suspect is the fact that the police have arrested me and separated me from my children just two days after my return to Canada from the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France, where my hunger strike pamphlet read "*Hands Off Our Children*" ([https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger\\_strike\\_handout.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4a/Hunger_strike_handout.pdf)) and where I sacrificed my comfort and security to ensure that our children's views, values and consciences are respected by their governments on the sacrosanct soil of schools and universities. The police's and Crown's attack on me and my children can only be construed as a vindictive and racist act of reprisal against my engagement on behalf of Canada's and the world's children and especially on behalf of the world's minorities and immigrant populations.

If the Kingston Police and Crown are foolish enough to bring my case to trial, they will give me the opportunity to reveal not only the existence of SAC<sup>12</sup> to the world but also the vindictive and illegal actions of the Canadian government on behalf of the British government. The Canadian court system, as well as the court system in Europe, has prevented me by all means necessary from bringing my case to trial against the illegal and immoral surveillance and censorship program run by Western countries. I would therefore welcome the opportunity to finally get to present my evidence on SAC in a court of law. Even if I am convicted of domestic harassment the sacrifice would be more than warranted by the reward of finally getting the facts on SAC onto the public record. The Kingston Police will find however that the people in Ottawa and at CSIS will be more than reluctant to allow Kingston's reckless officials to let the cat out of the bag, so to say. If anyone would like to end their career, then this is the fastest way possible.

***10. Not to enter the City of Kingston unless in the company of your surety.***

---

<sup>12</sup> SAC is the acronym I gave the covert program of surveillance and censorship of the academic environment in Britain and the EU. For a full description of SAC see pp. 2-5 in "*The Great Secret: Surveillance and Censorship in Britain and the EU*", 25 October 2010, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The\\_Great\\_Secret.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/4/4d/The_Great_Secret.pdf) and at <http://www.scribd.com/doc/46050686/The-Great-Secret-Surveillance-and-Censorship-in-Britain-and-the-EU>.

This condition, like all prior conditions, is inexplicably draconian and meant to make it impossible for me to maintain contact with my children. Why the police and Crown are bent on destroying my family and harming me and my children can only be logically explained by the fact that they are either acting on behalf of Canada's political establishment or that they have been corrupted by the Marshall family.

\*

The bail conditions imposed on me are without a doubt amongst the most severe ever imposed on a Canadian who does not have a criminal record, a history of violence, who has not threatened anyone, who has not breached bail conditions and who is merely charged with domestic harassment, which is among the lightest offences in the criminal record. Had I been a common citizen I would have been released on my own recognisance.

One must ask why have I not been released on my own recognisance and was instead given nearly impossible and outrageous bail conditions? These absurd conditions make no sense in the context of my domestic harassment charge or my personal record as a law-abiding citizen, loving father, primary caregiver for my children, devoted husband, peaceful person and internationally acknowledged human rights activist. They do however make perfect sense if the objectives of the police and Crown are:

1. to destroy me by my destroying the source of my strength, my family, by alienating me from my wife and children (hence the no contact order either directly or indirectly with my wife and children, even though my wife subsequently asked the police to drop the charges and has shown signs that she wants to reconcile);
2. to prevent me from publishing articles critical of the government or researching further state misdeeds (hence the police's refusal to return my laptop computer from police custody and to allow me to take my desktop computer, scanner, printer and fax from my office at home, where I have always worked from);
3. to stop me from traveling abroad and continuing my hunger strike in Strasbourg, France, or engaging in further political and human rights activism (hence the confiscation of my Canadian passport and the prohibition that I use or apply for any other passport, such as my Romanian passport, since I hold dual citizenship, Romanian and Canadian);
4. to show me that the Canadian Government acting on behalf of Britain can and will take away my children despite my children's best interests and most ardent wishes that they get their father back and despite my ascending public prominence as a civil rights activist (hence the prohibition that I am not to come anywhere near my children despite being their primary caregiver and despite the fact that I have been given the go-ahead by the CAS and a clean bill of health and a no risk designation by two prominent psychologists – a prohibition that is a slap on my face given that my hunger strike pamphlet in Europe was entitled "HANDS OFF OUR CHILDREN");
5. to undermine my ability to fulfill my writing and consulting duties according to my contractual obligations, thus causing me to lose my job and go bankrupt (hence the confiscation of all my computers and office equipment, work database, and reference books and materials which are critical to my work, as well as the prohibition that I am not to enter my own home, which is designed to ensure that I cannot work from my office,

further handicapping me).

In analysing the conditions of bail imposed on me by the Kingston Police and Crown vis-à-vis my alleged crime of domestic harassment it becomes evident that the police and Crown have ulterior motives, motives that have nothing to do with protecting my family or from the potential of further harassment. Who is directing the police to act this way is, of course, an open question. What is certain is that I am subjected to an unusual and perhaps unprecedented level of discrimination and harassment and that this is unlikely to be just coincidence or negligence.

The timing of my arrest too speaks volumes. Six days after publishing articles asking if Commissioner Hammarberg is working for the Emir of Qatar and is therefore corrupt, if the Council of Europe is subservient to British interests<sup>13</sup>, and after making the heads of state of the close allies responsible for the erosion of democracy<sup>14</sup>, I was arrested. Who in their right mind will believe that this is a coincidence, especially given the frivolity of the charge and the malicious manner in which I was prosecuted and persecuted. The public will rightfully assume that Kingston's officials have acted on orders from Ottawa and the burden of proof will fall squarely on the Kingston Police and Crown, two universally disliked and mistrusted institutions.

The actions of the Kingston Police and Crown are also suspect because they defy the purpose of my arrest and because they cause far more harm to me and my family than their purported intent to protect my wife and children from my alleged inability to care for them because I "*show signs of obsessive delusional behaviour*", which is what the Kingston Police disclosure alleges.

It is worth noting that during the past two years I spent c. \$10,000 on my political activism and two trips to Strasbourg, France, to sue the British Government at the European Court and, respectively, to protest at the Council of Europe. By contrast, the false and malicious charges the police laid have cost me and my family more than \$25,000 in just one month and unless dropped will bankrupt us and will cause us to lose our jobs, our house and thus end up penniless in the street.

There is simply no proportion and no logic in the actions of the Kingston Police and the Crown if my only crime is that of domestic harassment. Furthermore, even if convicted, which is highly unlikely given the facts, the most the police and the Crown will achieve is to saddle me with a criminal record, having already served time in jail. While such a conviction will prevent me from travelling to the United States for five years and damage my reputation and future employment prospects, it will not achieve anything else.

---

<sup>13</sup> "Is Commissioner Hammarberg Protecting the Emir of Qatar? Is the Council of Europe Subservient to British Interests?", 9 May 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is\\_Commissioner\\_Hammarberg\\_Protecting\\_the\\_Emir\\_of\\_Qatar.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/2/28/Is_Commissioner_Hammarberg_Protecting_the_Emir_of_Qatar.pdf) and at <http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae5.pdf>.

<sup>14</sup> "Appeal to Reason: Letter to President Obama, Président Sarkozy, Bundeskanzlerin Merkel and Prime Minister Cameron", 9 May 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL\\_TO\\_REASON.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/5/5e/APPEAL_TO_REASON.pdf) and at <http://cryptome.org/0003/kevin-galalae4.pdf>.

It will, however, give me the long-awaited opportunity to tell the world about SAC and about Canada's involvement in this unlawful, discriminatory and unethical programme. I am therefore more than willing to make the necessary sacrifices.

### **MY OFFER**

Having consulted with my human rights lawyer in Toronto I now know that the peace bond was a desperate way by the Kingston Police and Crown to get out of the mess they have landed themselves in without facing liabilities since signing a peace bond prevents me from suing the responsible parties for malicious prosecution and/or negligence. These being the facts I propose the following happy end to this dilemma. I am not a vindictive person and I don't want to see careers and lives destroyed because of incompetence or ignorance. At the same time I will not allow anyone to attack me, my children and my family without making amends for the damage done. I therefore propose the following:

1. The Kingston Police and Crown will drop the charges against me immediately and lift any and all conditions imposed on me.
2. I will return to my home and children and the Kingston Police and Crown will stay out of my family and out of my way unless they want to face a lawsuit for corruption and malicious prosecution.
3. The Kingston Police and Crown will never again interfere in my family's conflicts and will never again pay heed to my wife's and her family's crazy allegations unless they want to be co-defendants in a lawsuit for conspiracy to commit fraud, libel and reckless endangerment.
4. The insurance company that covers the police will compensate me for legal costs, medical costs, travel costs, incidentals and the costs incurred by my surety and his family the amount of \$25,000.
5. The insurance company that covers the police will compensate me for the psychological trauma I was subjected to by the police's illegal and malicious actions, my confinement at the police station and my incarceration at the Quinte Detention Centre the amount of \$25,000.
6. These amounts should be considered an act of charity on my part and are non-negotiable.

In return for the above conditions, I will sign papers that I will not sue the Kingston Police or Crown for their various trespasses. I will also withdraw my request for full disclosure of evidence.

The Kingston Police and Crown have until 2 PM Friday, July 8, to accept or reject my offer. Should I not hear from them by the deadline the offer will become null and void and subsequent offers, if any, will entail far larger compensation amounts and different terms. They will certainly include compensation for the loss of my contract with Hong Kong and for declaring bankruptcy, both of which will inevitably follow if the Kingston Police and Crown proceed with the charges.

More importantly, if anything should happen to my children while I am being prevented by the

Kingston Police and Crown to be with them, to care for and to protect them, I shall make the authorities fully responsible. To ascertain the level of risk to my children due to my wife's emotional/hormonal/psychological problems I am including the file "*Cindy's condition*" for the relevant parties' scrutiny (see File 4). I will also soon make a deposition on behalf of John Chown in the ongoing lawsuit by Tyler Marshall against attorney Chown. Once I make this deposition my children's lives will be at risk from Tyler Marshall who has a notoriously bad temper and who could conceivably abuse or harm my children in order to get back at me. Should that happen while the Kingston Police and Crown prevent me from being with and caring for my children, I will make them fully responsible.

I look forward to a successful resolution. May reason and justice prevail.

Sincerely,

Kevin Galalae

Not only did the Police and Crown not accept my offer, they coordinated their actions with my wife and her lawyer in order to arrest me once again. They achieved this by getting my wife to respond in bad faith to my third offer of reconciliation I made through my family lawyer, Christina Rorabeck. Unaware that my wife's response was false, I made the mistake of sending her four love letters by email as soon as I received her letter. She gave my emails to the police and I was rearrested for breach of the no communication order on July 14, when the CAS asked me to come to Kingston on the false pretence that I would be allowed a visit with my children at the park. I was instead handcuffed by the police and sent back to prison. For good measure, the authorities also charged me with theft of my wife's emails and with extortion, a charge that was later dropped.

Knowing that the new charges would not stand in a real court of law, the Kingston Police and Crown ensured that they lured me to Kingston to be subjected once again to their kangaroo court. The plan was to corner me in prison so as to force me to plead guilty to crimes I did not commit and thus protect themselves from malicious prosecution lawsuits.

They achieved this with the complicity of my own criminal lawyer.

\*

The conspirators knew that my persecution could not be successful without the full cooperation of the Crown, that is, of the Government of Canada, and the lawyers appointed to represent the Crown: B. MacNaughton and M. Williams. Neither could it have succeeded without the cooperation of several judges, or the complicity of my own criminal lawyer, David Sinnett.



Let me begin with David Sinnett, whose trespasses I have documented in some detail in an open letter.<sup>15</sup>

My legal counsel was coopted to play a duplicitous role and did not defend me in good faith. His main priority was not proving my innocence but confirming the Crown's false allegations about my mental health in order to destroy my credibility as a human rights activist, convict me of crimes I did not commit and so protect the Crown from a malicious prosecution lawsuit. This he could only achieve if I agreed to a peace bond and I did not, which left him and the Crown with only one alternative, my wrongful conviction. To achieve this, my lawyer committed the following offences:

- (i) Withheld his counsel by avoiding to meet or talk to me, my sureties and family.
- (ii) Refused to show me or discuss the contents of the Crown Disclosure, which would have informed me of the facts, the allegations against me, who made them and when. This prevented me, among other things, from knowing that my wife not only had no intention of reconciling, but had every intention of seeing me incarcerated. Would I have known this I would have not wasted my time and given her the benefit of the doubt by making multiple offers of reconciliation. I would also not have sent her love letters after she responded with a dishonest letter that purported to accept my third offer of reconciliation.
- (iii) Disappeared off the face of the earth four days prior to my second arrest when I contacted him repeatedly for days desperate for his counsel. He knew I was going to be arrested and he did not want to tell me not to come to Kingston or how to prepare myself for the impending arrest.
- (iv) Did not follow my instructions:
  - a. To make a statement in court on my behalf during the bail hearing on July 15 to the effect that the charges against me are politically motivated.
  - b. To obtain crucial evidence from the police by requesting full disclosure.
  - c. To defend my mental health from the Crown's false allegation. He instead went into the deliberation room during the pre-trial hearing and agreed with the Crown that I have psychological problems (see page 36) even though he had two comprehensive independent medical reports proving my mental health and that I am no threat to anyone, while the Crown could only provide a two-page report that is false and deficient in every way<sup>16</sup>.
  - d. To properly and assiduously question the validity of the hospital's Discharge Summary, which diagnoses me as delusional, bipolar and narcissistic.
- (v) Failed to challenge the Crown's synopsis of events presented before Judge Watson on July 19 at the Show Cause hearing despite its gross inaccuracies and misrepresentations, and never presented his own statement of facts. Instead he

---

<sup>15</sup> *Response to David Sinnett*, 23 October 2011, at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response\\_to\\_David\\_Sinnett.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a1/Response_to_David_Sinnett.pdf).

<sup>16</sup> *Hotel Dieu Hospital Discharge Summary*, 8 July 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/cc/KG-Dieu\\_Hospital\\_Report.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/c/cc/KG-Dieu_Hospital_Report.pdf).

confirmed the Crown's misleading and distorting presentation as accurate. The Crown's misrepresentations that my lawyer accepted as accurate are:

- a. The assertion that I exhibited "*irrational behaviour*" and have used "*family money*" to finance a trip to Europe. My behaviour was perfectly normal at all times, which is why I was able to appeal at every level of adjudication at Oxford and Leicester, then take my case to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator, and finally qualify to file a lawsuit against the UK at the European Court of Human Rights. At no point did the Crown provide evidence of any irrational behaviour on my part or was challenged by my lawyer in this respect. I also never used family money but only my own wage for a single month of absence from home.
- b. The assertion that I "*started showing signs of obsessive delusional behaviour*". The Crown provided no evidence or gave examples of what these signs would have been. My lawyer did not challenge the Crown even though he had two comprehensive psychological reports in his hand (one by Dr. Beharry, PhD; and one by Bruce Cook, psychologist) proving my mental health and that I am not a risk to anyone let alone my wife and children. He also did not show the Court my wife's hand notes which showed clearly that my wife is mentally ill. He also did not show the Court my wife's malicious and slanderous emails, which would have demonstrated to the Court that my wife had fabricated my mental illness. My lawyer, for instance, was in possession of an email in which my wife tells a friend that our family doctor believes there is nothing wrong with my mental health but that I am merely being "demonstrative". Nevertheless, my wife asserts in the police report and in countless emails that I am delusional and mentally unstable knowing full well the doctor's opinion.
- c. The assertion that my wife "*had also sought professional help for her husband over the course of the previous six months to no avail*". The reality is that the only discussions my wife and I had along these lines were in respect to her (not my) need to seek medical help for her postpartum depression and her bipolar disorder. This statement was intended to distort the judge's view of who I am and to manipulate the judge to think that I am the one who is mentally ill and have a history of mental illness, when the opposite is the case.
- d. The assertion that on May 13 the police "*cautioned*" me not to attend the Marshall residence again. On May 13 the police came because I called them to report my children's abduction. At no time did the police caution me not to return to the in-laws' home. I was merely told that my wife will not allow me to see my children at which point I called the OPP and the RCMP because I sensed hostility in the police officers towards me due to a police report they had apparently read prior to responding to my call, which took them nearly

one hour even though I required immediate assistance since I was reporting a child abduction. I was also alarmed because the police officers refused to identify who their mystery colleague was and seemed perfectly comfortable with the notion that a stranger should be in charge of my children when I, their father, had just reported my children's abduction. The police clearly delayed its response because they were already aware that I was expected to come to the house and report that my children were taken from the home by their mother without my permission. That is why one of their colleagues acted as doorman and used his credentials to threaten me with arrest for trespassing if I did not leave. At no point was I reassured that my children are well or given an explanation as to why a man identifying himself as a police officer but wearing civilian clothes, a total stranger to me and my children, was standing between me and my children. At no point did the responding police officers identify the mystery police officer to me or explain on whose authority and according to what laws the said officer could stand between me and my children or explain why I would trust this stranger with my children.

- e. The assertion that the police advised me "*not to return to the Bicknell address*" when I visited the police headquarters. On the morning of May 14, I went to the police station to show them evidence of my wife's mental instability and malicious acts but was denied an audience and was turned back at the front door. At no point did the police advise me not to return to the house, as stated by the Crown. The police did not talk to me except for a two minute conversation over the front desk phone in which I asked to lay charges for abduction and asked for police assistance to recover my car. The woman on the phone said the police would not help and that the police have a file on me. That was the end of the two minute conversation.
- f. The assertion that on May 14 the police were called by Don Marshall. While this may well be the case, I also called the police to ask to be allowed to see my children for 5 minutes to make sure they are well and that they know I have come home, which is what I had promised my son, Ben, on the phone on May 11. I was told to go to court if I want to see my children but was not given explicit warning that if I returned to the home to attempt to see my children I would be arrested. At no point was I told by the police that my wife fears for her safety because I knocked on the door to see my children. At no point was I given legal justification for being prevented from seeing my children for 5 minutes.
- g. The assertions that I "*abandoned my family*" and that I have "*bizarre ideologies*". My lawyer had dozens of emails in his possession showing that at no point did I abandon my family, but on the contrary I stayed in almost

daily contact with my wife and came home as soon as I heard that my son missed me and cried for me.

- h. The assertion made by Constable Gary Irvine that I “*immediately started to rant and got louder and more aggressive.*” and that I “*resisted*” during bail court when I was given permission by the judge to make a statement and was prevented by the police on the judge’s orders as soon as I opened my mouth because the said parties did not want to hear that the charges against me are politically motivated and that I am for all intents and purposes a political prisoner, which is all I managed to say before I was assaulted and beaten to the ground in front of the judge by five police officers.

All of the above helped the Crown nurture the impression that I am mentally ill, that I was acting irrationally, that I had abandoned the family and that I had posed a threat to my wife and children. This led the judge to conclude that I should be denied bail and be incarcerated until the trial.

Once incarcerated, my counsel ensured that I am cornered into pleading guilty by committing the following offenses:

- i. Refused to attempt a second bail hearing because, he told me, it would likely result in the same outcome.
- j. Informed me that unless I plead guilty I would have to remain incarcerated until the trial day, which would take one year or more, depending on the police’s schedule.
- k. Refused to visit me in prison so we could discuss strategy and refused to discuss my options over the prison phone leaving me in the dark and totally unprepared for the court proceedings.
- l. On the morning of the guilty plea he met with me in the counsel box for a mere five minutes and told me that if I pleaded guilty to the lesser charge of recklessness instead of the initial charge of harassment the Crown will agree to a shorter sentence and I will be most likely released on time served.
- m. During the proceedings, when the court clerk began reading the charges that I was to plead guilty to, I was stunned to hear that I was asked to plead guilty to harassment and not recklessness as agreed and before saying guilty I paused and asked to speak to my lawyer. My lawyer however instructed me to say “it’s OK, just say guilty”, which I did but with a deep sense of disgust knowing that my lawyer had lied to me.

CROWN ATTORNEY'S OFFICE - KINGSTON  
MINUTES OF COUNSEL RESOLUTION MEETING

ACCUSED: GALALAE, Muger  
VICTIM'S NAME \_\_\_\_\_ COURT DATE: 25 Jul 11  
CHARGE (S): 264(2)(d) + 264(2)(b), 145(3)x3, 346(1)  
RESOLUTION CROWN: L12 Foxton 430(1.1)(a),  
DEFENSE COUNSEL: Dave Sinnett 334(b),  
354(1)

AGREEMENTS: - serious PSYC issues

- defence will ask his guy about  
doing a 3 day assess } if assessment  
done Crown  
may reconsider position  
depending on results  
11-14304-6P  
WITNESSES NOT REQUIRED:  
11-21707 - counts 1, 2, 4 + 6 (w/ 17, 3, 5, 7 + 8,

DISCLOSURE ISSUES:

Crown Position:

60 days - 2yrs probation.

- PAR - no weapons

TRIAL OR PRELIMINARY TIME REQUIRED:

- assessment / treatment / counselling

SENTENCE ON EARLY, GUILTY PLEA (in addition to appropriate prohibition orders, sex registry orders, DNA orders and probation orders)

- no contact w/ Cindy Marshall or family

- 100m radius

- no contact w/ kids unless pursuant  
to subsequent family court order

TODAY'S DATE: 22 Jul 11

CROWN:

(signature)

NOTE: This proposal will remain the Crown position until \_\_\_\_\_ or until a trial is set. After which, it is subject to revision by the Crown.

CC: DEFENCE COUNSEL

DNA - 2<sup>nd</sup> s. 109 - 10yrs.

David Sinnett's final act of misconduct was to try to pervert my civil rights lawyer to withhold the Crown Disclosure from me, disclosure that he was forced to release to my civil rights lawyer.



Matthew C. Hodgson, CD, B.A.(Hons), LL.B.  
David R. Sinnett, B.A., LL.B.

LaSalle Mews  
Suite 109  
Kingston, ON  
K7K 5W7  
Phone: (613) 531-4676  
Fax: (613) 531-4680  
[www.hodgsonsinnett.com](http://www.hodgsonsinnett.com)

---

September 27, 2011

**PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL**

**Mr. Glenroy K Bastien**  
**Bastien's Professional Corporation**  
**1 King Street West, Suite 1408**  
**Toronto, ON**  
**K5H 1A1**

Dear Sir:

**Re: Kevin Galalae**

We have been directed by Mr. Galalae to provide you with the contents of his criminal file. We are enclosing the Crown disclosure from Mr. Galalae's matter on the understanding that the material will not be provided directly to Mr. Galalae but will remain in your care and control. This request in accordance with our undertaking provided to the Crown upon receipt of the disclosure. If this request cannot be met we would kindly ask that the materials be returned to our office.

We are also enclosing a letter and account for Mr. Galalae and would kindly ask that you provide it to him. We thank you for your assistance. Please contact our office should you have any questions or require additional information.

Yours truly,


HODGSON, SINNETT

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'David R. Sinnett', written over a horizontal line.

David R. Sinnett

encl.



 <p>David Sinnett</p>	<p><b><u>Motives:</u></b> professional advancement.</p> <p><b><u>Position:</u></b> Criminal lawyer.</p> <p><b><u>Role:</u></b> Play a duplicitous role to deceive me into believing that he represented me in good faith when in fact his task was to corner me in prison to force me to plead guilty to crimes I did not commit. To achieve this he withheld the email evidence that proved my innocence and mental health and that showed my wife had acted with malice and had lied, gave false counsel, ignored my medical reports that proved my mental health in order to agree with the Crown behind closed doors that I am mentally ill.</p> <p><b><u>Clues:</u></b> Withheld the Crown disclosure from me, refused to follow my instructions, buried the exonerating evidence, did not request full disclosure from the police, refused to talk to my friends and family during my incarceration, refused to visit me in prison and to discuss strategy.</p>
--	---

\*

Dr. McIlquham was the first to abandon the sinking ship. His co-conspirators, however, whose actions I have exposed and who are now on the hook for millions in damages because of my civil litigation against the hospital, police and my wife are desperate enough to push on because their careers are on the line, hence the latest charges against me, a last ditch attempt to imprison me in the hope that I would not have the money to pay for a lawyer and the friends to bail me out.

I predicted my arrest in a letter I wrote to the RCMP just two days prior.<sup>17</sup> What I could not predict is the time, place and reasons for which I would be rearrested; all of which are indicative of the lawlessness of Kingston's legal establishment.

I was arrested at the family court house just 10 minutes prior to the case conference I was there to attend. The arrest was designed not to allow me to attend family court so as to prevent me from obtaining custody of my children or visitation rights for as long as possible and thus undermine me emotionally as much as possible.

My latest arrest, the third one, is in direct retaliation to the lawsuit I served the Kingston Police, the Hotel Dieu Hospital and my wife, Cynthia Anne Marshall, on 19 October and is a desperate attempt to keep me in prison by denying me bail, which is exactly what the Crown asked the presiding judge. The Crown had also hoped that I would not have the money and the sureties necessary to get out of prison. They were wrong. Five sureties came forward from hundreds of kilometers away to bail me out of prison and several of my friends and supporters were standing by to protest my incarceration in case I was not let out on bail.

This time, however, I was granted bail on the first try, but I was incarcerated from November 8 to 14. I was charged with three counts of harassment and two counts of theft of electronic data. The three counts of harassment are: (count1) because I filed a case conference brief in the family

<sup>17</sup> *Letter to the RCMP*, 6 November 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter\\_to\\_RCMP.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf).

matter, (count 2) because my civil rights lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, served my wife a demand letter for defamatory libel, (count 3) because my civil rights lawyer, Glenroy Bastien, served my wife a Statement of Claim for a defamation lawsuit.

That the charges are unfounded and ridiculous goes without saying. They are clearly not intended to withstand an independent court's scrutiny but to exhaust me financially and emotionally. Characteristically, I have yet to see the Crown disclosure, which once again is being withheld from me. Nothing the Kingston Courts have done to date however is in conformity with the law.

In a bizarre display of legal misconduct, the Crown lawyers changed the theft charges against me during the bail court proceedings when the judge expressed his dismay. The two counts of theft of electronic data were changed to possession of stolen electronic data; the data in question being the slanderous emails my wife sent to dozens of people and organizations from January 2011 to May 2011. I had attached some of these emails to the case conference brief as supporting evidence and my civil rights lawyer has used many of the said emails as evidence of slander in the defamation lawsuit filed against my wife. It is worth noting that these same emails are the exonerating evidence the Kingston Police confiscated from me and my surety back in May on threat of imprisonment and that my former criminal lawyer, David Sinnett, buried instead of using them in court to destroy the Crown's case.


My latest arrest and malicious charges are particularly worrisome considering the efforts made to seek justice from the highest Canadian authorities, the media and NGOs. The Kingston authorities also continue their agenda to undermine my credibility by insinuating that I am "delusional" and suffer from "mental problems" even though I now have five medical reports attesting my mental health while the Crown has none, the Hotel Dieu Hospital having withdrawn its false report.

\*

On September 8, I sought the assistance of Canada's Minister of Justice, the Honourable Robert Nicholson, who received a petition signed by dozens of my supporters (<http://www.freedom-in-education.org/6.html>) asking the Minister to open an investigation into the conduct of the Kingston authorities. Minister Nicholson responded that the implementation of the law in the Province of Ontario is the responsibility of the Attorney General of Ontario, the Honourable John Gerretsen, and that I should seek his help.

My supporters and I wrote to the Attorney General on October 21 and again on November 24, but have received no response to date. The Attorney General did appoint a point person to deal with my complaint that the Kingston Court refused to release the court transcripts of my proceedings in order to prevent me from appealing my wrongful conviction. The Court released the transcripts after a three month delay and only because of the Attorney General's intercession. One of the transcripts, however, has been doctored and the Attorney General allowed the Kingston Police to arrest me a third time and to charge me with the most baseless charges yet. This can only mean that the Attorney General is protecting his city and his friends.

The fact that the judiciary has doctored my transcript from the Show-Cause Hearing on July 19 shows just how desperate they are to keep their crimes secret and seems to also indicate that they have the tacit support of the Attorney General.

	<p><b><u>Motives:</u></b> Colleague of Milliken, fellow Liberal Party member, fellow MP, fellow lawyer, Kingston judge, Kingston native, former Kingston mayor, former Chair of the Kingston Police Services Board.</p> <p><b><u>Position:</u></b> Attorney General of Ontario since 20 October 2011.</p> <p><b><u>Role:</u></b> Protect the core conspirators from prosecution by refusing to open an investigation into their criminal conduct. Protect the incestuous relationships that keep Kingston's industry of incarceration alive and well.</p> <p><b><u>Clues:</u></b> Refuses to open an investigation into the criminal conduct of the Kingston authorities and has instead allowed my re-arrest. Refused to grant me an appointment.</p> <p><b><u>Relationship:</u></b> Friend of Peter Milliken and fellow Liberal Party heavyweight.</p>
---	--

John Gerretsen

The alteration of the transcript explains why the release of the transcripts was first denied and then delayed for three months. The parts that are missing or have been changed indicate what the Kingston authorities are trying to hide. They are:

- (i) David Sinnett's answer agreeing to the Crown's synopsis of events has been removed. The authorities don't want anyone to know that the proceedings were staged and that my lawyer agreed to the Crown's and Police's false representation of events.
- (ii) David Sinnett's submission has been enhanced. It was nowhere as extensive or thorough. Once again, this was necessary in order to create the impression that I was defended properly by my lawyer.
- (iii) The judge's decision speech has been altered in that the words "*break through walls*" which she repeated at least three times as evidence of a threat appear only once. These words, of course, were taken out of context from my last love letter to my wife in order to twist them to constitute a threat when that is clearly not the case. In the absence of a threat, however, Judge L. Watson had to create one otherwise she could not deny me bail.

The complicity of the judges goes deeper than just the alteration of the court transcript.

The legal justifications for which I was denied bail on July 19 and convicted on August 9 are flawed and betray if not ulterior motives that can only be explained in light of the political

vendetta my entire prosecution represents then at least a disturbing bias against me. My presumption of innocence and right to be protected against unreasonable detention were thrown out the window by Judge Watson on July 19 because I might reoffend by sending another love email to my wife. Furthermore she came to this conclusion without having *“read all of the Exhibits through”*. Instead, Judge Watson takes words out of context, and only out of the last love letter, which is the most passionate, and quotes them in isolation in order to give them the opposite meaning intended. The words *“break through walls”* and *“I am your devil and tormentor which is why you love me”* are given a threatening meaning by the Judge when in fact the paragraph reads: *“I want you back so badly that I am willing to break through walls to get to you, but first I must break through the stone you built around your heart. What are you afraid of? I am your husband and your lover. I am your stud and your pimp. I am your devil and your tormentor, which is why you love me. Come back to me, my love.”* In addition, Judge Watson never mentions the tender nature of the letter and especially of the other love letters I sent my wife, which are a testament of my love for her and clear evidence of my feelings. Not even my last letter to my wife can be construed as threatening by anyone who reads it honestly and not with the intent to demonize its meaning. Judge Watson has of course allowed herself to be manipulated by the Crown lawyers, with my own lawyer’s duplicity, to believe that I am the culprit and my wife the victim, by hiding crucial evidence that shows otherwise, namely: my wife’s defamatory emails (which now form the basis of the libel lawsuit against her), my wife’s malicious actions and motivations, and my wife’s troubling mental and emotional condition.

Judge Beaman, in turn, declared on August 9 that my harassment charge was justified not because I actually posed a threat to my wife but because my wife believed I posed a threat to her. I quote: *“Well maybe in your mind you had not posed a threat to them but in their minds, at least in your wife’s mind, you have and that is the basis upon which a criminal harassment finding is made”*. By this logic, if my wife had believed that I intended to murder her I would have been charged and convicted of murder. By this logic every man and woman on earth would be in prison for crimes others thought they committed or were about to commit.

While Judge Beaman applied her faulty legal logic to justify my conviction she failed to do her job by failing to ask me if I was voluntarily entering the guilty plea or if I was entering it during duress. Had I had the opportunity to answer this question I would have clearly stated that I was entering the guilty plea not only under extreme duress but also because I had been cornered in prison for the express purpose to force me to plead guilty and that at no time did either my lawyer, the Crown or the presiding judges intend to serve justice. What they did is defend themselves against the malicious prosecution lawsuit I had warned them of if the Police and Crown did not drop the charges. What they also did is pursue their original agenda of silencing me at any cost and regardless of the illegality and immorality of their actions.

\*

I leave the last word to my supporters who have submitted a synopsis of what has happened to me after the hunger strike since WikiSpooks received inquiries from concerned readers. My supporters concluded the synopsis by saying:

*“Kevin’s treatment shows that when a citizen exposes violations and illegalities perpetrated by the state and the institutions of the state in the name of counter-terrorism and counter-radicalization there is nowhere to turn for justice since the world is now under global authoritarian control, which has destroyed the democratic checks and balances of nation states, has gagged the international press, has annihilated national and international courts, and has coopted the NGOs.*

*The fact that Kevin is a political prisoner and subject to state directed retaliation is confirmed by two direct sources: one, the Canadian Security and Intelligence Service (CSIS) reply ([https://wikispooks.com/w/images/9/98/CSIS\\_Response\\_2011-09-22.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/9/98/CSIS_Response_2011-09-22.pdf)), which indicates that Kevin is being investigated for subversion and, two, the response from a high official from the Dominican Republic, who was approached by one of Kevin’s supporters for assistance and who in reaching out to his Canadian counterparts was told that Kevin is a “state prisoner”.<sup>18</sup>*

To these two pieces of evidence I add a third, the classified government-issued document I found in my family lawyer’s office. The manner in which I came across this document is worth recalling in more detail.

Unable to get a copy of my file from Christina Rorabeck, my family lawyer, once she dropped my case out of the blue, I resolved to go and examine my file in person once I returned to Kingston. On 14 October, I walked into Mrs. Rorabeck’s office unannounced and asked to see her.

A new secretary told me that she was not there. I then explained to Mrs. Rorabeck’s new secretary that I had arranged with her employer to come to the office in person and copy my file. She hesitated but I reassured her that this is the case and she reached to the top of the filing cabinet where a forbiddingly thick file in multicolored binders rested by itself. I was shocked to hear it was mine.

I began flipping through it and within seconds found material I had never seen before. Most disturbingly, I came across a classified document with blacked out lines but before I could put it aside for photocopying Mrs. Rorabeck’s partner, Paul Blais, came running out of his office and ripped the file out of my hands and then barked at me that if I didn’t leave the office at once he will call the police. I asked why and he repeated his threat in an angry tone. I asked why I could not look at my file when it was my right. He then softened his approach and said that Mrs.

---

<sup>18</sup> *Post Hunger Strike Synopsis*, written by friends and supporters, 30 November 2011, available at: [https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Document:Kevin\\_Galalae\\_-\\_Post\\_Hunger-strike\\_synopsis](https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Document:Kevin_Galalae_-_Post_Hunger-strike_synopsis).

Rorabeck would look at the file and decide what to release. I asked why Mrs. Rorabeck would want to select what I could have and could not have when by her own admission I already possessed everything she did. He did not answer and instead asked me to leave and wait for Mrs. Rorabeck's call later in the afternoon. I explained that I had driven four hours to photocopy my file and that I had no intention of leaving empty-handed or wasting half of my day waiting for them to censor what I could and could not have. Red in the face and sweating he said the conversation is over and that if I don't leave at once he will call the police.

I left the office and walked to my car where I had left my tape recorder. I returned five minutes later with my tape recorder in my breast pocket and taped the conversation. I needed evidence that I was refused a copy of my file and I got it.

To this day I have not received a copy of my file from Mrs. Rorabeck. But this is the kind of treatment I have received throughout my ordeal in Kingston since my return home from my hunger strike in Europe.

The above evidence suggests that the attack on me is locally orchestrated and carried out but that it is occurring with the knowledge and consent of the Canadian Government, the British Government and the European Council leadership. I can assert this because neither Canada's federal authorities nor Europe's institutions have done anything to address my mistreatment or to acknowledge my appeals.

### **APPEALS TO ONTARIO'S PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT**

On the advice of the Minister of Justice I appealed, twice to date, to the Attorney General of Ontario, the Honourable John Gerretsen, who is a Kingston native and a former Kingston lawyer and judge, but I have yet to hear from him. I have also appealed to the RCMP (see [https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter to RCMP.pdf](https://wikispooks.com/w/images/a/a5/Letter_to_RCMP.pdf) ) but their response was that the matter does not fall within their jurisdiction. I have also filed a complaint against my criminal lawyer, David Sinnett, with the Law Society of Upper Canada (LSUC File No. 2011-102394) and against my family lawyer, Christina Rorabeck. A response to their investigation is pending.

### **APPEALS TO CANADA'S FEDERAL GOVERNMENT**

To date, I have appealed to Canada's Minister of Justice, the Honourable Robert Nicholson, with a letter and a petition signed by dozens of individuals (available online at <http://freedom-in-education.org/6.html> ), have reiterated my appeal five weeks later, but have received no help other than the advice that I should address my appeal to the Attorney General of Ontario since he is the person responsible for the application of law in the province.



To determine if Peter Milliken and John Gerretsen have acted locally without the approval of Ottawa, I have also appealed to the Prime Minister of Canada, Stephen Harper, with the following letter:

Honorable Prime Minister Harper,

**Re: Peter Milliken and co-conspirators**

My name is Kevin Galalae and I am the victim of a vicious political attack that I believe is being orchestrated by Peter Milliken, former Speaker of the House of Commons and a powerful member of the Liberal Party. It appears that Mr. Milliken has made the destruction of my life his retirement project and has used his influence to coopt or manipulate the institutions of the state in the city of Kingston to abuse and misuse the laws of the land and the Canadian Charter in order to silence my human rights activism.

Mr. Milliken and his co-conspirators are being protected from indictment and prosecution for grand-conspiracy by his fellow Liberal and friend, John Gerretsen, who has last month assumed the position of Attorney General of Ontario.

Although I and the signatories of my petition have appealed to Mr. Gerretsen to open an investigation into the unlawful conduct of the Kingston authorities, we have yet to hear from the Attorney General.

I and the signatories of my petition (<http://www.freedom-in-education.org/6.html>) are now appealing to you for justice since justice is being denied to me by the Liberal cabal that has fallen upon me like vultures on a carrion as soon as I returned home from my hunger strike in Strasbourg, France, where I defended the rights of Canadians in universities from covert surveillance and censorship. We ask that you direct the RCMP national division to open an investigation without delay.

Please see the attached synopsis for the details of my case.

Respectfully,

Kevin Galalae

If there is no federal involvement, then Prime Minister Harper will jump at the opportunity to bury Milliken since the latter has:

*“On March 9, 2011, Milliken made two historic rulings holding the government of Stephen Harper in contempt of Parliament. The determinations led to the fall of the government on March 25, 2011 in a non-confidence vote.”* (See Wikipedia at [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter\\_Milliken](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter_Milliken)).

If Harper does not take the evidence I have provided him to open an investigation on Milliken and his co-conspirators then this is proof that the attack on me has federal approval. It also proves that the geopolitical agenda delegated from the UN is greater than party politics at the national level and confirms that everything I have said and written about an autocratic take-over of the world is true and that nation states and democracy no longer exist but on paper only.

\*

Canada is founded upon the principle of the rule of law, which guarantees our fundamental rights and freedoms. The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms ensures that *“anyone whose rights or freedoms, as guaranteed by this Charter, have been infringed or denied may apply to a court of competent jurisdiction to obtain such remedy as the court considers appropriate and just in the circumstances”*.

But what happens when the system has been perverted to such an extent that no independent court exists because the people who are entrusted with upholding the law have set themselves above the law? What happens when the balance of power and the separation between the executive, the legislative and the judiciary no longer exist? Canada then ceases to exist as a democracy and the government of Canada loses the right to govern the country.

Whether or not Canada has reached this level of decay will be determined by the response this article will receive from the federal government, the media and the courts.

What is clear is that Kingston’s establishment has crossed a line from which there is no return. Some have done this because they deem my rights and the wellbeing of my children to be considerations subordinate to the interests of the Crown, others because they are the kind of people who make it in life by their ability to surrender their own judgements and consciences to safeguard their place within a bureaucracy, and others still because they set aside the law when and as they wish for personal gain and to satisfy their prejudices. The attack on me was perpetrated by individuals who represent all three categories.

On a more general level, the Canadian legal system is a system that malfunctions at the best of times and that with a push in the wrong direction becomes criminal. The criminal justice system as it operates in Kingston is criminally unjust and hopelessly corrupted by ingrained practices, laziness and incompetence, the vested interests of bureaucrats and lawyers, and the attitude that runs it, the I wash your back you wash mine attitude. This is exacerbated by the largest cluster of prisons in Canada, which has created an incestuous relationship between the prisons, the police, the lawyers, the judges, the CAS and the psychiatric institutions. Innocent and helpless people are the fodder that keeps this devouring beast fat and happy. The beast needs to be tamed and this can only be accomplished with heavy-handed federal involvement.

I call for such intervention here and now and I do this not just for my and my children’s sake but for the sake of countless other victims of Kingston’s criminal justice system.

## FOLLOW-UP

To ensure objectivity and fairness, I have contacted most of the individuals mentioned in the article in order to give them the opportunity to respond. I would have liked to contact my wife, Cynthia Anne Marshall, and my father-in-law, Donald Marshall, to give them a chance to respond as well, but a no communication order, which prohibits both direct and indirect communication, imposed on me by them has prevented me from doing so.

To date, (i.e. 2 January 2011) only Dr. McIlquham has answered. Here is my request and his response:

From: kgalalae@hotmail.com  
To: njames@maplefht.ca  
Subject: EXTREMELY URGENT - FORWARD TO ROSS IMMEDIATELY  
Date: Wed, 28 Dec 2011 16:57:42 +0100

Hi Nancy,

This message and attachment are intended for Ross' eyes only. Their content is privileged and extremely sensitive. Please forward it to him without delay and make sure that he responds within 48 hours otherwise the consequences are dire. Thank you.

Regards,

Kevin Galalae

---

Dear Ross,

Out of respect for you as a person and doctor and in light of the gratitude I feel towards you for bringing my sons into the world, I am giving you the opportunity to reverse the damage and grief you and Milliken have caused me.

The enclosed article will be the subject of the second interview with Princeton TV (first interview available at <http://vimeo.com/33346698>) and will be discussed by the media in several countries unless you and your co-conspirators come to your senses. Kingston is now isolated and watched by the international community. The gang you belong to and that has foolishly thought could get away with taking my children away and destroying my life will face long prison sentences and disgrace unless the charges against me are dropped immediately and you and your brother-in-law come to Waterloo to talk to me in person.

I am willing to solve this quietly so that no one needs to go to prison, but that of course depends on whether or not there is any common sense left in Kingston's establishment. Should I not hear from you and Milliken within 48 hours you will all face the consequences.

Should anyone in Kingston recklessly think that my re-arrest will prevent this article from hitting the news, I am giving you advanced notice that its publication will be automatic and wide if I am rearrested. The article is in the hands of several individuals and organizations and its publication and distribution can only be stopped by me.

This is now an international incident, Ross, and the power you and your friends wield in Kingston will not save you from prison. The snake, as you well know, cuts off its tail to save its life. And this is a mighty big snake.

Regards,

Kevin Galalae

From: njames@maplefht.ca  
To: kgalalae@hotmail.com  
Subject: Response from Ross McIlquham  
Date: Thu, 29 Dec 2011 07:33:44 -0700

Nancy would you please forward this response to Kevin.

Kevin I can keep my response to you very short.

#1-I spoke to you some time ago about an incorrect entry in your chart. I discovered this as I was reviewing your chart in preparation to providing you with a letter about your mental health. As I believe I mentioned to you that chart entry was made in error and related to care I provide to another patient who has the same first name and very similar last name. Once discovered the incorrect entry in your chart has been removed and placed in the correct chart. I presume your copy of your chart was obtained prior to discovery of the error. Also the insurance report you provide would have been generated based on the incorrect entry in your chart. It is unfortunate this incorrect entry was made, however it was a human error.

#2-The allegations about me being a crown loyalist and involved in a conspiracy against you with my brother in law are simply incorrect and not true. I have never breached any confidence about you to my brother in law or anyone else.

#3-I will make myself available to speak with you on the telephone if required

In light of Dr. McIlquham's swift response, I want to believe that he is telling the truth and I am willing to give him the benefit of the doubt, despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary, and to consider him innocent until an investigation proves otherwise. I also wish to state that it was extremely difficult for me to suspect Dr. McIlquham of being involved in the attack on me

because I have known him for several years and I have always thought highly of him both as an individual and as a doctor. In fact, he is one of my favourite people in Kingston and the best doctor I know in Canada. I was heartbroken to find out that my medical record had been altered by Dr. McIlquham and I would be overjoyed to discover that he is indeed innocent and that my medical record fell victim to human error and not malicious intent. Short of an investigation, however, this will always be an open question.